

First District



Gloria Molina

Second District



Yvonne Brathwaite Burke

Third District



Zev Yaroslavsky

Fourth District



Don Knabe

Fifth District



Michael D. Antonovich



Adobe Acrobat Reader 5.0

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:

Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word:

Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again

Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.

To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following:

To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.



To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command.

- 2. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.
- 3. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard
 In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the
 Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose
 Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows
 Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2	JANUARY 20, 2004, BEGINS ON PAGE 209.]
3	
4	
5	SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE. IF YOU WILL
6	PLEASE RISE. WE WILL BEGIN OUR MEETING. FIRST OF ALL, THE
7	INVOCATION, WHICH WILL BE LED BY PASTOR ARTHUR ROSS, JR., BEL-
8	VUE UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN LOS ANGELES, FOLLOWED BY THE
9	PLEDGE, LED BY JUAN CARLOS OSPINA, MEMBER, EUGENE OBREGON POST
10	NO. 804, THE AMERICAN LEGION. PASTOR ROSS?
11	
12	PASTOR ARTHUR ROSS, JR.: PRAY WITH ME, PLEASE. PRECIOUS
13	FATHER, WE GATHER THIS AFTERNOON SEEKING THY PRESENCE AND
14	INSPIRATION OF THY DIVINE HOLY SPIRIT. FATHER, WE HAVE
15	UNDERSTOOD THAT YOU HAVE CREATED THE HEAVENS AND THE EARTH,
16	THAT YOU ARE THE CREATOR OF ALL THINGS AND THE MAKER OF ALL
17	MANKIND AND THAT YOU HAVE INSTITUTED HUMAN GOVERNMENT TO DO
18	FOR THE PEOPLE THOSE THINGS THAT WE CANNOT DO FOR OURSELVES.
19	FATHER, WE ASK THAT YOU WOULD POUR OUT OF YOUR SPIRIT UPON US
20	TO LEAD AND GUIDE US IN THE SPIRIT OF LOVE, JUSTICE, AND MERCY
21	AS WE CONSIDER THE WEIGHTY MATTERS BEFORE THIS BODY. FATHER,
22	HELP US TO ARRIVE IN OUR DELIBERATIONS AT SOLUTIONS THAT ARE
23	IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR WILL AND IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE
24	PEOPLE. IN THE NAME OF THE ONE WHO IS, WHO WAS, AND WHO IS TO
25	COME, FATHER, SON, AND HOLY SPIRIT. AMEN.



1

- 2 JUAN CARLOS OSPINA: PLEASE JOIN ME IN THE PLEDGE, PLACE YOUR
- 3 RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR HEART. READY? BEGIN. [PLEDGE OF
- 4 ALLEGIANCE]

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR BURKE?

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE: WE'RE VERY PLEASED TO WELCOME PASTOR ROSS HERE. HE
- 9 SERVES THE BEL-VUE COMMUNITY PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH AND THAT IS A
- 10 CHURCH THAT HAS BEEN THERE FOR 56 YEARS PROVIDING GOOD
- 11 NEIGHBOR AND SERVING THE COMMUNITY IT LOVES. AND THEIR MISSION
- 12 IS PROVIDING A SAFE HAVEN FOR CHILDREN, CREATING OPPORTUNITIES
- 13 FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT FOR FAMILIES, AND THAT HAS
- 14 CONTINUED TODAY. AND, AMONG THE CHURCH'S PROGRAMS, THEY HAVE
- 15 AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, WHICH IS LOOKING AT THE
- 16 ISSUES OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, HOMELESSNESS, MULTICULTURAL
- 17 POPULATION OF THE COMMUNITY AND, ALSO, OF COURSE, HELPING
- 18 CHILDREN, SENIORS, AND WOMEN'S PROGRAMS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH
- 19 FOR BEING WITH US. WE REALLY APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU.
- 20 [APPLAUSE]

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I'M VERY PROUD TO
- 25 PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO JUAN CARLOS OSPINA.

11

13

15

19

22

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 MR. OSPINA IS A MEMBER OF THE AMERICAN LEGION POST NUMBER 804.
- 2 HE SERVED AS A PRIVATE, FIRST CLASS, IN THE FIRST INFANTRY
- 3 DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY FROM 1979 THROUGH 1985. HIS
- 4 MANY DECORATIONS INCLUDE THE MEDAL OF GOOD CONDUCT, A NATIONAL
- 5 DEFENSE MEDAL, AN ARMY SERVICE MEDAL AND AN ARMY OVERSEAS
- 6 MEDAL AS WELL. MR. OSPINA IS MARRIED AND HE HAS TWO CHILDREN
- 7 AND HE RESIDES IN EAST L.A. ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF
- 8 SUPERVISORS, WE THANK YOU FOR LEADING US IN OUR PLEDGE OF
- 9 ALLEGIANCE AND WE'D LIKE TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF
- 10 APPRECIATION, SIR, CONGRATULATIONS.

12 JUAN CARLOS OSPINA: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. LET US PROCEED WITH THE AGENDA.

16 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMEN, MEMBERS OF THE

- 17 BOARD. WE'LL BEGIN ON PAGE 5. ON ITEM S-2, SUPERVISOR MOLINA
- 18 REQUESTS A TWO-WEEK CONTINUANCE. THAT'S THE... S-2.
- 20 SUP. MOLINA: I DON'T MIND THE REPORT BEING HEARD. I JUST WANT
- 21 THE RECOMMENDATIONS TO BE CONTINUED FOR A WEEK.
- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO THE REPORT WILL BE HEARD; THE
- 24 RECOMMENDATIONS BE CONTINUED TWO WEEKS. OKAY? DOES EVERYBODY
- 25 UNDERSTAND THAT? OKAY.



1

- 2 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY
- 3 DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM 1-D, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE
- 4 PUBLIC. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 12. ON ITEM
- 5 NUMBER 1, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ON ITEM NUMBER 2,
- 6 HOLD FOR SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ON
- 7 ITEM NUMBER 4, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS A ONE-WEEK
- 8 CONTINUANCE AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA REQUESTS THAT THE ITEM BE
- 9 HELD.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS A ONE-WEEK CONTINUANCE OKAY, SUPERVISOR
- 12 MOLINA?

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE A MOTION THAT I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO WE'LL HOLD IT FOR A
- 17 MOTION, THEN WE CAN CONTINUE IT IF WE SEE FIT. OKAY? I ALSO
- 18 SHOW HERE A HOLD ON ITEM NUMBER 3 FOR SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

19

20 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: I'M SORRY. I DIDN'T GET THAT ONE.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

- 24 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON ITEM NUMBER 5, 6, AND 7, HOLD FOR A
- 25 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ON ITEM NUMBER 8, WE'RE GOING TO HOLD



- 1 THAT FOR SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH BUT
- 2 I'LL READ THE AMENDMENT INTO THE RECORD. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 3 DIRECTS THE COMMISSION TO PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR
- 4 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TWO REMAINING RECOMMENDATIONS BY THE
- 5 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER WHICH THE SHERIFF IS CURRENTLY REVIEWING IN
- 6 ADDITION TO ANY OTHER RECOMMENDATION THE COMMISSION DEEMS
- 7 APPROPRIATE, AND THAT'S WITH RESPECT TO THE SHERIFF'S OVERTIME
- 8 PORTION OF THAT MOTION.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO THAT AMENDMENT WILL BE ON FOR THE
- 11 DISCUSSION WHICH IS HELD BY BOTH SUPERVISORS. IS THAT CORRECT?

12

13 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THAT'S CORRECT.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

16

- 17 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON ITEM NUMBER 9, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF
- 18 THE PUBLIC. ON ITEM NUMBER -- ITEMS NUMBER 10, 11, AND 12,
- 19 HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: SO THERE WERE NO CONSENT ITEMS ON THAT ITEM, IS
- 22 THAT CORRECT?

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EVERY ITEM UNDER THE BOARD WAS HELD.



1 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THAT'S CORRECT.

2

3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT'S-- OKAY.

- 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEMS 13 THROUGH
- 6 19. ON ITEM NUMBER 13, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ON
- 7 ITEM NUMBER 17, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ON ITEM
- 8 NUMBER 18, FOR THE RECORD, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, ON
- 9 ATTACHMENT 2, ON PAGE 10, ITEM NUMBER 10, UNDER "IMMIGRATION
- 10 AND REFUGEES, " SPECIFICALLY ITEM NUMBER F, "OPPOSE PROPOSALS
- 11 WHICH RESTRICT THE ELIGIBILITY OF REFUGEES FOR OTHER FEDERAL
- 12 PROGRAMS SUCH AS TAFF AND MEDICAID, OR WHICH WOULD SHIFT COST
- 13 OF PROVIDING CASH AND MEDICAL ASSISTANCE TO REFUGEES FROM THE
- 14 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT". SUPERVISOR
- 15 ANTONOVICH, FOR THE RECORD, ABSTAINS. ALSO, ON PAGE 6, ON
- 16 ATTACHMENT 2, ITEM NUMBER 6, EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING,
- 17 SPECIFICALLY ITEM E, "SUPPORT PROPOSALS WHICH PERMIT FULL
- 18 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COUNTY'S LIVING WAGE ORDINANCE". FOR THE
- 19 RECORD, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH VOTES "NO." ALSO ON PAGE 16,
- 20 ITEM NUMBER 21, THE CENSUS AND FEDERAL DATA, ITEM A, "SUPPORT
- 21 PROPOSALS WHICH PROMOTE ACCURATE, UNBIASED AND INCLUSIVE
- 22 CENSUS COUNTS AND SUPPORT THE USE OF SCIENTIFICALLY SOUND
- 23 SAMPLING METHODS TO AUGMENT THE DIRECT COUNT TO ENSURE THAT
- 24 ACCURATE DATA WILL BE AVAILABLE WITH WHICH TO EQUITABLY
- 25 ALLOCATE FUNDS AMONG STATES AND LOCALITIES". SUPERVISOR, FOR



- 1 THE RECORD -- SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH VOTES "NO." THAT'S ON ITEM
- 2 18. AND THEN ALSO...

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I ALSO "NO" ON 6-E.

5

6 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PARDON ME?

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I ALSO VOTED "NO" ON 6-E.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 6 WHAT?

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: E. UNDER THAT ITEM.

13

- 14 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THAT'S TO SUPPORT PROPOSALS WHICH PERMIT
- 15 FULL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COUNTY'S LIVING WAGE ORDINANCE.
- 16 OKAY? AND THEN WE'RE ALSO GOING TO HOLD ITEM 18 FOR A MEMBER
- 17 OF THE PUBLIC. OKAY.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH. I HAVE A HOLD ON IT AS WELL, TOO, TO
- 20 ADD AN AMENDMENT.

21

- 22 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** ON ITEM 19, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE
- 23 PUBLIC. SO BEFORE YOU IS ITEM 14, 15, AND 16.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED BY
- 2 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ASSESSOR, ITEM 20, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF
- 5 THE PUBLIC. AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, ITEM 21, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF
- 6 THE PUBLIC. ON ITEM 22, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SHEET, THE CHIEF
- 7 INFORMATION OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THE ITEM BE CONTINUED TWO
- 8 WEEKS.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ITEMS 23
- 13 AND 24. WE'RE GOING TO HOLD BOTH OF THOSE FOR A MEMBER OF THE
- 14 PUBLIC. COUNTY COUNSEL, ITEM 26 -- I'M SORRY, ITEM 25, HOLD
- 15 FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. FIRE DEPARTMENT, ITEMS 26 THROUGH
- 16 29. ON ITEM 26, 27, 28, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ITEM
- 17 29 IS BEFORE YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY
- 20 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

- 22 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: HEALTH SERVICES, ITEMS 30 THROUGH 33. ON
- 23 ITEM NUMBER 30, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ITEM 31, HOLD
- 24 FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ITEMS 32 AND 33 ARE BEFORE YOU.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED
- 2 BY SUPERVISOR BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES. ON ITEM NUMBER
- 5 34, SUPERVISOR BURKE REQUESTS THAT THE MATTER BE REFERRED TO
- 6 CLOSED SESSION, AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA REQUESTS THAT THE ITEM
- 7 BE HELD, AS WELL AS A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: REFER THAT TO CLOSED SESSION.

10

- 11 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 35 THROUGH 51. ON
- 12 ITEMS 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, HOLD FOR
- 13 A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. AND THE REMAINING 47 THROUGH 51 ARE
- 14 BEFORE YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 17 ANTONOVICH, THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 18 ORDERED.

19

20 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** SHERIFF, ITEM 52.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED
- 23 BY SUPERVISOR BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

24

25 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR, ITEM 53.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED BY
- 3 SUPERVISOR BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

- 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS. ON ITEM 54,
- 6 HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. AND, ON ITEM 55, THE COUNTY
- 7 COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT THE MATTER BE REFERRED TO CLOSED SESSION
- 8 AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA REQUESTS THAT THE ITEM BE HELD.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE'LL TAKE IT TO CLOSED SESSION FIRST AND
- 11 THEN...

12

- 13 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: OKAY. ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION, 56, "AN
- 14 ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 6, SALARIES OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 15 CODE BY ADDING AND CHANGING ONE CLASSIFICATION AND NUMBER OF
- 16 ORDINANCE POSITIONS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER
- 17 AND CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICE". THAT ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, CHAIR WILL
- 20 SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 22 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MISCELLANEOUS, ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA
- 23 REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
- 24 OFFICER WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE



- 1 MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. ITEM
- 2 57-A.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY
- 5 THE CHAIR. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

7 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** 57-B.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY
- 10 SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON 57-C, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC
- 13 AND, ON 57-D, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. THAT COMPLETES
- 14 THE READING OF THE AGENDA. I'M SORRY, MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I
- 15 BACK UP JUST A LITTLE BIT? ON ITEM 50 -- ON ITEM 50, THAT
- 16 SHOULD BE HELD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVE RECONSIDERATION, WE HAVE TO MOVE
- 19 RECONSIDERATION. IT'S BEEN APPROVED. MOVE FOR RECONSIDERATION.
- 20 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

- 22 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND THAT'S -- ALSO, ON THE SHERIFF, ITEM
- 23 52, A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED FOR RECONSIDERATION. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND ON THE ORDINANCE, 56, THAT SHOULD BE
- 5 RECONSIDERED AND HELD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVE FOR RECONSIDERATION. WITHOUT
- 8 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

- 10 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA.
- 11 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGINS WITH SUPERVISORIAL
- 12 DISTRICT NO. 3.

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I BELIEVE, MADAM CHAIR -- OR, MADAM CHAIR -
- 15 I BELIEVE WE HAVE, ON THE PRESENTATIONS, BEGIN WITH THE --
- 16 BRING FORWARD THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH. I'D LIKE TO ASK JACK
- 17 SIMMS TO COME FORWARD, OUR JANUARY 2004 EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH.
- 18 WITH NEARLY 15 YEARS OF EMPLOYMENT WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 19 ANGELES, JACK IS CURRENTLY A DEPUTY PROBATION OFFICER WITH OUR
- 20 PROBATION DEPARTMENT, WHERE HE IS VERY INVOLVED IN HELPING TO
- 21 EDUCATE THE KIDS ABOUT RESPONSIBILITY AND TEAMWORK. AT CAMP
- 22 GONZALEZ-- AT CAMP GONZALEZ, HE INSTITUTED A REWARDS PROGRAM
- 23 THAT HAS RESULTED IN A POSITIVE BEHAVIOR PROGRAM WITHIN
- 24 SEVERAL UNITS AT THE CAMP FACILITY. HE HAS WORKED ON VARIOUS
- 25 PROGRAMS AND WITH SEVERAL OUTSIDE AGENCIES, HELPING



- 1 PROBATIONERS ACCESS NEEDED SERVICES TO TURN THEIR LIVES
- 2 AROUND. ONE SUCH PROGRAM REMAINS IN PLACE. IT IS A MODEL FOR
- 3 INTERAGENCY OPERATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY. MR. SIMMS VOLUNTEERS
- 4 AT HIS CHURCH AS A COUNSELOR AND SERVES AS A VOLUNTEER MEMBER
- 5 OF MAYOR HAHN'S CRISIS RESPONSE TEAM TO PROVIDE SHORT-TERM
- 6 CRISIS INTERVENTION SERVICES TO THOSE TRAUMATIZED BY DEATH,
- 7 SERIOUS INJURY, OR VIOLENT CRIME. IN RECOGNITION OF THESE
- 8 ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND HIS DEDICATION AND HARD WORK, IT'S MY
- 9 PLEASURE TO CONGRATULATE MR. SIMMS AND PRESENT HIM THIS SCROLL
- 10 COMMENDING HIM AS THE JANUARY 2004 LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYEE
- 11 OF THE MONTH. JACK? [APPLAUSE]

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN?

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES?

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: WOULD YOU PERMIT ME?

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ABSOLUTELY.

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: I WOULD LIKE TO SAY A COUPLE WORDS ABOUT JACK
- 22 SIMMS, NOT ONLY BECAUSE HE HAS BEEN SUCH AN INVALUABLE SERVICE
- 23 TO MY COMMUNITY. MY FIELD STAFF FEEL THAT THEY CANNOT OPERATE
- 24 UNLESS THIS GENTLEMAN IS AROUND AND THEY WANTED ME TO SAY A
- 25 COUPLE OF WORDS BECAUSE I HOLD THEM ACCOUNTABLE IN THE



- 1 OUTCOMES AND THEY HAVE TO WORK WITH A LOT OF FOLKS WITHIN THE
- 2 DEPARTMENT. MR. SIMMS HAS BEEN A PART OF THE VALINDA TASK
- 3 FORCE WHICH WE HAVE ALWAYS SAID IS THE MODEL AS TO HOW TO DEAL
- 4 WITH MANY OF THESE ISSUES WITH REGARD TO PRESERVING, YOU KNOW,
- 5 THE QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES THROUGHOUT OUR COMMUNITY. HE HAS
- 6 ALSO BEEN A PART OF THE TASK FORCE IN EAST L.A. THIS IS A MAN
- 7 WHO UNDERSTANDS AND RESPECTS THAT EVERY SINGLE COMMUNITY
- 8 RESIDENT IS ENTITLED TO A HIGH-END QUALITY OF LIFE, AND HE HAS
- 9 TAKEN BEYOND THE BUREAUCRATIC PROCESSES, GOES OUT OF HIS WAY
- 10 TO MAKE THINGS WORK AND HAPPEN ON BEHALF OF THE COMMUNITY. I'M
- 11 VERY PROUD, JACK, THAT YOU ARE FINALLY BEING RECOGNIZED BY OUR
- 12 COUNTY AS THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH AND HOPEFULLY YOU'RE GOING
- 13 TO BE OUR EMPLOYEE OF THE YEAR, BECAUSE THIS IS A GENTLEMAN
- 14 THAT TRULY DESERVES THIS KIND OF RECOGNITION. I HAVE A
- 15 CASEWORKER THAT WORKS FOR YOU, VANESSA, WHO YOU'RE VERY
- 16 FAMILIAR WITH. SHE SAYS THIS GUY IS UNBELIEVABLE. SHE CALLS
- 17 HIM "THE BOMB". WHENEVER SHE NEEDS HIM, SHE CALLS ON JACK
- 18 SIMMS. SO, CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU, SIR, OUTSTANDING WORK.
- 19 [APPLAUSE]

- 21 JACK SIMMS: I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU. I MEAN, IT'S
- 22 BEEN A PLEASURE WORKING WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, THE
- 23 PROBATION FAMILY, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
- 24 COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS, ALL THE AGENCIES WORKING
- 25 TOGETHER, IT'S JUST BEEN A PLEASURE AND I WISH THEY COULD ALL



- 1 BE UP HERE TO ACCEPT THE AWARD WITH ME BECAUSE, WITHOUT THEM,
- 2 I WOULDN'T BE HERE. AND THANK YOU AGAIN FOR THE COMPLIMENTS.
- 3 IT'S BEEN MY PLEASURE. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

4

- 5 RICHARD SHUMSKY: SUPERVISOR MOLINA SAID IT VERY ELOQUENTLY. IN
- 6 PROBATION, WE BELIEVE THAT EVERY PROBATION OFFICER CAN MAKE AN
- 7 IMPACT AND MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN LIVES AND NOBODY EPITOMIZES
- 8 THAT MORE THAN JACK SIMMS. AND JACK HAS MADE A DIFFERENCE. AS
- 9 A FOOTBALL PLAYER, HE WAS AN IMPACT PLAYER, AS A COACH, HE WAS
- 10 AN IMPACT COACH, AND HE'S AN IMPACT PROBATION OFFICER. WE
- 11 THANK HIM AND WE THANK THE BOARD FOR RECOGNIZING HIS TALENTS.
- 12 [APPLAUSE]

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WANT TO GET EVERYBODY TOGETHER? NOW I WOULD
- 15 LIKE TO CALL UP THE MEMBERS OF THE SAFE SURRENDER TASK FORCE
- 16 TO JOIN ME UP HERE. ON JANUARY 19, 2002, A LITTLE BABY NAMED
- 17 ANDREW WAS FOUND ABANDONED IN A DUMPSTER IN MONROVIA. AND THIS
- 18 YOUNG INFANT INSPIRED THE HEARTS AND MINDS THROUGHOUT THIS
- 19 COUNTY TO COME TOGETHER AND CREATE A PROGRAM THAT HAS SAVED
- 20 THE LIVES OF SOME 19 NEWBORNS OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS. THIS
- 21 BOARD HERE CREATED THE SAFE SURRENDER TASK FORCE TO END BABY
- 22 ABANDONMENT IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. COMMUNITY LEADERS
- 23 FROM EVERY CORNER OF THE COUNTY WERE BROUGHT TOGETHER TO FORM
- 24 A VERY COMPREHENSIVE 12-POINT PLAN TO IDENTIFY AT-RISK
- 25 MOTHERS, FATHERS, AND THEN PROVIDE A SAFE AND CONFIDENTIAL



- 1 ALTERNATIVE TO ABANDONING A CHILD. THE SIMPLE MANTRA WAS "NO
- 2 SHAME, NO BLAME, NO NAMES", AND THAT WAS BEGINNING-- IT HAS
- 3 BECOME A NATIONAL MODEL FOR IMPLEMENTING A SAFE SURRENDER LAW
- 4 WHICH WE FOUND OUT WAS ON THE BOOKS FOR SOME ONE YEAR. WITH
- 5 THE HELP OF COMMUNITY PARTNERS LIKE FIRST FIVE L.A., THE SAFE
- 6 SURRENDER TASK FORCE HAS RAISED THE PUBLIC AWARENESS OF THE
- 7 SAFE SURRENDER PROGRAM, DRAMATICALLY INCREASING THE NUMBER OF
- 8 SAFE -- DESIGNATED SAFE SURRENDER LOCATIONS. WE HERE IN THE
- 9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOT ONLY ADDED, BESIDES ALL THE
- 10 HOSPITALS, ALL OF OUR COUNTY FIRE STATIONS AND A MAJORITY OF
- 11 THE OTHER CITIES HAVE NOW COME ON BOARD. IN ADDITION, WE ARE
- 12 MOVING TO MAKE ADDITIONAL LEGISLATIVE CHANGES TO FURTHER
- 13 FACILITATE THE SAVING OF THESE YOUNG LIVES. JUST 16 MONTHS...
- 14 SHHH. WE GET EVERYBODY TO CALM DOWN THERE A BIT? JUST 16
- 15 MONTHS AFTER THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED THE IMPLEMENTATION
- 16 OF THEIR 12-POINT RECOMMENDATION, THE SAFE SURRENDER TASK
- 17 FORCE REPORTED THAT IT HAD SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED EVERY
- 18 OBJECTIVE IT SET OUT TO ACHIEVE. TODAY, WE HAVE THE PLEASURE
- 19 OF COMMENDING THE MEMBERS OF THIS TASK FORCE FOR THE SERVICE
- 20 AND COMMITMENT TO MAKING THIS PROGRAM A REALITY. IN THE FIRST
- 21 YEAR AFTER SAFE SURRENDER TOOK EFFECT, 14 BABIES WERE
- 22 ABANDONED IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. NONE WERE SAFELY
- 23 SURRENDERED. LAST YEAR, THE NUMBER WAS REDUCED TO EIGHT, AND
- 24 18 NEWBORNS HAVE BEEN TAKEN OUT OF HARM'S WAY AND PLACED IN
- 25 SAFE HANDS AND I UNDERSTAND, JUST A COUPLE WEEKS AGO, THAT



- I NUMBER IS NOW 19 BECAUSE I HEARD THIS WHISPERING BEHIND ME
- 2 THAT, OH, I'VE MISSED IT BY ONE. THESE MEN AND WOMEN STANDING
- 3 BEFORE YOU HAVE MADE A TRUE DIFFERENCE AND THEY'RE TRUE HEROES
- 4 IN OUR COMMUNITY AND THEY DESERVE OUR THANKS. AS I TELL
- 5 EVERYONE, I HAVE NEVER SEEN A TASK FORCE IMPLEMENTED, PUT
- 6 TOGETHER, SOME 40-SOME PEOPLE COMING TOGETHER ON A TASK FORCE
- 7 IN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME BEING ABLE TO COME UP WITH A
- 8 CONSENSUS, REACH A PLAN, AND IMPLEMENT IT IN A MATTER OF
- 9 WEEKS. AND IT TRULY WAS A MATTER OF LIFE AND DEATH. AND SO, ON
- 10 BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES ON THE BOARD, WE WANT TO
- 11 SAY A HEARTFELT THANKS FOR ALL YOUR EFFORTS. WE WERE ABLE TO
- 12 PRESENT INDIVIDUAL SCROLLS UPSTAIRS. AND, YOLIE, I'D LIKE FOR
- 13 YOU TO COME FORWARD HERE AND ACCEPT THIS SCROLL AND GET
- 14 EVERYONE AROUND YOU BUT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THIS IS THE
- 15 SAFE SURRENDER TASK FORCE, IT TRULY MADE A DIFFERENCE IN THE
- 16 LIVES OF YOUNG PEOPLE. [APPLAUSE]

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND ONE THING I FORGOT. BABY ANDREW WAS
- 19 FOUND BY BILL PELLMAN'S SON ON THAT NIGHT SO...

- 21 YOLIE: LET ME JUST QUICKLY THANK-- ACCEPT THIS ON BEHALF OF
- 22 THE TASK FORCE AND THANK THE TASK FORCE FOR THEIR WORK. I
- 23 THINK WE WOULD HAVE ACCOMPLISHED QUITE AS MUCH AS WE WERE ABLE
- 24 TO AND REALLY BEGIN TO REACH OUR GOAL OF ZERO BABIES ABANDONED
- 25 IN L.A. COUNTY WITHOUT THE KIND OF PARTNERSHIP AND



- 1 COLLABORATION AND TEAMWORK THAT REALLY CAME TOGETHER ON BEHALF
- 2 OF ALL BABIES IN L.A. COUNTY AND, IN PARTICULAR, WITHOUT YOUR
- 3 LEADERSHIP, SUPERVISOR KNABE, WE MIGHT NOT HAVE DONE THIS
- 4 IMPORTANT WORK SO THANK YOU AS WELL.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: LET'S GET EVERYBODY TOGETHER HERE.
- 7 [APPLAUSE]

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IS DR. DAVID SANDERS HERE? SANDY, IS... TODAY
- 12 WE'D LIKE TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR AN INDIVIDUAL THAT'S REALLY
- 13 DONE A GREAT JOB AS A TIRELESS ADVOCATE FOR OUR COUNTY'S
- 14 FOSTER YOUTH. ERNESTINE FIELDS IS ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT
- 15 WONDERFUL PROGRAM WHERE THOSE CHILDREN WHO GO THROUGH THE
- 16 DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY CHILDREN'S COURT RECEIVE THOSE TEDDY
- 17 BEARS. IT HELPS THEM WHEN THEY ARE FACED WITH A VERY TRAUMATIC
- 18 EXPERIENCE AT SUCH A VERY YOUNG AGE IN THEIR LIFE. WORKING
- 19 WITH OUR OFFICE, ERNESTINE'S EFFORTS RESULTED IN A VERY
- 20 SUCCESSFUL EFFORT WHERE WE WERE ABLE TO PROVIDE FOSTER YOUTH
- 21 WITH THEIR LIBRARY PROGRAM, A LIBRARY CARD THAT ALLOWS THEM TO
- 22 OBTAIN ACCESS TO MANY OF OUR EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES PROVIDED BY
- 23 ALL OF OUR COUNTY LIBRARIES. UP TO THIS TIME, FOSTER YOUTH DID
- 24 NOT HAVE LIBRARY CARDS OR COULD RECEIVE A LIBRARY CARD BUT,
- 25 THROUGH ERNESTINE'S EFFORTS AND OUR MOTION WHICH WE HAD



- 1 APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THAT'S NOW HISTORY. SHE
- 2 HAS TAKEN THIS LIBRARY CARD PROGRAM NOW STATEWIDE AND ACROSS
- 3 THE NATION TO ALLOW MILLIONS OF CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE TO
- 4 HAVE THE SAME OPPORTUNITY THAT WE ARE NOW PROVIDING THE
- 5 CHILDREN IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. SO, ERNESTINE, THANK YOU FOR
- 6 YOUR LEADERSHIP AND WORKING WITH OUR OFFICE, AND WE WISH YOU
- 7 MANY MORE SUCCESS IN HELPING OUR FOSTER CHILDREN. [APPLAUSE]

8

- 9 DR. DAVID SANDERS: I'VE KNOWN MISS FIELDS SINCE I'VE BEEN
- 10 HERE, AND FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO DON'T KNOW HER, SHE IS A FORCE
- 11 AND SHE HAS BEEN FOCUSED ON HOW TO IMPROVE SERVICES TO KIDS IN
- 12 FOSTER CARE FOR MANY, MANY YEARS THROUGH HER WORK AS A
- 13 DEPENDENCY COURT ATTORNEY AND THEN IN HER WORK WITH THE TEDDY
- 14 BEAR PROGRAM FOR KIDS. I THINK THAT HER LATEST EFFORT IN
- 15 FOCUSING ON HOW TO MAKE SURE CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE HAVE
- 16 ACCESS TO LIBRARY CARDS AND LIBRARY BOOKS HAS BEEN ONE OF HER
- 17 MOST IMPORTANT AND I'M VERY PLEASED TO BE UP HERE TO HONOR HER
- 18 AND TO CONGRATULATE HER AND I HOPE THAT I WILL HAVE MANY, MANY
- 19 MORE YEARS OF WORKING WITH HER ON VERY SUCCESSFUL INITIATIVES.
- 20 SO THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MARGARET TODD, OUR LIBRARIAN.

- 24 MARGARET TODD: WELL, ERNESTINE IS A BALL OF FIRE AND WHAT A
- 25 SIMPLE THING TO BE ABLE TO DO FOR FOSTER CHILDREN AND SHE'S



- 1 THE PERSON WHO BROUGHT IT TO US AND SAID, "LOOK, YOU NEED TO
- 2 BE ABLE TO FIND A WAY, SO, BECAUSE OF ERNESTINE, WE FOUND A
- 3 WAY. THANK YOU, ERNESTINE. [APPLAUSE]

- 5 ERNESTINE FIELDS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH,
- 6 CHAIRMAN, AND ALL THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I ALSO WANT TO
- 7 THANK ALL OF MY FRIENDS WHO CAME HERE TODAY. THEY RESCHEDULED
- 8 THINGS IN THEIR BUSY PRACTICE, IN THEIR BUSINESS, AND I'M VERY
- 9 TOUCHED THAT THEY'RE HERE TODAY TO HELP ME CELEBRATE THIS
- 10 GREAT HONOR. AT THIS TIME, I ALSO WANT TO TAKE JUST A FEW
- 11 MINUTES TO THANK THE PEOPLE WHO MADE THIS POSSIBLE. NUMBER
- 12 ONE, OF COURSE, WAS SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, WHO GOT THIS WHOLE
- 13 THING ROLLING AND DIRECTED THE COUNTY LIBRARY AND THE D.C.F.S.
- 14 TO COME UP WITH A PILOT PROGRAM, WHICH THEY DID. I ALSO WANT
- 15 TO THANK OUR COUNTY LIBRARIAN, MARGARET TODD, AND PENNY
- 16 MARQUIS, WHO WAS IN CHARGE OF DIRECTING THE NEW COMPUTER
- 17 PROGRAM FOR THE CHILDREN SO THAT, ONCE THEY RECEIVED THEIR
- 18 LIBRARY CARD, IT WOULD BE IN THE SYSTEM FOR AS LONG AS THEY
- 19 COULD USE IT. I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE PEOPLE AT THE
- 20 COURTHOUSE WHO MADE THIS POSSIBLE, TOO. I WANT TO THANK RANDY
- 21 HENDERSON, THE DEPENDENCY COURT ADMINISTRATOR; THE HONORABLE
- 22 EMILY STEVENS, OUR SUPERVISING JUDGE; AND, MOST OF ALL, I WANT
- 23 TO THANK THE HONORABLE MICHAEL NASH, OUR PRESIDING JUDGE, WHO
- 24 HAS ALWAYS ENCOURAGED ME TO DO THE VERY BEST I CAN DO FOR OUR
- 25 BOYS AND GIRLS. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, TODAY WE WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME THE MISS
- 3 2004 CHINATOWN QUEEN AND COURT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 4 AND WE ALL KNOW THAT TOMORROW IS NEW YEAR'S EVE IN THE ASIAN
- 5 COMMUNITY AND JANUARY 22ND IS NEW YEAR'S DAY. ON JANUARY 10TH,
- 6 THESE INDIVIDUALS WERE HONORED AT THE 35TH ANNUAL MISS LOS
- 7 ANGELES CHINATOWN PAGEANT WHICH WAS SPONSORED BY THE CHINESE
- 8 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF LOS ANGELES. AND WITH US TODAY ARE THE
- 9 QUEEN AND MEMBERS OF THE COURT. THE FIRST ONE IS OUR QUEEN,
- 10 WHO WAS SPONSORED BY THE GOLDEN SECURITY BANK, AND THAT'S
- 11 HEIDI SUNG WAN, WHO IS 18 YEARS OLD. SHE WAS RAISED IN THE
- 12 SANTA CLARITA VALLEY AND CURRENTLY RESIDES IN WEST LOS
- 13 ANGELES, WHERE SHE'S COMPLETING HER GENERAL EDUCATION AT SANTA
- 14 MONICA COLLEGE, WHERE SHE PLANS TO TRANSFER TO THE UNIVERSITY
- 15 OF CALIFORNIA-SAN DIEGO TO EARN HER BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN
- 16 ECONOMICS. SHE'S VOLUNTEERED FOR THE HENRY MAYO HOSPITAL, AND
- 17 AT EVENTS SPONSORED BY THE KEY CLUB, SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS,
- 18 AND AT THE RED CROSS. SO, HEIDI, CONGRATULATIONS AND WELCOME.
- 19 [APPLAUSE]

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FIRST PRINCESS IS STACEY SHEE, WHO'S 25 YEARS
- 22 OLD. SHE WAS RAISED IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND SHE WAS
- 23 SPONSORED BY THE CARABESOUE HOME HEALTH. SHE RECEIVED HER
- 24 BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION FROM CALIFORNIA
- 25 STATE UNIVERSITY AT FULLERTON. SHE OWNS LABELLE IMAGE AND A



- 1 BEAUTY TRAINING CONSULTING AGENCY, WHERE SHE PROVIDES TRAINING
- 2 FOR MAJOR COSMETIC COMPANIES AND VARIOUS MODELING AGENCIES. IN
- 3 ADDITION TO HER ENTREPRENEURIAL VENTURE, SHE HAS VOLUNTEERED
- 4 TO SUPPLY WORKSHOPS FOR VARIOUS WOMEN'S ORGANIZATIONS,
- 5 INCLUDING THE ASIAN WOMEN'S IN BUSINESS, WOMEN'S LEADERSHIP
- 6 EXCHANGE AND TAIWANESE WOMEN'S WORLD-WIDE. SO, STACEY,
- 7 CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OUR SECOND PRINCESS IS NICOLE CHU, WHO IS 20
- 10 YEARS OLD. SHE WAS RAISED IN PALOS VERDES AND WAS SPONSORED BY
- 11 MISS LYDIA HOWE. SHE'S CURRENTLY A DOUBLE MAJOR AT CALIFORNIA
- 12 STATE UNIVERSITY AT IRVINE WHERE SHE'S BEEN ON THE DEAN'S
- 13 HONOR LIST FOR EVERY QUARTER. SHE WOULD LIKE TO ATTEND LAW
- 14 SCHOOL AND SHE IS CURRENTLY PRESIDENT OF THE HOPPA MIX MULTI-
- 15 CULTURAL INTERRACIAL EXPERIENCE CLUB, A MEMBER OF THE GOLDEN
- 16 KEY NATIONAL HONOR SOCIETY, AN ACTIVE VOLUNTEER FOR THE
- 17 ASIAN/AMERICAN LEGAL CENTER IN LOS ANGELES. [APPLAUSE]

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OUR THIRD PRINCESS IS LORETTA LUNG. SHE'S 25
- 20 YEARS OLD. SHE CURRENTLY RESIDES IN WALNUT, SPONSORED BY THE
- 21 J.J. CAFE, EDUCATED AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS
- 22 ANGELES WITH A BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN SOCIOLOGY WHERE SHE
- 23 MINORED IN PUBLIC POLICY. SHE ASPIRES TO BECOME AN ATTORNEY
- 24 AND ENTREPRENEUR. SHE DEVOTES HER PROFESSIONAL LIFE TO
- 25 TEACHING AND IMPROVING HER FLUENCY IN CHINESE. SHE SERVES AS A



- 1 PUBLIC RELATIONS DIRECTOR FOR YOUTH CENTER COMPASS AND IS THE
- 2 FORMER VOLUNTEER COORDINATION FOR APEX YOUTH OLYMPICS L.A.
- 3 8020. [APPLAUSE]

4

- 5 SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON. WE'RE HERE ON BEHALF OF THE CHINESE
- 6 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND WE WOULD LIKE TO INVITE EVERYONE TO
- 7 THE GOLDEN DRAGON PARADE THIS SATURDAY, THE 24TH. IF YOU HAVE
- 8 ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT THE CHINESE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
- 9 AND WE HOPE TO SEE EVERYONE THERE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND
- 10 HAPPY NEW YEAR. [APPLAUSE]

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE ARE TWO PARADES. ONE BEGINS AT, I
- 13 BELIEVE, 10:00 IN SAN GABRIEL, ALHAMBRA, AND THE OTHER ONE'S
- 14 AT 2:00 IN DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES SO IT WILL BE AN ACTIVE
- 15 WEEKEND. NOW WE WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME DARREN PARKER. DARREN IS
- 16 THE PRESIDENT OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY HUMAN RELATIONS TASK
- 17 FORCE. THIS WAS ORGANIZED IN 1996 TO RESPOND TO HATE CRIMES IN
- 18 THE VALLEY. IT WAS STAFFED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSION ON HUMAN
- 19 RELATIONS AND THEY REPORT ALL SUCH HATE CRIME INCIDENTS AND AS
- 20 WELL AS CRIME AND HUMAN RELATIONS ISSUES TO THE BOARD OF
- 21 SUPERVISORS AND THE COMMUNITY. MEMBERS INCLUDE BOTH THE MAYORS
- 22 OF PALMDALE AND LANCASTER, OTHER ELECTED OFFICIALS AS WELL AS
- 23 REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, THE F.B.I.,
- 24 HIGHWAY PATROL, COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS, INCLUDING
- 25 RELIGIOUS AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS, AND FROM MY OFFICE. SO



- 1 WE WANT TO CONGRATULATE DARREN FOR DOING A FINE JOB FOR THE
- 2 VALLEY AND WISH YOU CONTINUED SUCCESS. [APPLAUSE]

- 4 DARREN PARKER: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIRMAN, HONORABLE MEM-
- 5 EXCUSE ME, HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I STAND HERE TODAY
- 6 AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF OVER A QUARTER MILLION RESIDENTS OF THE
- 7 ANTELOPE VALLEY. 10 YEARS AGO, WE PUT TOGETHER A MISSION IN
- 8 THE ANTELOPE VALLEY TO INSTALL A SYSTEM TO FACILITATE THE
- 9 REPORTING, INVESTIGATION, AND PROSECUTION OF HATE CRIMES, TO
- 10 LEND VICTIM ASSISTANCE AND IDENTIFY INITIATIVES TO
- 11 SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE THE INCIDENCE OF HATE CRIMES IN THE
- 12 ANTELOPE VALLEY. VERY SELDOM DOES THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OR
- 13 ANY OTHER ELECTED LEADER GET INPUT FROM THE COMMUNITY ON SOME
- 14 OF THE PROGRAMS AND PROCESSES THAT THEY HAVE PUT IN PLACE TO
- 15 HELP THAT COMMUNITY WITH THE QUALITY OF LIFE. I'M HERE TODAY
- 16 BECAUSE I THOUGHT IT WAS A CRITICAL ELEMENT OF THE SUCCESS NOT
- 17 ONLY OF THIS BOARD BUT OF OUR COMMUNITY THAT WE SHARE WITH THE
- 18 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH THAT HIS GREAT
- 19 LEADERSHIP AND THE SUPPORT OF THIS GREAT BOARD HAS MADE A
- 20 DIFFERENCE IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. AS TWO OF THE TOP GROWING
- 21 CITIES IN THE NATION AND PROBABLY HERE IN THE COUNTY OVER THE
- 22 LAST TWO YEARS, WE'VE HAD SOME CHANGES IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY
- 23 THAT ARE UNIOUE TO HAVING SUCH A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF GROWTH
- 24 BUT VERY RARE DO PEOPLE COME AND GIVE YOU FEEDBACK ON WHAT
- 25 THOSE PROGRAMS DO. BECAUSE OF THE HUMAN RELATIONS TASK FORCE,



- 1 WE HAVE A WEBSITE-- AND I'M GOING TO SHARE THAT INFORMATION
- 2 WITH THE BOARD. BECAUSE OF THE HUMAN RELATIONS TASK FORCE, THE
- 3 ANTELOPE VALLEY HAS A TOLL-FREE HOTLINE NUMBER WHERE ANYONE
- 4 CAN REPORT INCIDENTS OF HATE CRIME. BECAUSE OF THE BOARD OF
- 5 SUPERVISORS AND BECAUSE OF SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, WE DO TEEN
- 6 SUMMITS IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY WHERE, LAST YEAR, OVER 5,000
- 7 STUDENTS PLEDGED TO KEEP A PROMISE OF PEACE AND TOLERANCE IN
- 8 THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. WE DO TEEN SUMMITS AT THE HIGH SCHOOLS
- 9 AND MIDDLE SCHOOLS -- TO OUR KNOWLEDGE, THOSE ARE THE FIRST IN
- 10 THE NATION AND HERE IN THE COUNTY -- WHERE WE BRING STUDENTS
- 11 TOGETHER TO DO ALL-DAY WORKSHOP CONFERENCES IN ORDER TO TEACH
- 12 DIVERSITY AND TEACH HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO GRASP THE
- 13 DIFFERENCES OF EACH OTHER'S CULTURE AND EMBRACE THOSE
- 14 DIFFERENCES SO WE CAN BE A BETTER PLACE AND HAVE A BETTER
- 15 OUALITY OF LIFE. A YEAR AGO, THE HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION OF
- 16 THE ANTELOPE VALLEY COMMISSIONED EIGHT MINI BILLBOARDS AND
- 17 THEY WERE TO BE PRESENTED TO THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT, EVEN WHEN
- 18 IT WAS NOT POPULAR, TOOK IT UPON THEMSELVES TO SEE THAT THE
- 19 VISION AND THE OUALITY OF LIFE FOR THOSE COMMUNITY MEMBERS
- 20 THAT WERE SUFFERING UNDER THE TAX OF HATE COULD BE STOPPED. WE
- 21 COMMISSIONED ONLY EIGHT OF THESE AND I'M HERE TO PROUDLY
- 22 PRESENT ONE OF THESE AS A TOKEN OF THE APPRECIATION FOR ALL
- 23 THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY TO
- 24 SUPERVISOR MICHAEL ANTONOVICH AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 25 [APPLAUSE]



1

2 ROBIN TOMA: WE HAVE, UNFORTUNATELY, HATE CRIMES OCCURRING ALL

- 3 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY AND WE RECENTLY RELEASED A REPORT ABOUT
- 4 THAT. BUT NOT IN ALL COMMUNITIES DO WE HAVE THE KIND OF
- 5 RESPONSE WE'VE SEEN IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. THEY HAVE BROUGHT
- 6 TOGETHER THE KEY PERSONNEL FROM THE CITY GOVERNMENTS, SCHOOL
- 7 DISTRICTS, COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS, AND, WITH THE
- 8 SUPPORT OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND PARTICULARLY
- 9 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, THEY'VE BEEN IMPLEMENTING VERY
- 10 EFFECTIVE PROGRAMS. AND I'M REALLY PROUD TO BE HERE, TO BE
- 11 WITH DARREN PARKER, WHOSE LEADERSHIP HAS BEEN CRITICAL TO THE
- 12 ANTELOPE VALLEY HUMAN RELATIONS TASK FORCE AS WELL AS
- 13 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH FOR HIS SUPPORT AT A TIME WHEN MANY
- 14 COMMUNITIES WERE NOT TAKING A PROACTIVE STANCE FOR WHAT HE'S
- 15 DONE TO HELP ANTELOPE VALLEY FIGHT HATE CRIMES. THANK YOU VERY
- 16 MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

- 18 SPEAKER: I JUST WANT TO REPEAT THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT ARE
- 19 HAPPENING IN ANTELOPE VALLEY AND, AS STAFF MEMBER FOR THE
- 20 COUNTY COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS IN MAKING ALL THIS
- 21 HAPPEN, WITH THE LEADERSHIP OF DARREN PARKER, SUPERVISOR
- 22 ANTONOVICH, AND ROBIN TOMA, I THINK THAT THIS COULD BE
- 23 REPLICATED AND, IF YOU NEED ASSISTANCE IN DOING THIS FOR YOUR
- 24 COMMUNITIES, PLEASE CONTACT US AT THE COUNTY COMMISSION ON
- 25 HUMAN RELATIONS.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW WE WOULD LIKE TO BRING OUT A LITTLE
- 3 EIGHT-WEEK-OLD FEMALE LABRADOR MIX. HER NAME IS BELLA. SHE'S
- 4 LOOKING FOR A HOME. THIS IS LITTLE BELLA. MAYBE THEY'LL EVEN
- 5 PUT A BELL ON HER. ANYBODY WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT BELLA, YOU
- 6 CAN CALL AREA CODE 562-728-4644. ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WHO
- 7 IS INTERESTED IN LITTLE BELLA, WE HAVE A PERSON IN THE FRONT
- 8 ROW, YOU CAN GO OVER ON THE SIDE HERE, AND OUR ANIMAL CONTROL
- 9 LADY WILL TALK TO YOU RIGHT NOW. THIS IS LITTLE BELLA. SHE HAS
- 10 SOME COUSINS ALSO THAT ARE WAITING TO FIND A NEW HOME. THANK
- 11 YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU HAVE
- 14 ANYTHING?

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: NO.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUP. BURKE?

- 20 SUP. BURKE: BEFORE I START MY PRESENTATIONS, I DO WANT TO
- 21 RECOGNIZE, FIRST OF ALL, MASTER CHUNG, WHO HAS MADE SUCH A
- 22 DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF HUMAN RELATIONS WITHIN THE KOREAN AND
- 23 AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITIES AND HE'S ALSO WORKED VERY HARD
- 24 ESTABLISHING BETTER INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS BETWEEN KOREA AND
- 25 JAPAN, AND HE HAS SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO WERE INTERNATIONAL



- 1 GRAND MARSHALS FOR THE KING DAY PARADE THAT I'M GOING TO
- 2 INTRODUCE. AND WHERE ARE THEY -- IN THE FRONT THERE. AND
- 3 THEY'LL PLEASE STAND. DR. CHUN KIM MOO FROM KOREA.
- 4 INTERNATIONAL CELEBRITY GRAND MARSHAL REVEREND OKAWA, WHO'S
- 5 FROM JAPAN, AND WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE, ALSO, THERE WERE SOME
- 6 OTHER CO-GRAND MARSHALS, TOO, AND WE'D LIKE FOR THEM TO ALL
- 7 STAND SO THAT WE CAN RECOGNIZE YOU. WE ALSO HAVE... [APPLAUSE]

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: ...A WHOLE GROUP OF YOUNG PEOPLE THAT ARE HERE,
- 10 AND THESE ARE STUDENTS, AREN'T THEY, SOME OF YOUR STUDENTS AND
- 11 STUDENTS WHO HAVE COME HERE FROM KOREA TO VISIT, AND THEY WERE
- 12 PART OF THE PARADE, AND SO WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE THEM, AND THEY
- 13 ARE ALL REALLY VERY EAGER AND HAVE ENJOYED THEIR STAY HERE
- 14 VERY MUCH. WE HAVE ALSO SOME DIGNITARIES THAT -- FROM THE
- 15 SEOUL METRO CITY, AND THAT'S KON NYUNG MAYOR OF THE SHIN SUNG
- 16 SHOAL. IS THAT RIGHT? HE'S OVER HERE. THANK YOU FOR BEING
- 17 HERE. [APPLAUSE]

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE: WE HAVE LEE YOUNG YAO, WHO IS HERE. THANK YOU FOR
- 20 BEING HERE. [APPLAUSE]

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: AND TIKA DEE KIM, TAE KWON DO MARTIAL ARTS
- 23 CHAMPION, INTERNATIONAL CHAMPIONS. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE.
- 24 THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]



- 1 SUP. BURKE: AND ALL THESE YOUNG PEOPLE ARE INVOLVED IN MARTIAL
- 2 ARTS, AREN'T THEY? ALL OF THESE YOUNG PEOPLE ARE INVOLVED IN
- 3 MARTIAL ARTS.

4

- 5 SPEAKER: RIGHT. WE ARE GOING TO ALL SHOW A DEMONSTRATION OF
- 6 [FOREIGN LANGUAGE]. TOMORROW, WE ARE GOING TO SACRAMENTO.

7

8 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. YOU WANT TO TELL US?

9

- 10 TIKA DEE KIM: I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE YOU MORE ABOUT THIS
- 11 INTERNATIONAL. WE'RE KNOWN THAT FAMOUS PRESENTATION IN WORLD
- 12 OF MARTIAL ARTS AND TAE KWON DO DEMONSTRATION TEAM. WE WERE
- 13 PARTICIPATED YESTERDAY IN THE DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING PARADE.
- 14 OF COURSE, ALSO, TOMORROW, WE ARE GOING TO SACRAMENTO AND
- 15 ASSEMBLY SESSION. SO THEY ARE THE FORUM THAT WE ARE GOING TO
- 16 HAVE SOME- SOME-- EACH OTHER FOR EXCHANGE OF THE CULTURAL
- 17 PROGRAM AND ALSO WESTERN SPEAKER AT THE RECEPTION. AND ALSO,
- 18 AFTER THAT, WE'RE GOING TO LUNCHEON WITH C.H.P. AND AFTERWARD
- 19 OUR TAE KWON DO DEMONSTRATION AND THE ACADEMIC STUDENTS SHOW
- 20 THAT, YOU KNOW, THE TRAFFIC FOR THEIR SHOOTING DEMONSTRATION,
- 21 HELICOPTERS, AND ALL THOSE EXCHANGE, EVERYONE THE SAME --
- 22 EXCHANGE PROGRAM. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR COMING. [APPLAUSE]

- 24 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONTINUED WORK AND WE
- 25 APPRECIATE IT. AT THIS POINT, I'D LIKE TO CALL UP DOROTHY



- 1 BRENEGER AND SHE HAS DONE SOMETHING THAT NOT VERY MANY PEOPLE
- 2 DO AND I THINK WE HAVE, FROM MY STAFF, WE HAVE SOME PICTURES
- 3 OF THE WORK THAT SHE DID THAT I JUST WOULD LIKE FOR PEOPLE TO
- 4 SEE. THERE WAS A SENIOR CITIZEN WHO RESIDES IN LENNOX AND HE'D
- 5 BEEN THERE SINCE 1964 AND HE'S HERE WITH US. SOON AFTER HE AND
- 6 HIS WIFE SEPARATED, HE BEGAN WORKING ON OLD BICYCLES. AND THIS
- 7 BEGAN A PERIOD IN HIS LIFE WHEN HE STARTED ACCUMULATING NOT
- 8 ONLY BICYCLES, BUT ALSO ANYTHING ELSE THAT CAME HIS WAY.
- 9 FINALLY, HIS WHOLE HOUSE WAS CROWDED WITH STUFF AND TO THE
- 10 POINT WHERE HE HAD TO SLEEP OUTSIDE ON A SOFA ON A PORCH MOST
- 11 NIGHTS. HE IS NOW 75 YEARS OLD AND, FOR SOME TIME, HIS
- 12 NEIGHBORS HAD COMPLAINED ABOUT THE CLUTTER BUT HIS OBSESSION
- 13 WITH HOARDING MADE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR OTHERS TO HELP HIM GET
- 14 RID OF IT. AFTER SEEING HIM FACED WITH JAIL TIME, ONE OF THE
- 15 COUNTY COUNSEL STAFF, SARI STEEL, QUICKLY BEGAN A SEARCH TO
- 16 FIND SOMEONE WHO COULD HELP. SHE FOUND DOROTHY BRENEGER AND
- 17 SHE IS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR THE CENTER FOR ORGANIZATION
- 18 AND GOAL PLANNING. AND SOMEHOW SHE MANAGED TO WORK WITH HIM
- 19 AND SHE HAS WORKED WITH HIM OVER A PERIOD OF TIME. SHE HAS
- 20 CLEANED UP HIS PROPERTY AND HE IS NOT GOING TO JAIL. HE IS
- 21 ACTUALLY LIVING WITH NO THINGS. RIGHT? WITH LESS THINGS.

23 MR. DRUM: LESS THINGS.

24



- 1 SUP. BURKE: LESS THINGS. OKAY. AND IT'S A WIN-WIN SITUATION
- 2 FOR ALL CONCERNED, AND I'M VERY PLEASED TO PRESENT THIS SCROLL
- 3 TO DOROTHY BRENEGER. [APPLAUSE]

4

- 5 DOROTHY BRENEGER: I HAVE A VERY IMPORTANT QUESTION FOR ALL OF
- 6 YOU HERE IN THE ROOM. HOW MANY OF YOU HAVE STOOD IN YOUR
- 7 OFFICES LOADED WITH PAPERWORK, STARING IMMOBILIZED, NOT
- 8 KNOWING WHAT TO DO? YES? MAYBE? [LAUGHTER] AND THAT'S PROBABLY
- 9 WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH MR. DRUM AND I WOULD LIKE TO THANK SARI
- 10 STEEL AND THE L.A. COUNTY STAFF FOR BEING SO CREATIVE IN THEIR
- 11 SOLUTION AND ON BEHALF OF THE CENTER FOR ORGANIZATION, MY
- 12 COMPANY AND STAFF, THANK YOU FOR RECOGNIZING ME. [APPLAUSE]

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT CONCLUDES MY
- 15 PRESENTATIONS.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:
- 18 NOTHING.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE'VE HAD A COUPLE OF REQUESTS TO SPEAK.
- 23 ALSO, WE HAVE A TRANSPORTATION ISSUE. COUNCIL MEMBER LAURENE
- 24 WESTE, YOU WANTED TO SPEAK IN REGARDS TO CS-2? IT WILL BE
- 25 AWHILE BEFORE WE GET TO THAT SO IF YOU WANT TO GO AHEAD AND



- 1 MAKE YOUR COMMENTS. LAURENE WESTE: THANK YOU SO MUCH, CHAIRMAN
- 2 KNABE. I REALLY APPRECIATE YOU ALLOWING ME THE TIME. GOOD
- 3 AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS AND CHAIRMAN KNABE. I AM COUNCIL MEMBER
- 4 LAURENE WESTE OF THE CITY OF SANTA CLARITA AND I WOULD LIKE TO
- 5 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME TODAY AND LET YOU KNOW THAT I'M HERE
- 6 TO REPRESENT THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY AND OVER 100
- 7 ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THE COUNTY'S POSSIBLE
- 8 SETTLEMENT WITH THE CEMEX ON THEIR PROPOSED TRANSIT MIX
- 9 CONCRETE PROJECT. AS YOU KNOW, THIS ITEM IS BEFORE YOU TODAY
- 10 ON YOUR CLOSED SESSION AGENDA UNDER CEMEX VERSUS L.A. COUNTY.
- 11 THE LAST TIME I TESTIFIED BEFORE YOU, I MENTIONED THAT OUR
- 12 CONGRESSMAN, HOWARD BUCK MCKEON, HAD INTRODUCED LEGISLATION
- 13 THAT WOULD FEDERALLY MANDATE MINING TO HISTORICAL LEVELS IN
- 14 SOLEDAD CANYON AREA. SINCE THEN, SENATOR BARBARA BOXER HAS
- 15 ALSO ANNOUNCED THAT SHE WOULD BE INTRODUCING THE SAME
- 16 LEGISLATION AS A COMPANION BILL THROUGH THE SENATE. YOU SHOULD
- 17 ALSO KNOW THAT THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
- 18 AND THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD ARE RECOMMENDING THAT
- 19 THE COUNTY RECIRCULATE THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
- 20 TO UPDATE AND BETTER ASSESS THE PROJECT'S IMPACT TO OUR
- 21 ALREADY DECLINING AIR QUALITY. IN ADDITION, AND HOT OFF THE
- 22 PRESSES, ON JANUARY 16TH, 2004, THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
- 23 FISH AND GAME ISSUED A NEW LETTER TO THE COUNTY REOUESTING
- 24 THAT THE COUNTY RECIRCULATE THE E.I.R. BECAUSE THE FISH AND
- 25 GAME IS VERY CONCERNED THAT IT HAS NOT YET COMMENTED ON THE



- 1 ARROYO TOAD FINDING ON THE SITE OR ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL
- 2 BIOLOGICAL OPINION WHICH ADDS NEW PRODUCTION AND MONITORING
- 3 WELLS AND MODIFIES THE HABITAT PROTECTION PLAN. I ASK YOU
- 4 TODAY TO NOT SETTLE YOUR LAWSUIT WITH CEMEX. IT IS VERY MUCH
- 5 IN YOUR INTEREST TO FOLLOW THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF FISH AND
- 6 GAME, A.Q.M.D., AND C.A.R.B. AND THAT YOU WAIT FOR THE
- 7 ADOPTION OF THE FEDERAL LEGISLATION BEING INTRODUCED BY
- 8 CONGRESS MCKEON AND SENATOR BOXER THAT TRULY ADDRESSES THIS
- 9 PROJECT IN A WAY THAT IS A WIN-WIN FOR THE COMMUNITY, THE
- 10 APPLICANT, AND FOR THE HUNDRED-PLUS ORGANIZATIONS OPPOSED TO
- 11 THE PROJECT. AS ONE OF YOUR LARGEST CONTRACT CITIES, WE
- 12 APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TODAY TO KEEP YOU INFORMED AND WE
- 13 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND ATTENTION TO THIS MATTER. I'D
- 14 REALLY LIKE TO THANK THE CHAIRMAN AND THE BOARD FOR ALLOWING
- 15 US THE TIME TO SPEAK.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THANK YOU. WE HAVE A REQUEST
- 18 FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC ON ITEM 1-D, 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,
- 19 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28,
- 20 30, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49
- 21 -- EXCUSE ME, NOT 49, 48. 50, 52, 54, 56, 57-C AND 57-D. MR.
- 22 HOLLOWAY?

- 24 MERRITT HOLLOWAY: YES SIR. GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M MERRITT
- 25 HOLLOWAY. BEFORE YOU START, REAL QUICK, LAST WEEK, MR.



- 1 JANSSEN, HE WAS OUT OF LINE BY GETTING UP SPEAKING WITH
- 2 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WITHOUT REQUESTING FOR THE BOARD. YOU
- 3 STARTED MY TIME BEFORE I STARTED BUT, ANYWAY, NO FUNDS, NO
- 4 WORK, WORK WITHIN EXISTING GUIDELINES, INCREASES AMENDMENTS,
- 5 SURCHARGES, ADJUSTMENTS. NUMBER 17, 21, 24, 34, 35, 52, 54,
- 6 AND 56. THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PUBLIC OBJECTION TO FEE
- 7 WAIVERS. 7, 10, 42, C.E.Q.A. 1-D, 13, 19, 26, 27, 36, 37 38,
- 8 41, 42, 43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, AND 57-D, NO EXEMPTIONS TO THE
- 9 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT. THE PUBLIC DEMANDS THAT
- 10 EVERYTHING CONFORM. BRING BACK TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 11 BEFORE SIGNING IMPLEMENTATIONS, ITEM 2, 23, 39, AND 44. USE
- 12 COUNTY EMPLOYEES OR ELSE SUBTRACT THE DOLLAR AMOUNT. THAT'S
- 13 FOR ITEM 25 AND 28. NEED TO CALL FOR RECONSIDERATION, WELL,
- 14 THAT WAS 48 AND -- 47 AND 48, WHICH YOU DID THAT, AND THAT'S
- 15 HELD FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. OKAY. L.A. COUNTY BOARD OF
- 16 SUPERVISORS, OH, THERE'S SO MANY, I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH TIME.
- 17 1:57. OKAY. NO LENGTH OF SERVICE FAVORS, NUMBER 1, 5, IN DRUG
- 18 TRADES, BE ALL-- PUBLIC DEMANDS ALL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES
- 19 INVOLVED IN DRUG TRADES BE IMMEDIATELY ENROLLED IN PRISON AND
- 20 RECOMMEND ALL C.I.A., F.B.I., SHERIFF, AND POLICE AND
- 21 SUPERVISORS BE RECALLED WEEK. THAT'S FOR ITEM NUMBER 5. NUMBER
- 22 6, RECOMMEND VISITATION -- VISIT A CRIMINAL JUDGE IN PRISON
- 23 DAY. NUMBER 8, NO OVERTIME PAY FOR SHERIFFS OR COMMISSION.
- 24 NUMBER 9, RECOMMEND TO PULL TROOPS FROM AROUND THE WORLD AND
- 25 FIX DOMESTIC PROBLEMS HERE INCLUDING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND



- 1 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. 11, PARK INCLUDE --
- 2 THE PARK THING BY BURKE, INCLUDE AFTER SCHOOL ARTS, MUSIC AND
- 3 TUTORING PROGRAM. 12, DISMANTLE ALL INTEREST -- ALL INTEREST
- 4 CONFLICTS AND DISCLOSE ALL FACTS, CRIMINAL AND FRAUDULENT
- 5 ACTIVITIES. THAT'S NUMBER 12. 13, KEEP ALL COUNTY PROPERTY.
- 6 AND WHAT IS DONE WITH THE MONEY AFTER YOU SELL THE PROPERTY.
- 7 NUMBER 18 AND 23, DEMAND FEDERAL PROJECTS DON'T AFFECT COUNTY
- 8 RESIDENTS ADVERSELY AS DOES FEDERAL PROGRAMS RELATED TO
- 9 D.C.F.S. WHERE FEDERAL GIVES D.C.F.S. \$30 MILLION PLUS A YEAR
- 10 TO STEAL CHILDREN. RECOMMEND TO JAIL ALL SOCIAL WORKERS,
- 11 PERSONNEL, STEALING, LYING, AND COMMITTING OTHER CRIMINAL
- 12 ACTIVITY. 20, USE FUNDS FOR FAMILY PRESERVATION. OKAY, I'VE
- 13 GOT ABOUT 42 SECONDS SO WRITTEN DEMANDS, SECURE AND CORRECT
- 14 VIOLATIONS. PUBLIC DEMANDS THAT, DUE TO CHANGING TIMES, THAT
- 15 THE PUBLIC BE GIVEN A OFFICE WITH A COPIER, COMPUTER AND PHONE
- 16 FOR THE PUBLIC TO CARRY OUT PUBLIC BUSINESS. THAT, WHEN
- 17 MULTIPLE MEMBERS OBJECT TO ITEMS, BOARD WAIT AT LEAST ONE WEEK
- 18 BEFORE APPROVING. THAT PUBLIC COMMENT COME DURING FIRST PART
- 19 OF THE MEETING. THAT MERRITT HOLLOWAY BE ALLOWED OVERHEAD
- 20 PROJECTOR. THAT WE, THE PUBLIC, VIEW MR. PETER BAXTER'S VIDEO
- 21 ON THE TURBO JET FIRE EXTINGUISHER. THAT THE PUBLIC BE
- 22 INFORMED OF ANY AND ALL MEETINGS ABOUT KING AND KING DREW AND
- 23 THAT WE HAVE GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL, THAT WE HAVE OPEN BIDDING AND
- 24 THAT WE KNOW ABOUT EVERYTHING ABOUT THE BOARD. ALSO, THE



- 1 PUBLIC DEMANDS MEETINGS TO START ON TIME AND SO, ALSO, TOO,
- 2 THEY WE LOOK INTO THE TURBO JET, 30 USE FUNDS FOR KING DREW...

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, MR. HOLLOWAY. YOUR TIME'S UP.

5

- 6 MERRITT HOLLOWAY: AND PEOPLE CLOSE IN MEMORY OF ALL CHILDREN
- 7 KILLED AND MEN KILLED UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE L.A.P.D.,
- 8 THE SHERIFF, THE D.C.F.S. AND THE L.A. COUNTY BOARD OF
- 9 SUPERVISORS.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU THANK YOU. LET THE RECORD REFLECT
- 12 I GAVE YOU 17 EXTRA SECONDS.

13

14 MERRITT HOLLOWAY: WE OBJECT TO EVERYTHING.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I KNOW YOU OBJECT TO EVERYTHING BUT I
- 17 GAVE YOU 17 EXTRA SECONDS. OKAY. SUPERVISOR BURKE RECOMMENDS
- 18 APPROVAL ON ITEM 1D, 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 19,
- 19 20, 21, 24, 25, 30, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45,
- 20 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 52, 57-C, AND 57-D. THE CHAIR WILL SECOND
- 21 THOSE ITEMS. HEARING NO OBJECTIONS, SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR
- 22 YAROSLAVSKY, YOU'RE UP FIRST. YOU KNOW WE HAVE TWO SET ITEMS,
- 23 I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU WANT -- IF YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND PROCEED.
- 24 WE HAVE S-1 AND S-2. WE HAVE ONE AT 2:00 AND ONE AT 2:30 SO...



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. LET ME JUST TAKE UP A COUPLE OF
- 2 THE ITEMS. MR. ANTONOVICH FELLED ONE OF MY ITEMS -- THE ITEM -
- 3 MY MOTION -- WHAT'S THE NUMBER OF IT?

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: 2

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ITEM 2 ON THE WATER.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE CALL UP DR. GARTHWAITE AND DR. FIELDING.
- 10 YOU ISSUED A REPORT TODAY AND READING THE REPORT THAT YOU
- 11 ISSUED TODAY SEEMS TO INDICATE THAT -- WOULD YOU RELAY HOW
- 12 THAT RELATES TO THIS MOTION THAT'S ON THE TABLE RIGHT NOW? AND
- 13 I WOULD ASK BOTH DR. GARTHWAITE AND DR. FIELDING, BECAUSE YOU
- 14 BOTH SIGNED THE REPORT TODAY.

15

- 16 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: I'M SORRY, SUPERVISOR. WOULD YOU LIKE
- 17 ME TO SUMMARIZE ...

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MM HM. SUP. MOLINA: WHAT ITEM IS THIS?

- 21 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: AS BACKGROUND, ON THE BEGINNING OF
- 22 2003, THE DEPARTMENT ISSUED A CLARIFIED POLICY ON WATER
- 23 AVAILABILITY. IN JULY, YOUR BOARD, IN A MOTION BY YOU,
- 24 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, AMENDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, WE
- 25 PREPARED, YOU ASKED US TO PREPARE A REPORT ON THE ADVISABILITY



- 1 OF REEVALUATING WATER AVAILABILITY POLICY. WE HAD A MEETING IN
- 2 SEPTEMBER WITH DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, OF REGIONAL
- 3 PLANNING, FIRE, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES AND
- 4 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE THIRD AND FIFTH DISTRICT. IN OCTOBER,
- 5 DR. GARTHWAITE SENT A MEMO INDICATING, AND I QUOTE, "THE
- 6 POLICY DOES NOT WARRANT REEVALUATION AT THE PRESENT TIME". IN
- 7 NOVEMBER, WE PARTICIPATED WITH OTHER COUNTY AGENCIES IN TWO
- 8 COMMUNITY MEETINGS TO EXPLAIN THE POLICY AND TO HEAR AND
- 9 RESPOND TO CONCERNS. THESE WERE BOTH IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY.
- 10 THERE WERE SEVERAL HUNDRED PEOPLE AT LEAST AT EACH OF THOSE
- 11 TWO MEETINGS AND WHAT CAME OUT OF THAT WAS THAT THERE WAS NEW
- 12 INFORMATION, THAT THERE WAS A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF RESIDENCES
- 13 THAT WERE USING HAULED WATER. IN MOST CASES, IT WAS BECAUSE
- 14 THEIR WELL HAD RUN DRY. IN A FEW CASES, IT APPEARS THAT THEY
- 15 MAY HAVE SLIPPED THROUGH THE SYSTEM. THAT IS, THAT NOBODY
- 16 ASKED THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT BEFORE THEY WERE GIVEN A BUILDING
- 17 PERMIT. IN ADDITION, WE HEARD CONCERNS THAT A LOT OF THE
- 18 EXISTING WELLS WERE HAVING -- YIELDS WERE DECLINING AND THAT
- 19 THERE WERE MORE WELLS THAT WERE RUNNING DRY EVERY YEAR. WE
- 20 THEREFORE REALIZED THAT THIS PROBLEM WAS MUCH GREATER THAN I
- 21 THINK ANYONE HAD EXPECTED AND WE THEREFORE DECIDED TO
- 22 REEVALUATE IF THERE WERE ANY CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH HAULED
- 23 WATER COULD BE FOUND TO BE POTABLE. THAT IS, SAFE.
- 24 SPECIFICALLY, WE'VE BEEN LOOKING AT FILTRATION AND
- 25 DISINFECTION SYSTEMS AND, THROUGH OUR WORK WITH THE STATE AND



- 1 OTHER COUNTIES, WE IDENTIFIED TWO SYSTEMS THAT ARE APPROVED BY
- 2 THE STATE. WE HAVE TENTATIVELY CONCLUDED THAT USE OF A
- 3 PROPERLY MAINTAINED STATE-APPROVED FILTRATION AND DISINFECTION
- 4 SYSTEM WOULD PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSURANCE THAT HAULED WATER IS
- 5 POTABLE OR SAFE FOR HOUSEHOLD USE. THIS WOULD HAVE TO BE
- 6 INSTALLED BETWEEN THE STORAGE TANK AND THE HOME. IT WOULD
- 7 INCLUDE SEVERAL FILTERS AND AN ULTRAVIOLET LAMP FOR
- 8 DISINFECTION AT AN ESTIMATED COST OF ABOUT, IN THE
- 9 NEIGHBORHOOD OF \$3,000 PLUS INSTALLATION AND AN ANNUAL
- 10 MAINTENANCE COST OF ABOUT \$350. IMPLEMENTING A POLICY TO ALLOW
- 11 HAULED WATER TO BE USED WOULD REQUIRE A PERMIT AND A FEE SO
- 12 THAT WE COULD REVIEW PLANS AND INSPECT AND MONITOR. I WANT TO
- 13 NOTE THAT A FILTRATION DISINFECTION SOLUTION ONLY ADDRESSES
- 14 WHETHER THERE IS A WAY TO MAKE -- TO HAVE REASONABLE ASSURANCE
- 15 THAT HAULED WATER IS POTABLE. BECAUSE OF THE CRITICALITY OF
- 16 ROUTINE MAINTENANCE AND UPKEEP OF THESE SYSTEMS, RELIANCE ON
- 17 MUNICIPAL WATER THAT MEETS E.P.A. STANDARDS OR WELL WATER
- 18 PROVIDES BETTER LONG-TERM ASSURANCE OF POTABLE WATER. I WANT
- 19 TO FURTHER UNDERSCORE THAT OUR AUTHORITY AND RESPONSIBILITY IS
- 20 LIMITED TO DETERMINING IF THERE ARE TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS TO
- 21 MAKE THE WATER SAFE TO CONSUMERS. AND THIS DOES NOT ADDRESS
- 22 THE BROADER IMPLICATIONS WHICH WE REALIZE ARE SUBSTANTIAL IN
- 23 TERMS OF REGIONAL PLANNING, THE IMPACTS THAT THIS COULD HAVE
- 24 ON DEVELOPMENT AND WHETHER-- WHAT THE DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE
- 25 PERMITTED IN THE ABSENCE OF MUNICIPAL OR WELL WATER. THESE



- 1 DETERMINATIONS ARE BEYOND THE EXPERTISE OF THIS DEPARTMENT AND
- 2 REQUIRES THE INVOLVEMENT OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS. WE ARE ALSO
- 3 REVIEWING OUR STANDARDS FOR DETERMINING THE YIELD OF A WELL.
- 4 WE RECENTLY MET WITH A HYDROLOGIST IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY.
- 5 WE'RE MEETING WITH OTHER EXPERTS AS WELL AND WE ARE IN THE
- 6 PROCESS OF DRAFTING A PROPOSED REVISION TO THE WELL-YIELD
- 7 TESTING REOUIREMENTS WHICH WILL SIMPLIFY THE PROCEDURE. WE
- 8 PLAN TO HAVE -- TO OBTAIN EXPERT REVIEW AND INPUT ON THE DRAFT
- 9 AND EXPECT TO BE ABLE TO DISCUSS THAT WITH YOU WITHIN THE NEXT
- 10 MONTH.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?**

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NUMBER ONE, WHAT IS THE -- I'M SORRY?

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WAS GOING TO SAY, WE HAD AN AMENDMENT TO
- 19 MR. YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION WHICH WOULD REVISE THE SECOND
- 20 INDENTED SECTION TO READ "PROCEDURES TO ENSURE CONSISTENT
- 21 ENFORCEMENT OF THE JOINT POLICY BY ALL INVOLVED DEPARTMENTS"
- 22 AND TO ADD A FOURTH SECTION TO READ, "THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 23 SERVICES, WORKING WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF
- 24 REGIONAL PLANNING, PUBLIC WORKS, FIRE, AND THE COUNTY COUNSEL
- 25 TO CONDUCT AT LEAST TWO COMMUNITY MEETINGS TO DISCUSS ANY



- 1 PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE COUNTY'S HAULED WATER POLICY. THE
- 2 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES SHALL PROVIDE ADVANCE WRITTEN
- 3 NOTICE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND A MINIMUM OF 15 DAYS IN
- 4 ADVANCE OF THESE HEARINGS." WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION ON
- 5 THOSE TWO AMENDMENTS?

6

- 7 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: I'M SORRY, SUPERVISOR, DO WE -- I
- 8 WONDER IF I COULD HAVE A COPY OF THOSE? I HAVE NOT SEEN THEM.
- 9 IF THERE IS A CHANGE IN POLICY, WE CERTAINLY NEED TO WORK WITH
- 10 ALL INVOLVED...

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I CAN'T HEAR YOU, JON.

13

- 14 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: IF, IF THERE IS TO BE A CHANGE IN
- 15 POLICY, WE CERTAINLY NEED TO WORK WITH ALL INVOLVED
- 16 DEPARTMENTS...

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE CURRENT POLICY?

19

20 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: I'M SORRY?

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE CURRENT POLICY?

- 24 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: THE CURRENT POLICY IS THAT WE HAVE NOT
- 25 ALLOWED HAULED WATER WHEN WE'VE BEEN ASKED.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. SO ANY CHANGE IN POLICY WOULD BE A
- 3 DEVIATION FROM THAT POLICY?

4

5 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: THAT IS CORRECT.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. AND THAT'S AS IT RELATES TO THE SECOND
- 8 POINT, MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION? THAT THE DEPARTMENT CONDUCT
- 9 HEARINGS ON ANY CHANGE IN POLICY?

10

- 11 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: IF THAT IS THE WILL OF THE BOARD, THAT
- 12 WOULD...

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PARDON ME?

15

- 16 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: IF THAT IS THE WILL OF THE BOARD,
- 17 CERTAINLY THAT'S SOMETHING WE WOULD DO.

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THE PURPOSE OF THIS MOTION, OF MY
- 20 MOTION, WAS, IF I CAN-- I KNOW, MR. ANTONOVICH, FOR THE SAKE
- 21 OF DISCUSSION, THE MOTION, THESE MOTIONS BEFORE US-- THESE
- 22 AMENDMENTS BEFORE US, I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE FIRST PART OF
- 23 HIS MOTION, OKAY? AND I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE WAY THIS WHOLE
- 24 THING HAS BEEN HANDLED. THE ANTELOPE VALLEY IS NOT THE ONLY
- 25 PLACE WHERE HAULED WATER IS AN ISSUE AND HERE'S WHAT I JUST



- 1 HEARD FROM YOU, DR. FIELDING. THAT YOU HAVE A POLICY, YOU WENT
- 2 OUT TO A HEARING OR HEARINGS OR MEETINGS IN THE SAN FERNANDO -
- 3 IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY, HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE SHOWED UP, PRETTY
- 4 MUCH BEAT YOU UP, YOU DIDN'T SAY THAT BUT THAT'S WHAT I
- 5 UNDERSTAND HAPPENED, AND NOW YOU -- NOW, AFTER GETTING BEATEN
- 6 UP BY A COUPLE HUNDRED PEOPLE, WHETHER THEY'RE RIGHT OR WRONG
- 7 ABOUT WHY THEY WERE BEATING YOU UP, NOW, RATHER THAN RELY ON
- 8 SCIENCE, YOU'RE RESPONDING TO A POLITICAL SITUATION AND YOU'RE
- 9 NOT REALLY GIVING -- YOU COULD HAVE GIVEN US -- THERE IS
- 10 TECHNOLOGY -- YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE A DOCTOR OR A ROCKET
- 11 SCIENTIST TO KNOW THAT THERE IS TECHNOLOGY TO FILTER WATER,
- 12 HAULED WATER. THERE'S TECHNOLOGY TO CONVERT SALT WATER TO
- 13 DRINKING -- TO POTABLE WATER, ISN'T THERE?

14

15 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: YES.

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. YOU CAN CLEAN ABOUT ANY KIND OF WATER
- 18 IF YOU'RE WILLING TO SPEND ENOUGH MONEY TO DO IT. SO THAT'S
- 19 NUMBER ONE. NUMBER TWO, WE HAVE A -- OUR -- MY PROBLEM AND
- 20 WHAT PROMPTED MY MOTION IN THE FIRST PLACE IS THAT WE HAVE A
- 21 POLICY. THAT POLICY IS NOT BEING EVENHANDEDLY ENFORCED. IF WE
- 22 HAVE A POLICY, WE OUGHT TO ENFORCE IT EVERYWHERE. IF THE
- 23 POLICY NEEDS TO BE CHANGED, THEN YOU OUGHT TO MAKE A
- 24 SCIENTIFIC CASE FOR WHY THAT POLICY SHOULD BE CHANGED. THERE
- 25 ARE ALL KINDS OF IMPLICATIONS TO THIS THAT ARE HEALTH RELATED



- 1 AND THERE ARE ALL KINDS OF IMPLICATIONS WHICH HAVE NOTHING TO
- 2 DO WITH HEALTH BUT HAVE TO DO WITH PUBLIC SAFETY. FOR EXAMPLE,
- 3 PEOPLE WHO YOU THINK MAY BE GETTING HAULED WATER MAY BE
- 4 RIPPING THE WATER OFF OUT OF FIRE HYDRANTS. I DON'T KNOW.
- 5 MAYBE YOU'VE HEARD OF SITUATIONS LIKE THAT. MAYBE YOU HAVEN'T.
- 6 SOME DEPARTMENTS, SOME PEOPLE IN OUR BUREAUCRACY THINK THERE'S
- 7 A WAY TO SOLVE THAT AND THAT'S WE CAN LOCK OUR FIRE HYDRANTS
- 8 SO THAT PEOPLE COULDN'T RIP OFF FIRE HYDRANT WATER TO HAUL IT
- 9 OVER TO THEIR HOMES, WHETHER IT'S NEW HOMES OR OLD HOMES. AND
- 10 THE NOTION THAT I HAVE, MAYBE IT'S NOT AN ISSUE IN THE
- 11 ANTELOPE VALLEY, BUT IN THE TOPANGA CANYON AREA AND ALL OVER
- 12 THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS WHERE THIS VERY SAME ISSUE APPLIES,
- 13 THE NOTION OF LOCKING UP FIRE HYDRANTS IN THE MIDDLE OF A
- 14 SANTA ANA CONDITION, WHEN SOME FIREFIGHTER IS SAYING, "WHOOPS,
- 15 WHAT HAPPENED TO THE KEY?" IS JUST STUPID. IT IS PREPOSTEROUS.
- 16 NOW, ALL I WANT IS FOR YOU TO COME BACK WITH A REPORT, AS
- 17 DESCRIBED IN MY MOTION, AND, IN THE MEANTIME, ABIDE BY THE
- 18 EXISTING POLICY, WHICH YOU'VE JUST SAID THE EXISTING POLICY IS
- 19 YOU DON'T APPROVE ALL WATER. NOW, IF YOU WANT TO
- 20 DIFFERENTIATE, WHAT YOU DIDN'T DO OR WHICH MR. -- I'M SORRY,
- 21 YOU DID BUT MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION DIDN'T DO BETWEEN PEOPLE
- 22 WHOSE WATER HAS RUN OUT, THEIR WELLS HAVE GONE DRY, AND ARE
- 23 NOW BRINGING IN WATER FROM GOD KNOWS WHERE, AND NEW
- 24 CONSTRUCTION, WHICH IS, I BELIEVE, A LARGE PART OF THE PEOPLE
- 25 WHO CAME AND POUNDED YOU AT THESE MEETINGS, ARE PEOPLE WHO



- 1 BOUGHT PROPERTY, DIDN'T HAVE ANY SOURCE OF WATER, NOW FIND
- 2 THEY WANT TO DO SOMETHING IN CONTRAVENTION OF COUNTY POLICY,
- 3 AND UNLESS YOU LET THEM DO IT, THEY'RE GOING TO BE VERY ANGRY
- 4 PEOPLE, AND THEY'VE BEEN STIRRED UP. WHY THEY'VE BEEN STIRRED
- 5 UP IS BEYOND ME BUT, YOU KNOW, I GO TO MY CONSTITUENTS AND I
- 6 TELL THEM, "YOU CAN'T BUILD A HOUSE THERE IF YOU DON'T HAVE
- 7 WATER". WE JUST GOT THROUGH APPROVING A SPECIFIC PLAN IN THE
- 8 SANTA CLARITA AREA, NEWHALL RANCH, WHERE WE DEMANDED THAT THEY
- 9 PROVE THAT THEY HAD WATER. WE DON'T KNOWINGLY CHOOSE ANYWHERE,
- 10 CERTAINLY NOT IN MY PART OF THE COUNTY, AND I HOPE NOT IN ANY
- 11 PART OF THE COUNTY, KNOWINGLY APPROVE PROJECTS OR HOUSES,
- 12 INDIVIDUAL HOUSES OR MORE THAN ONE THAT -- WHERE IT'S A WINK
- 13 AND A NOD ABOUT WHETHER THEY HAVE A WATER SUPPLY. SO IF YOU
- 14 WANT TO MAKE A DIFFERENTIATION IN YOUR MOTION, MR. ANTONOVICH,
- 15 I'M PREPARED TO ASK THE DEPARTMENT TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO DEAL
- 16 WITH THOSE EXISTING HOMES THAT HAVE RUN OUT OF WATER WHERE
- 17 THEIR WELLS HAS GONE DRY. BUT, ON NEW HOMES, WE HAVE A POLICY.
- 18 AND WHAT PROMPTED THIS IS THAT WE HAVE DEPARTMENTS THAT ARE
- 19 GOING LIKE THIS. ONE DEPARTMENT IS SAYING, YEAH, THAT'S OUR
- 20 POLICY. OTHER DEPARTMENTS ARE IGNORING THE POLICY. PERMITS ARE
- 21 BEING ISSUED DESPITE THE POLICY. AND IT'S A -- IT'S AS
- 22 CONFUSING AS ALL HELL. AND THERE'S NOT ONLY A HEALTH ISSUE BUT
- 23 A PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUE HERE. AND, ALSO, I THINK AN INTEGRITY OF
- 24 THE GOVERNMENT ISSUE. I DON'T LIKE TO BE ABLE TO GO -- I DON'T
- 25 WANT TO HAVE TO GO TO SOME PROPERTY OWNER IN MY DISTRICT WHO



- 1 THEN TELLS ME, "BUT OUT IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY, ANTONOVICH IS
- 2 LETTING EVERYBODY SKATE, " OR VICE VERSA. I'M SURE HE WOULDN'T
- 3 LIKE IT IF I WERE DOING THE SAME THING AND I KNOW HE'S TRYING
- 4 TO RESPOND TO HIS CONSTITUENCY AND I'M NOT FAULTING HIM FOR
- 5 THAT BUT, AT SOME POINT, YOU GOT TO SAY THE POLICY IS THE
- 6 POLICY. YOU HAVEN'T RECOMMENDED A CHANGE IN THE POLICY. WE
- 7 HAVEN'T APPROVED THE CHANGE IN THE POLICY. WE HAD A BIG DEBATE
- 8 ABOUT THIS DURING THE SUMMER AND THAT HASN'T BEEN RESOLVED
- 9 YET. THIS MEMO IS NOT A CHANGE IN POLICY. ALL YOU'VE SAID IS
- 10 THERE'S A WAY TO TECHNICALLY DO IT. OF COURSE THERE'S A WAY TO
- 11 TECHNICALLY DO IT. THE QUESTION IS WHETHER IT'S ADVISABLE,
- 12 WHETHER IT'S IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE COUNTY, WHETHER IT'S
- 13 IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY AND
- 14 WELFARE, WHETHER IT CAN BE ENFORCED, HOW MANY PEOPLE IN THE
- 15 ANTELOPE VALLEY, WHEN CALLED UPON TO SPEND \$3,000, PLUS OTHER
- 16 COSTS TO PUT IN A FILTRATION SYSTEM ON WATER THAT LOOKS TO
- 17 THEM TO BE PERFECTLY CLEAR OR ANY OTHER PART OF THE COUNTY, I
- 18 WOULDN'T WANT TO BET A LOT OF MONEY THAT THEY'RE GOING TO PUT
- 19 A \$3,000 FILTRATION SYSTEM. AND I DON'T HAVE A LOT OF
- 20 CONFIDENCE THAT, NO MATTER HOW MUCH OF A FEE WE CHARGE THROUGH
- 21 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE THE KIND OF
- 22 MONITORING THAT YOU WANT. HELL, WE CAN'T EVEN MONITOR OUR OWN
- 23 HOSPITALS' EMERGENCY ROOMS. WHY ARE YOU GOING TO GO OUT TO THE
- 24 ANTELOPE VALLEY AND SEE THAT SOME PROPERTY OWNER HAS ACTUALLY
- 25 PUT IN A FILTRATION SYSTEM BURIED IN SOME FOXHOLE THAT, YOU



- 1 KNOW, THAT SADDAM HUSSEIN COULD FIT INTO. I MEAN, THIS IS
- 2 WHAT, THIS IS, TO ME, IS BIZARRE. SO, YOU KNOW, YOU'VE BEEN
- 3 POUNDED OUT IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. I'M ABOUT TO POUND YOU
- 4 HERE. YOU GOTTA BE CONSISTENT. THE RULES HAVE GOT TO BE
- 5 CONSISTENT. AND WHERE WE GOT INTO TROUBLE WITH THE CORRUPTION
- 6 WITH THESE BUILDING PERMITS THAT WERE ISSUED A FEW YEARS AGO
- 7 BY THE COUNTY, YOU KNOW, ONE THING LEADS TO ANOTHER. AND WHEN
- 8 PEOPLE DON'T THINK THE RULES APPLY TO EVERYBODY, THEN THE
- 9 RULES APPLY TO NOBODY. AND I DON'T LIKE THAT -- THE PROSPECTS
- 10 OF WHAT'S COMING WHEN WE DO THAT. NOW, IF YOU WANT TO, AS A
- 11 PHYSICIAN AND AS THE CHIEF OF PUBLIC HEALTH FOR THIS COUNTY,
- 12 YOU WANT TO STAND BEFORE THIS BOARD AT SOME POINT AND SAY THAT
- 13 YOU BELIEVE THAT THIS IS SAFE AND HEALTHY FROM A MEDICAL POINT
- 14 OF VIEW, THAT'S WHAT YOUR CHARGE IS. THE REST OF IT'S UP TO
- 15 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, WHO HAS A LOT TO SAY ABOUT
- 16 THIS. I'M SURE THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WOULD LIKE TO HAVE
- 17 SOMETHING TO SAY ABOUT THIS, GIVEN THE PROBLEMS THAT ARE GOING
- 18 ON, AND THE REST OF US WILL HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY ABOUT IT. SO
- 19 I'M GOING TO ASK -- I'M GOING TO ASK THAT MR. -- MR.
- 20 ANTONOVICH, I'LL SUPPORT THE SECOND PART OF YOUR MOTION. I ASK
- 21 YOU, IF YOU WOULD, IN THE INTEREST OF CONSENSUS, STRIKE THE
- 22 FIRST PART, THE REVISION, THAT YOU CALL FOR -- IF YOU'D LIKE
- 23 TO TAKE A STAB AT DIFFERENTIATING BETWEEN THOSE WHOSE WELLS
- 24 HAVE RUN DRY AND NEW HOMES, I'M WILLING TO COOPERATE WITH YOU
- 25 ON THAT BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THAT'S A PROBLEM. BUT, ON NEW



1 CONSTRUCTION, I THINK WE SHOULD STICK WITH THE POLICY UNTIL

2 THIS BOARD CHANGES IT.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST SAY THAT WHAT WE HAVE BEEN DOING
- 5 IS HAVING THE PUBLIC HEARINGS AND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS SHOULD
- 6 BE IN ALL OF OUR DISTRICTS AND WE HAVE ENGAGED ALL OF THE
- 7 DEPARTMENTS TO DEVELOP A POLICY BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE A
- 8 WRITTEN POLICY. THAT'S WHAT CREATED THE PROBLEM. WE DID NOT
- 9 HAVE A WRITTEN POLICY. SO WHAT WE HAVE DONE, WE STARTED SOME
- 10 PUBLIC HEARINGS. I ENCOURAGED THOSE HEARINGS TO BE DONE IN
- 11 EACH OF OUR DISTRICTS AND ALL OF THE DEPARTMENTS ARE
- 12 PARTICIPATING AND THEN THEY ARE COMING UP WITH A POLICY. AND
- 13 IF YOU READ IN TODAY'S JANUARY 20TH MEMO TO THE BOARD, THEY
- 14 STATE, "BASED ON THIS REVIEW, WE ARE DRAFTING A PROPOSED
- 15 REVISION TO THE WELL-YIELD TESTING REQUIREMENTS WHICH WILL
- 16 SIMPLIFY THE PROCEDURE". SO THEY'RE WORKING ON IT AND, AGAIN,
- 17 THE DEPARTMENT IS RECOMMENDING A FILTRATION DISINFECTION
- 18 SYSTEM THAT IS BEING USED IN OTHER COUNTIES. THIS IS NOT
- 19 SOMETHING NEW. THIS IS BEING DONE IN OTHER COUNTIES AND ALL
- 20 WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO DO IS HAVE A CONSISTENT POLICY. SO THAT'S
- 21 WHERE WE'RE COMING FROM.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ISN'T THIS JUST A REPORT BACK?



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IN MY HAND, I HAVE A COPY OF THE POLICY.
- 2 IT'S WRITTEN, AS FAR AS I CAN TELL, IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE.
- 3 IT'S WRITTEN. THIS IS YOUR POLICY OF JANUARY 1ST, 2003. DO YOU
- 4 RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT?

5

6 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: YES, SUPERVISOR. [LIGHT LAUGHTER]

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IN MY HAND, ARE YOU OR ARE YOU NOT OR HAVE
- 9 EVER BEEN... [LAUGHTER] SO THAT'S --

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THAT WAS NOT DONE IN CONJUNCTION WITH
- 12 STUDIES AND THAT'S WHERE THE DEPARTMENTS WERE NOT WORKING
- 13 TOGETHER, AND WE'RE ASKING THAT THE DEPARTMENTS WORK TOGETHER.
- 14 THAT'S WHY WE PUT THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS IN PLACE SO ALL
- 15 OF THE DEPARTMENTS WILL PARTICIPATE AND WE WILL COME BACK AND
- 16 WE'RE EXAMINING WHAT OTHER COUNTIES ARE DOING SO WHAT YOU HAVE
- 17 IS AN INTERPRETATION...

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SAM IS AGREEING WITH THAT.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ...OF A STATE REGULATION THAT IS NOT BEING
- 22 INTERPRETED BY OTHER COUNTIES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, OTHER COUNTIES DON'T HAVE THE
- 2 INTERPRETATION WE HAVE ABOUT RAW MILK BUT WE WENT BEYOND THE
- 3 STATE-OF-THE-ART, DIDN'T WE, MIKE? SO...

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, RAW MILK IS BEING SOLD TODAY THROUGHOUT
- 6 THE STATE...

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M SORRY I BROUGHT IT UP. [LAUGHTER]

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH: ...**OKAY?

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOUR AGREEMENT, YOU'RE BOTH IN AGREEMENT ON
- 13 THE SECOND PART OF SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S MOTION BRINGING ALL
- 14 THESE VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS TOGETHER, IS THAT CORRECT?

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. AND THAT'S WHAT...

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ...AND THAT'S BEING DONE AS WE SPEAK.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. SO WHY IS IT IN THE MOTION, THEN, IF
- 23 IT'S BEING DONE?

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, SO...



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THAT'S ALL RIGHT. I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH
- 3 PUBLIC HEARINGS. I DO HAVE A PROBLEM WITH A CHANGE IN THE
- 4 POLICY. ALL I WANT TO MAKE SURE IS THAT THIS POLICY, WHICH IS
- 5 BEING- HAS BEEN APPLIED IN -- WHICH WAS BEING APPLIED, AS I
- 6 UNDERSTOOD IT, IN EVERY PART OF THE COUNTY -- OBVIOUSLY IT
- 7 HASN'T BEEN APPLIED ACROSS THE BOARD IN EVERY PART OF THE
- 8 COUNTY -- BUT THIS IS THE POLICY. IT'S IN WRITING THAT, UNTIL
- 9 WE CHANGE IT, THAT IT BE RESPECTED. AND, IF YOU'VE GOT AN
- 10 ISSUE, AND HEAR ME OUT NOW, MIKE, I'M TRYING TO BE AT LEAST
- 11 SOMEWHAT SYMPATHETIC TO THE ISSUE YOU HAVE WITH EXISTING
- 12 PEOPLE, EXISTING HOMES, IF YOU WANT TO ADDRESS THAT IN SOME
- 13 WAY, I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT, BECAUSE -- BUT...

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHY DON'T YOU WITHDRAW THE FIRST PART AND
- 16 BRING IT BACK AS A SEPARATE MOTION NEXT WEEK?

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY? THAT WAY WE HAVE ZEV'S MOTION ON THE
- 21 FLOOR FOR CONSIDERATION.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M SORRY, I...



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU'VE AGREED TO MIKE'S AMENDMENT OF THAT
- 2 PART WHERE THE FOURTH INDENTED SECTION TO READ, THAT'S RIGHT
- 3 THE PART YOU AGREE WITH, CORRECT?

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S THE SECOND PART OF THE AMENDMENT.
- 6 THAT'S RIGHT.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT. THE FIRST PART, THEN, SUPERVISOR
- 9 ANTONOVICH WILL BRING BACK NEXT WEEK AS A SEPARATE MOTION.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S FINE.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST ASK COUNTY COUNSEL, IF USING
- 14 HAULED WATER AS POTABLE WATER IS A HEALTH ISSUE, THEN IT'S
- 15 SAFE FOR EXISTING USERS BUT WHY NOT NEW USERS? AND THEN DOES
- 16 THAT INVOLVE THE REVERSE, INVERSE CONDEMNATION ISSUE, IF THAT
- 17 IS THE CASE?

18

- 19 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: SUPERVISOR, ON INVERSE CONDEMNATION,
- 20 SINCE THE HEALTH OFFICER HAS DETERMINED THAT HAULED WATER IS
- 21 NOT AN ACCEPTABLE SOURCE OF POTABLE WATER AND THAT'S THE
- 22 CURRENT POLICY AND HAS A LEGITIMATE REASON, A HEALTH REASON
- 23 FOR COMING UP WITH THAT RULE, THEN THERE WOULDN'T BE A TAKING
- 24 CLAIM OR AN INVERSE CONDEMNATION CLAIM UNDER THOSE FACTS.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT COULD CONDONE FOR
- 2 EXISTING DEVELOPMENTS SUCH USAGE OF POTABLE WATER?

3

- 4 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: I BELIEVE THAT'S THE EXISTING POLICY
- 5 IS TO ALLOW THOSE RESIDENCES THAT ARE ALREADY CONSTRUCTED,
- 6 WHERE A WELL RUNS DRY, TO ALLOW THEM TO USE HAULED WATER.

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEY'RE...

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HE'S PROPOSING SOME FILTRATION TO GO ALONG
- 11 WITH IT.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THERE WOULD BE NO INVERSE CONDEMNATION
- 14 LIABILITY BY THE COUNTY...

15

- 16 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: IN CALIFORNIA, THERE ISN'T A --
- 17 THERE'S NO RECOGNIZED PROPERTY RIGHT IN THE POTENTIAL SOURCE
- 18 OF WATER. SO, GIVEN THAT THAT'S THE STATE OF THE LAW IN
- 19 CALIFORNIA, IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT FOR SOMEONE, UNDER
- 20 THOSE FACTS, TO ASSERT A TAKING CLAIM AS A RESULT OF THE
- 21 POLICY.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IF YOU WERE GOING TO --
- 24 EVEN FOR EXISTING HOMES WHERE THE WELL HAS RUN DRY, YOU WOULD
- 25 RECOMMEND THAT, IF THEY'RE GOING TO BRING IN HAULED WATER,



- 1 THAT IT WOULD BE DONE -- WELL, YOU'RE NOT -- YOU'RE SAYING IT
- 2 CAN BE DONE -- IT CAN BE RENDERED POTABLE PROVIDED THEY'VE
- 3 ENGAGED IN THE FILTRATION CONCEPTS THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT?

4

5 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: YES, SUPERVISOR

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU WOULD STILL NOT RECOMMEND THAT THEY JUST
- 8 HAUL WATER FROM ANY OLD PLACE WITHOUT ANY FILTRATION?

9

10 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: NO, I WOULD NOT.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: EVEN IN AN EXISTING HOME WHOSE WELL HAS RUN
- 13 DRY?

14

15 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: NO, I WOULD NOT.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE POLICY OF THE DEPARTMENT, THERE WAS NO
- 18 WRITTEN POLICY FOR 20 YEARS PLUS, AND THEN A POLICY WAS
- 19 WRITTEN WITHOUT PUBLIC HEARINGS BY THE DEPARTMENT WITHOUT
- 20 CONSULTATION WITH THE OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENTS. IS THAT
- 21 CORRECT?

- 23 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: NO, THAT'S NOT MY UNDERSTANDING,
- 24 SUPERVISOR. I'M GOING TO LET DR. SCHUNHOFF OR ART AGUIRRE, WHO
- 25 WERE HERE LONG BEFORE ME, TALK ABOUT THE CONTINUITY OF THE



- 1 POLICY. I HEARD ABOUT IT AFTER WE PUT THIS IN PLACE. MY
- 2 UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT THIS -- WHAT CAME OUT IN WRITTEN FORM
- 3 THAT SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WAS HOLDING UP SIMPLY CLARIFIED
- 4 EXISTING POLICY BUT I'D LIKE DR. SCHUNHOFF OR MR. AGUIRRE
- 5 TO...

6

7 ART AGUIRRE: THE POLICY...

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WOULD YOU PULL THE MICROPHONE OVER A LITTLE
- 10 BIT TOWARDS YOU.

11

12 ART AGUIRRE: THE POLICY ON...

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE NEED YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD, TOO,
- 15 PLEASE.

16

- 17 ART AGUIRRE: ART AGUIRRE, DIRECTOR, ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH.
- 18 WE'VE ALWAYS HAD A POLICY RELATIVE TO THE CONSTRUCTION
- 19 STANDARDS AND THE APPROVAL FOR WELLS. SPECIFICALLY, A POLICY
- 20 THAT EXCLUDED ANY OTHER SOURCE OF WATER FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION
- 21 WAS NOT IN WRITING BUT THAT HAD BEEN THE DEPARTMENT'S
- 22 EXPECTATION AND WHAT WE'D ALWAYS REQUIRED FOR NEW
- 23 CONSTRUCTION.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT WAS NOT IN WRITING?



1

- 2 ART AGUERIA: IT WAS NOT. NOT SPECIFICALLY, NO, SIR. WE HAVE
- 3 ALWAYS HAD, THOUGH, THE REQUIREMENT FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION, IN
- 4 THE ABSENCE OF A MUNICIPAL WATER SYSTEM, THAT PROPERTIES WERE
- 5 REQUIRED TO BUILD OR DRILL A WELL SO THAT THEY COULD GET THEIR
- 6 PROPERTY APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WHEN YOU PUT IT IN WRITING, THERE WAS NO
- 9 PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS?

10

- 11 ART AGUERIA: WE HAD A -- WE DID HAVE MEETINGS WITH FOLKS OUT
- 12 IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY AND OTHERS. WE RELIED ON THE TOWN
- 13 COUNCIL PRESIDENTS OUT THERE AT A TIME WHEN WE WERE DISCUSSING
- 14 THE CHANGES IN THE POLICY TO GET WORD OUT TO SOME OF THE
- 15 PARTICIPANTS OUT THERE. THERE HAVE BEEN DISCUSSIONS WITH
- 16 PEOPLE FROM THE LOCAL REALTORS OUT THERE IN THE AREA ALSO. SO
- 17 THERE WERE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE CLARIFICATION OF WHAT WAS AND
- 18 WAS NOT ALLOWED.

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PRIOR TO IT BEING WRITTEN?

21

22 ART AGUIRRE: YES. I'M SORRY?

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PRIOR TO IT BEING WRITTEN?



ART AGUIRRE: YES.

2

- 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IN THE DISCUSSIONS WE'VE HAD, THERE WAS
- 4 CONCERN THAT IT WAS NOT DISCUSSED IN PUBLIC FORUMS AND, AS A
- 5 RESULT OF THAT, WE HAD THE PUBLIC FORUMS AND, AS YOU KNOW, THE
- 6 RESULT OF THOSE FORUMS IN WHICH PEOPLE WERE NOT AWARE. THAT'S
- 7 WHY WE SUGGESTED THOSE MEETINGS ALSO BE CONDUCTED IN OTHER
- 8 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS BECAUSE THERE WAS A...

9

- 10 ART AGUIRRE: YOU ARE CORRECT, SUPERVISOR, THAT WE DID NOT HAVE
- 11 THE TYPE OF FORUM THAT WE HAD SIMILAR TO THE ONES WE HAD
- 12 RECENTLY AT THE END OF THE YEAR. THERE WAS MEETINGS WITH SOME
- 13 OF THE LOCAL TOWN COUNCIL PRESIDENTS IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY TO
- 14 INFORM THEM OF THE CLARIFICATION, THE POLICY OR REQUIREMENTS.
- 15 AND ALSO MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT THERE WERE SOME ARTICLES
- 16 THAT WERE WRITTEN BY SOME OF THE LOCAL PAPERS UP THERE
- 17 INFORMING SOME OF THE LOCAL CITIZENS OF THESE ISSUES REGARDING
- 18 HAULED WATER.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THERE WAS NO FORMAL NOTIFICATION TO THE
- 21 PUBLIC UNTIL WE ASKED FOR IT?

22

23 ART AGUIRRE: NO, SIR.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THAT'S THE ISSUE THAT WE HAVE BEFORE US
- 2 AND WHY WE NEED THESE TYPE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS IN ALL OF OUR
- 3 DISTRICTS, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND IT IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE BECAUSE
- 4 THERE HAS BEEN, ACCORDING TO MANY, A DEVIATION. AND IT WAS NOT
- 5 DONE IN CONCERT WITH FULL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND WORKING
- 6 WITH ALL OF THE COUNTY AGENCIES.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I THINK, I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY, I -- IT
- 9 APPEARS THERE IS -- THE APPLICATIONS ARE DIFFERENT IN EACH OF
- 10 THE COMPETING DISTRICTS HERE. I THOUGHT THE INTENT OF THE
- 11 MOTION IN YOUR AMENDMENTS WAS TO SORT OF BRING THAT ALL
- 12 TOGETHER SO THAT WE WOULD HAVE THIS PUBLIC PROCESS TO HAVE
- 13 CONSISTENCY.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT.**

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT, BUT IN THE -- THE ONLY PLACE WHERE
- 18 MR. ANTONOVICH AND I DIFFER...

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: BUT HE'S GOING TO SEPARATE THAT OUT AND
- 21 BRING THAT BACK.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HE IS. IF THAT'S WHAT HE'S DOING, THAT'S
- 24 FINE, AND THEN FIGURE IT OUT AND I THINK HIS ANSWER TO THE
- 25 QUESTION FROM THE COUNTY COUNSEL HELPS GET -- MOVE THAT ALONG.



- 1 AND, RATHER THAN PROLONG IT, I JUST, I'LL SUPPORT HIS MODIFIED
- 2 AMENDMENT AND THEN MOVE THE ITEM AS MODIFIED...

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU CATER TO THAT, SUPERVISOR
- 5 ANTONOVICH? OKAY. SO SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY MOVES HIS MOTION.
- 6 AS SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AS AMENDED WITH THE ADD
- 7 THE FOURTH INDENTED SECTION AND THEN SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 8 WILL DO THE OTHER PART NEXT WEEK OR WHEN HE SEES FIT. WITHOUT
- 9 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, IF YOU WOULDN'T
- 10 MIND, CAN WE GO TO THE SET ITEMS, PLEASE?

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, IF YOU CAN GET ME, KIND OF, GET ME
- 13 SOME HAULED WATER, I'M THIRSTY.

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I HAVE AN OPEN BOTTLE HERE. FIRST OF ALL,
- 16 THEN, WE'RE GOING TO CALL S-1 UP, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF
- 17 THE INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT
- 18 RECOMMENDATIONS AND BRIEF REPORT ENTITLED, "SAFELY SURRENDERED
- 19 AND ABANDONED INFANTS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY 2002". DEANNE? AND
- 20 THAT WILL BE FOLLOWED BY THE PLANNING COUNCIL. OKAY? WHICH I
- 21 HAD THE GOOD FORTUNE TO CHAIR LAST YEAR. AND WHILE THEY'RE
- 22 SITTING DOWN THERE, ITEM 27 WAS NOT APPROVED DURING -- I
- 23 FORGOT TO LIST ITEM 27. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE, SECONDED BY
- 24 THE CHAIR. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ALSO, IT'S COME TO
- 25 MY ATTENTION THAT, ON ITEM NUMBER 31, GENEVIEVE HAS WITHDRAWN



- 1 HER HOLD. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, THE CHAIR WOULD SECOND.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. WITH THOSE TWO BITS OF
- 3 HOUSEKEEPING, DEANNE, IT'S ALL YOURS.

4

- 5 **DEANNE TILTON:** GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIRMAN AND HONORABLE
- 6 SUPERVISORS, I AM DEANNE TILTON AND I AM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF
- 7 ICAN, THE INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT. WITH
- 8 ME IS TISH SLEEPER, PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR FOR ICAN, WHO IS OUR
- 9 PRIMARY ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE OF COLLECTING DATA, NOT ONLY
- 10 ON SAFELY SURRENDERED CHILDREN BUT ON ALL CHILD...

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SHE AND JACOUELINE MCKROWSKI KNOW EACH
- 13 OTHER? NO. THAT WAS A INSIDE JOKE ABOUT DATA. ALL RIGHT.

- 15 **DEANNE TILTON:** UH, YEAH, WE DO, YES. UH HUH. YOU EACH HAVE
- 16 RECEIVED A COPY OF THE REPORT ENTITLED "SAFELY SURRENDERED AND
- 17 ABANDONED INFANTS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY IN 2002". EARLIER,
- 18 SUPERVISOR KNABE, WHO HAS BEEN THE LEADER AND MENTOR ON THIS
- 19 ISSUE FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS, GAVE A REALLY EXCEPTIONAL
- 20 INTRODUCTORY SPEECH ON THE IMPORTANCE OF THE SAFE SURRENDER
- 21 PROJECT SO THAT SHORTENS WHAT I NEED TO SAY, EXCEPT TO PERHAPS
- 22 GIVE A NATIONAL PERSPECTIVE. BY 2000, ABOUT HALF OF THE STATES
- 23 IN THE COUNTRY HAD A SAFE SURRENDERED LAW. SO WE REALLY JUMPED
- 24 IN BEHIND JIM BRUTY'S S.B. 1368 TO GET IT PASSED, THINKING
- 25 THAT WE WERE REALLY GOING TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF



- 1 SAVING CHILDREN'S LIVES BECAUSE, MONTH AFTER MONTH, WE REVIEW
- 2 CHILDREN'S DEATHS AND IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT TO BE MAKING STEPS
- 3 TOWARDS PREVENTING THIS AND WE FELT WE WERE. IN 2001, THAT LAW
- 4 PASSED AND BECAME THE LAW IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND, IN
- 5 THAT SAME YEAR, 11 NEWBORN INFANTS WERE ABANDONED TO DIE. THAT
- 6 WAS ALMOST A THIRD OF THE TOTAL CHILD DEATHS BY PARENT OR
- 7 CARETAKER IN THE YEAR 2001. SO IT BECAME APPARENT THAT THE LAW
- 8 ITSELF WASN'T ENOUGH. IT WASN'T ENOUGH TO HAVE A LAW ON THE
- 9 BOOKS IF NOBODY KNEW ABOUT IT AND NOBODY WAS ACTIVELY PURSUING
- 10 -- ENCOURAGING PEOPLE TO SAFELY SURRENDER THEIR NEWBORN
- 11 INFANTS. SO, IN 2002, SUPERVISOR KNABE PASSED HIS MOTION AND
- 12 SAFE SURRENDER TASK FORCE WAS FORMED. IT WAS ORGANIZED BY THE
- 13 CHILDREN'S PLANNING COUNCIL AND INCLUDED MANY DEPARTMENTS,
- 14 MANY PRIVATE SECTOR REPRESENTATIVES, ET CETERA AND, WITHIN
- 15 MONTHS, AS SUPERVISOR KNABE HAS INDICATED, WE HAD 12 MAJOR
- 16 RECOMMENDATIONS IN ORDER TO SAVE CHILDREN'S LIVES AND PREVENT
- 17 ABANDONED AND -- SURVIVING ABANDONED AND DECEASED ABANDONED
- 18 CHILDREN. OUT OF THESE 12 RECOMMENDATIONS, TWO CAME TO ICAN
- 19 DIRECTLY WHICH RELATE VERY MUCH TO OUR EXPERTISE. ONE IS DATA
- 20 COLLECTION AND THAT IS ESSENTIALLY ACCOUNTABILITY. WHO ARE THE
- 21 WOMEN WHO ARE ABANDONING THEIR KIDS? WHY ARE THEY DOING THIS?
- 22 AND WHERE ARE THEY? AND, THEREFORE, WHAT CAN WE DO TO PREVENT
- 23 THEM FROM SUBJECTING THESE INFANTS TO EITHER A VERY CRUEL
- 24 SHORT LIFE OR DEATH? WE ALSO WERE ASKED TO LEAD A PUBLIC
- 25 INFORMATION CAMPAIGN ALONG WITH FIRST FIVE AND TO ESTABLISH A



- 1 SPEAKERS BUREAU, WHICH WE HAVE DONE. THE TASK OF COLLECTING
- 2 THIS DATA WAS VERY CHALLENGING BECAUSE OF THE SECRECY
- 3 CONNECTED TO THE PREGNANCIES AND THE SECRECY CONNECTED TO THE
- 4 WHOLE ISSUE OF UNWANTED AND ABANDONED INFANTS. AND SO THE
- 5 STAFF PERSON WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR COLLECTING ALL OF THE
- 6 CHILD DEATH DATA FOR ICAN WAS ASSIGNED TO TRY TO PULL TOGETHER
- 7 ANOTHER GROUP TO EXAMINE WHO THE MOTHERS ARE, WHERE THEY ARE,
- 8 IS THERE A PROFILE, WHAT CAN WE LEARN, AND TO MAKE
- 9 RECOMMENDATIONS TO YOU AS TO HOW TO PREVENT THE LOSS OF THESE
- 10 PRECIOUS LIVES. SO I'LL COME BACK TO OUR RECOMMENDATIONS
- 11 SPECIFICALLY BUT I WANTED TISH SLEEPER TO PRESENT AN OVERVIEW
- 12 OF OUR FINDINGS OF 2002 AND ALSO ADVISE YOU THAT, TODAY, YOU
- 13 RECEIVED PRELIMINARY DATA ON 2003, THE ABANDONED SURVIVING,
- 14 THE ABANDONED DECEASED, AND SAFELY SURRENDERED CHILDREN AND A
- 15 SHORT UPDATE ON JANUARY OF 2004. TISH SLEEPER.

- 17 TISH SLEEPER: THANK YOU. IN 2002, AS SUPERVISOR KNABE
- 18 PREVIOUSLY SAID, 10 NEWBORNS WERE SAFELY SURRENDERED IN L.A.
- 19 COUNTY. UNFORTUNATELY, 13 NEWBORNS WERE ALSO ABANDONED. FIVE
- 20 OF THOSE SURVIVED AND, MOST UNFORTUNATELY, EIGHT WERE FOUND
- 21 DECEASED. AS ICAN LOOKED AT THESE 23 CASES, WE WANTED TO LOOK
- 22 AT DATA TO FIND A WAY TO ASSIST IN REACHING THE PUBLIC, TO
- 23 REACHING THE WOMEN WHO ARE ABANDONING THEIR INFANTS, TO FIND
- 24 OUT IF THERE WAS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WOMEN WHO ABANDONED AND
- 25 WOMEN WHO SAFELY SURRENDER, TO FIND OUT IF EITHER OF THESE



- 1 GROUP OF MOTHERS FIT A PROFILE, AND, FOR THE MOTHERS WHO TOOK
- 2 ADVANTAGE OF THE LAW, TO FIND OUT HOW THEY KNEW ABOUT THE LAW
- 3 AND WHAT PROMPTED THEM TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF IT. FOR THOSE WHO
- 4 DID NOT TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE LAW, THOSE WHO ABANDONED THEIR
- 5 INFANTS, WE TRIED TO FIND OUT IF THEY KNEW ABOUT THE LAW, IF
- 6 THEY DID NOT KNOW ABOUT THE LAW, AND, IF THEY DID KNOW ABOUT
- 7 THE LAW, WHY THEY DIDN'T TAKE ADVANTAGE OF IT. WITH THAT IN
- 8 MIND, WE DETERMINED THAT MOTHERS WHO ABANDON THEIR NEWBORNS
- 9 DID NOT DIFFER ON DEMOGRAPHIC ITEMS FROM THOSE WHO SURRENDERED
- 10 THEIR NEWBORNS. AND, IN FACT, I THINK THERE'S A MISPERCEPTION
- 11 THAT MOTHERS WHO ARE ABANDONING THEIR INFANTS ARE YOUNG,
- 12 UNMARRIED TEENS WHO ARE LIVING AT HOME WITH THEIR PARENTS.
- 13 AND, IN FACT, WE FOUND THAT THIS IS NOT THE CASE. WOMEN WHO
- 14 SAFELY SURRENDER THEIR INFANTS OR WHO ABANDONED THEIR INFANTS
- 15 RANGE IN AGE FROM 16 TO 42 YEARS OF AGE. THEY CROSS ALL
- 16 MARITAL STATUS; THERE ARE SINGLE WOMEN, MARRIED WOMEN,
- 17 DIVORCED WOMEN. THERE ARE ALSO -- SEVERAL OF THESE WOMEN HAD
- 18 OLDER CHILDREN. THESE WERE NOT FIRST-TIME MOTHERS.

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EXCUSE ME. CAN I JUST INTERRUPT? ONE OF THE

21 SAFE SURRENDERS WAS A MALE TURNING...

19

22

- 23 TISH SLEEPER: IT WAS THE FATHER OF THE CHILD, YES.
- 25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: FATHER OF THE CHILD.



- 2 TISH SLEEPER: YES. IN FACT, THERE WERE EIGHT MOTHERS WHO
- 3 SURRENDERED, ONE FATHER, AND ONE FRIEND OR COUSIN OF A MOTHER,
- 4 YES. WE ALSO FOUND THAT THEY CROSS ETHNIC LINES. THESE
- 5 CHILDREN THAT ARE ABANDONED AND SAFELY SURRENDERED ARE
- 6 HISPANIC, AFRICAN-AMERICAN, AND CAUCASIAN. WHILE THE MAJORITY
- 7 OF THE INFANTS WERE HISPANIC, THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH L.A.
- 8 COUNTY'S BIRTH RATE, WHICH HAS A HIGHER BIRTH RATE FOR
- 9 HISPANIC WOMEN. SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS: WE FOUND THAT THEY ALSO
- 10 CROSS OVER SOCIO AND ECONOMIC STATUS; HOWEVER, WE DID FIND
- 11 THAT SEVEN OF THE 13 ABANDONED INFANTS AND SEVEN OF THE 10
- 12 SURRENDERED INFANTS WERE FOUND OR SURRENDERED IN ECONOMICALLY
- 13 DEPRESSED NEIGHBORHOODS, SPECIFICALLY IN SPA 6, 7, AND 8. IN
- 14 THE REPORT, THERE'S A MAP THAT SHOWS THE SAFE SURRENDERS AND
- 15 ABANDONED INFANTS FOR 2002 AND YOU CAN SEE A PATTERN WHERE
- 16 SEVERAL OF THEM, ALMOST A MAJORITY, FALL RIGHT DOWN THE 110
- 17 FREEWAY. WE FOUND THAT VERY INTERESTING. SO, OF COURSE, WE
- 18 THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE LOOK AT SOCIOECONOMIC
- 19 CONDITIONS WHEN WE'RE LOOKING AT THE ISSUE OF INFANT
- 20 ABANDONMENT. ALSO, OF THE FIVE SURRENDERING PARTIES WHO WE
- 21 WERE ABLE TO GET INFORMATION FROM REGARDING THEIR MOTIVATION
- 22 TO SURRENDER, FOUR OF THE FIVE INDICATED THAT THEY WERE
- 23 FINANCIALLY UNABLE TO CARE FOR THE CHILD. IN LOOKING AT THE
- 24 DATA AND TRYING TO COME UP WITH SOME RECOMMENDATIONS FOR A
- 25 PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGN, WE FEEL THAT, BECAUSE ABANDONMENT



- 1 AND SURRENDERS OCCURRED ALL ACROSS L.A. COUNTY, IT'S IMPORTANT
- 2 THAT THE PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGN ALSO REACH THE ENTIRE
- 3 COUNTY. HOWEVER, IF WE'RE TARGETING CERTAIN COMMUNITIES, WE
- 4 WANT TO TARGET THOSE COMMUNITIES WHERE MOST ABANDONMENTS AND
- 5 POSSIBLE SAFE SURRENDERS ARE OCCURRING OR MOST PREVALENT. THE
- 6 OTHER THING THAT WE CAME UP WITH BY LOOKING AT OUR DATA IS
- 7 THAT WOMEN WHO ABANDON THEIR INFANTS IN AN UNSAFE MANNER WERE
- 8 CONSISTENT IN THEIR NEED TO HIDE THEIR PREGNANCIES, EVEN FROM
- 9 THOSE THEY LIVED WITH. SEVERAL OF THESE WOMEN LIVED WITH
- 10 FAMILIES AND LAW ENFORCEMENT COULD NOT BELIEVE THAT THE
- 11 FAMILIES WOULD NOT HAVE KNOWN THAT THESE WOMEN WERE PREGNANT.
- 12 SO WE ALSO THINK THAT THE PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGN NEEDS TO
- 13 REACH THOSE WHO SURROUND THE WOMEN, THEIR CO-WORKERS, THEIR
- 14 FAMILIES, AND THAT WE STRESS THE IMPORTANCE OF PROVIDING
- 15 SUPPORT TO PREGNANT WOMEN AND TO PRESSING A WOMAN WHO DENIES
- 16 SHE'S PREGNANT, IF YOU REALLY THINK THAT SHE IS. THOSE ARE THE
- 17 TYPE OF RECOMMENDATIONS WE'RE MAKING BASED ON THE 2002 DATA.
- 18 FOR 2003, WE'VE JUST STARTED LOOKING AT THE DATA. THERE WERE
- 19 EIGHT SAFELY SURRENDERED NEWBORNS AND, UNFORTUNATELY, EIGHT
- 20 ABANDONED IN AN UNSAFE MANNER. ONE SURVIVED AND SEVEN WERE
- 21 DECEASED. SO IF WE LOOK AT THE NUMBER OF ABANDONED INFANTS
- 22 SINCE 2001, THEY'RE GOING DOWN. IT WAS 14 IN 2001, 13 IN 2002,
- 23 AND 7 IN 2003, SO THAT'S THE GOOD NEWS. PRELIMINARY DATA AGAIN
- 24 SHOWS THAT THESE WOMEN, SAFE SURRENDERED WOMEN AND ABANDONING
- 25 MOTHERS DO NOT DIFFER FROM ONE ANOTHER DEMOGRAPHICALLY AND



- 1 THAT THEY DO NOT FIT ANY TYPE OF STEREOTYPICAL PROFILE.
- 2 FINALLY, IN 2004, AS SUPERVISOR KNABE SAID, WE'VE ALREADY HAS
- 3 ONE SAFE SURRENDER AND WE ALSO HAD ONE INFANT THAT WAS
- 4 ABANDONED BUT, MOST FORTUNATELY, HAS SURVIVED AND IS WITH THE
- 5 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN SERVICES AWAITING ADOPTION. THANK YOU.

- 7 **DEANNE TILTON:** NO DECEASED. I WANTED TO JUST BRIEFLY PRESENT
- 8 OUR RECOMMENDATIONS AND ALSO ADVISE YOU THAT THE ICAN SPEAKERS
- 9 BUREAU, WHICH IS HEADED BY PENNY WEISS, OUTGOING ASSISTANT
- 10 DIRECTOR OF ICAN IN HER LAST WEEK OF COUNTY EMPLOYMENT, SHE
- 11 HAS PUT TOGETHER A BUREAU THAT HAS REACHED 420 INDIVIDUALS,
- 12 PROFESSIONALS FROM COUNTY LIBRARIES, FROM MEDICAL CENTERS,
- 13 PROBATION, CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, COMMUNITY, AND TWO
- 14 RADIO SHOWS, AND EACH OF THESE PEOPLE WHO HAS BEEN TRAINED HAS
- 15 BEEN GIVEN THE TASK TO TRAIN OTHERS. SO WE'RE HAPPY WITH THE
- 16 NUMBER THAT WE'VE REACHED BUT WE'RE NOT REACHING ENOUGH,
- 17 OBVIOUSLY, ALTHOUGH, SO FAR THIS YEAR, WE HAVE NO LOSS OF
- 18 LIFE, WE HAVE HAD A SURRENDER. WE ARE STILL AIMING FOR THAT
- 19 HUNDRED PERCENT LIFE-SAVING EFFORT. OUR RECOMMENDATIONS ARE AS
- 20 FOLLOWS: BY THE WAY, THE LATEST DATA WAS GIVEN TO YOU TODAY ON
- 21 2003/2004. IT WAS NOT IN THE BOUND REPORT WHICH YOU EACH GOT A
- 22 COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO AND SO LET ME PREFACE THIS BY AGAIN
- 23 THANKING THE BOARD FOR ITS SUPPORT AND THANKING SUPERVISOR
- 24 KNABE FOR TRULY LANDING ON THIS ISSUE AND PUTTING HIS HEART
- 25 AND SOUL INTO IT. I THINK MOST PEOPLE WHO WORKED SO HARD ON



- 1 THIS EFFORT, AND THERE WERE DOZENS AND DOZENS, DID SO PARTLY
- 2 BECAUSE THEY FELT THIS WAS A SINCERE AND FROM THE HEART
- 3 REQUEST TO THEM FROM THIS BOARD, AND IT WASN'T WORK THAT
- 4 DIDN'T HAVE SOME PURPOSE AND COULDN'T HAVE A INCREDIBLY
- 5 IMPORTANT OUTCOME. OUR FIRST RECOMMENDATION IS THAT THE CHIEF
- 6 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE WORK WITH INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL
- 7 STAKEHOLDERS TO ASSURE THAT THE PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGN IS
- 8 BROAD ENOUGH TO REACH CHILD-BEARING WOMEN OF ALL ETHNICITIES,
- 9 SOCIOECONOMIC CLASSES AND GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS AS WELL AS
- 10 THOSE WHO SURROUND THEM. THE CAMPAIGN SHOULD PLACE SPECIAL
- 11 EMPHASIS ON TARGETING COMMUNITIES WHERE ABANDONMENT AND THE
- 12 POSSIBILITY OF SAFE SURRENDERS ARE MOST PREVALENT. LET ME ADD
- 13 TO THIS THAT WE ACTUALLY HAVE A MAP THAT SHOWS HOW THESE
- 14 ABANDONMENTS AND SURRENDERS SPREAD OUT ACROSS THE COUNTY IN
- 15 2003 VERSUS 2002, WHERE THEY'RE ALMOST ALL DOWN THE HARBOR
- 16 FREEWAY. THERE IS A MIGRATION OUTWARD SO WE DON'T KNOW FOR
- 17 SURE WHERE THIS WOULD LEAD. I KNOW, IN ALABAMA, THEY FOUND THE
- 18 LONGER THE SAFE SURRENDER CAMPAIGN CONTINUED, THE MORE THEY
- 19 FOUND MIDDLE CLASS, COLLEGE STUDENTS, THE MORE THEY WERE ABLE
- 20 TO PULL OUT OF -- A REPRESENTATION OF FAMILIES WHO WERE
- 21 CAPABLE OF HIDING THE ABANDONMENT MORE EASILY THAN THOSE WHO
- 22 FIND ONLY DUMPSTERS AND TRASH BINS AND SUCH TO ABANDON THEIR
- 23 CHILDREN. SO WE WANT A WIDE SWATH THERE AND WE'RE ASKING THE
- 24 C.A.O. TO HELP WITH THAT. WE ALSO ASK THAT THE DEPARTMENTS OF
- 25 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, HEALTH SERVICES, PUBLIC SOCIAL



- 1 SERVICES, MENTAL HEALTH CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE EFFORTS OF OUR
- 2 SPEAKERS BUREAU. WE REALLY NEED ALL THE PEOPLE WE CAN GET TO
- 3 GO OUT AND TRAIN PEOPLE ON THE SAFE SURRENDER LAW AND REACH
- 4 THOSE WHO MAY BE PREGNANT OR KNOW SOMEBODY WHO IS PREGNANT AND
- 5 WOULD SAFELY SURRENDER THEIR CHILD. AND THAT'S BEEN A
- 6 DIFFICULT TASK BECAUSE OF HOW BUSY EVERYONE IS BUT SO
- 7 IMPORTANT. AND, FINALLY, IN OUR DIFFICULT TASK OF COLLECTING
- 8 DATA, WE'RE ASKING THAT THE CORONER'S OFFICE, DISTRICT
- 9 ATTORNEY, SHERIFF'S, AND D.C.F.S. CONTINUE TO SUPPORT OUR
- 10 EFFORTS TO PROVIDE NOTIFICATION OF SURRENDERS, ABANDONMENTS,
- 11 AND TO COLLECT DATA. SO, IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, WE'LL BE
- 12 HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? I JUST WANT

- 15 TO PERSONALLY SAY THANK YOU FOR THIS RESEARCH, WHICH I THINK
- 16 IS REALLY IMPORTANT. I THINK, YOU KNOW, EVERYONE THINKS, ON
- 17 THE SAFE SURRENDER PROGRAM THAT THEY'RE ALL, YOU KNOW, 12-
- 18 YEAR-OLD MOTHERS AND, YOU KNOW, HAVING FIRSTBORNS AND YOU
- 19 REALLY REALIZE THAT THIS JUST REALLY RUNS THE GAMUT, NOT ONLY
- 20 OF AGE, BUT OF SOCIOECONOMIC BACKGROUND, AND IT'S JUST REALLY
- 21 HARD TO BELIEVE THAT SOMEONE HAS NO ONE, REALLY, TO SHARE WITH
- 22 OR TO, YOU KNOW, SHARE THAT PAIN WITH OR TO MAKE SOMETHING
- 23 HAPPEN. AND SO ANYTHING WE CAN DO TO FACILITATE THAT, WE'RE
- 24 WORKING AS HARD AS WE CAN TO TRY TO IMPLEMENT ALL YOUR
- 25 RECOMMENDATIONS, AND THE TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS AND HAVING



- 1 THIS DATA THAT'S CRITICAL, TOO, SO I WANT TO THANK YOU BOTH
- 2 AND TO ALL THE TASK FORCE MEMBERS THAT WERE HERE TODAY, A
- 3 HEARTFELT THANKS ON BEHALF OF THE ALL THE CHILDREN OF LOS
- 4 ANGELES COUNTY, SO WE THANK YOU.

5

6 **DEANNE TILTON:** THANK YOU.

7

8 TISH SLEEPER: THANK YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ASK ONE QUESTION, MR. CHAIRMAN? HAVE
- 11 YOU CONSIDERED OTHER LOCATIONS, DESIGNATED LOCATIONS SIMILAR
- 12 TO THE WAY THEY DO IN NEW YORK, AS LONG AS THEY HAVE SUITABLE
- 13 TRAINING?

14

- 15 **DEANNE TILTON:** THAT ISSUE HAS COME UP AND IT'S REALLY A LEGAL
- 16 ISSUE THAT COUNTY COUNSEL WOULD HAVE TO HELP US WITH. IN NEW
- 17 YORK, YOU CAN ESSENTIALLY SURRENDER YOUR CHILD ALMOST
- 18 ANYWHERE. I MEAN, VERY BROAD DEFINITION OF WHAT A SAFE
- 19 SURRENDER WOULD BE AND I THINK WE PROBABLY WOULD FIND MORE
- 20 INFANTS THAT WAY BUT, EACH TIME WE HAVE EXAMINED THE EXPANSION
- 21 OF WHERE INFANTS CAN BE SURRENDERED, THERE'S ALL KINDS OF
- 22 LEGAL ISSUES THAT COME UP IN TERMS OF THE ACCOUNTABILITY. SO
- 23 IF, YOU KNOW...



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND I THINK STILL THAT'S ONE OF THE GOALS
- 2 BUT I THINK, MIKE, ONE OF THE REASONS THE LAWS HERE IN
- 3 CALIFORNIA, PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES TO LIABILITY, ARE
- 4 SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT THAN NEW YORK AND WE'RE TRYING TO WORK
- 5 THROUGH THAT TO SEE POSSIBLY IF THERE MAY BE SOME LEGISLATIVE
- 6 CHANGES WE CAN MAKE TO EXPAND IT BECAUSE YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY
- 7 CORRECT. I MEAN, THE MORE OPPORTUNITIES THERE ARE, I THINK,
- 8 THE BETTER OFF WE'LL ALL BE.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'M THINKING, ALSO, YOU KNOW, LOCAL LAW
- 11 ENFORCEMENT STATIONS, SHERIFF'S STATIONS, POLICE DEPARTMENTS.
- 12 BUT I WOULD SAY COMING BACK IN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME WITH
- 13 SOME RECOMMENDATIONS, WORKING WITH COUNTY COUNSEL TO FINALIZE
- 14 THAT RECOMMENDATIONS.

15

16 **DEANNE TILTON:** WE ALSO...

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND, AGAIN, IN NEW YORK, WHICH WAS, I GUESS,
- 19 A SUE-HAPPY TOWN AS CRAZY AS LOS ANGELES AND CALIFORNIA IS,
- 20 THEY'RE ABLE TO WORK OUT SUCH A PROGRAM SO...

- 22 DEANNE TILTON: AND WE'LL LOOK AT THE OUTCOMES OF THAT AS WELL.
- 23 YOU ALSO HAD, AT ANOTHER TIME, INDICATED OTHER CAMPAIGNS FOR
- 24 HIGH-RISK CHILDREN AND WE HAVE SERIOUSLY LISTENED TO THAT IN
- 25 TERMS OF THE AUTO/PEDESTRIAN DEATHS AND WE'RE WORKING WITH



- 1 FIRST FIVE RIGHT NOW TO TRY TO DEVELOP CAMPAIGNS FOR
- 2 AUTO/PEDESTRIAN DEATHS BECAUSE, UNFORTUNATELY, IN OUR LAST
- 3 REPORT, 41 LITTLE KIDS, TODDLERS, BABIES, WERE KILLED BY JUST
- 4 BEING BACKED UP OVER IN A DRIVEWAY OR HIT IN A CROSSWALK OR ON
- 5 THEIR TRICYCLE. SO WE APPRECIATE THAT EACH ONE OF YOU HAS THE
- 6 CONCERN ABOUT OUR MOST HELPLESS AND VULNERABLE POPULATION AND
- 7 WE'LL COME BACK TO YOU WITH THAT AS WELL.

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WILL MOVE
- 12 THE RECOMMENDATION AND SUPERVISOR BURKE SECONDS. WITHOUT
- 13 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: YIKES.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES?

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: HELLO. I HAVE A QUESTION.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OH, I-- WELL, I ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY
- 22 OTHER QUESTIONS AND...

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: NO, NOT OF YOU. THANK YOU, THOUGH, VERY MUCH. I
- 25 HAVE A QUESTION FOR DR. SANDERS AND IT'S MORE WITH THE ISSUE



- 1 OF WHEN THE BABIES COME TO US, AFTER THEY'VE COME TO US AND
- 2 THEY GO THROUGH THE PROCESS OF ADOPTION.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DR. SANDERS?

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: WHICH I THINK WOULD BE RELEVANT, OKAY?

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MM HM.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: BUT THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: AS YOU KNOW, DR. SANDERS, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT
- 15 WE'RE DOING, I THINK THAT THIS PROGRAM HAS HAD TREMENDOUS
- 16 VALUE, AS WE HAVE SEEN FROM THE REPORT, FOR CREATING AN OPTION
- 17 OR AN OPPORTUNITY FOR MANY OF THOSE MOTHERS WHO, FOR WHATEVER
- 18 REASON, MAKE A DECISION TO GIVE UP THEIR CHILD, THAT WE CREATE
- 19 A SAFE HAVEN FOR THEM, WHICH WE HAVE DONE. BUT THE REALITY IS,
- 20 WE'RE STILL FINDING THAT OUT AND THERE'S STILL MORE WORK THAT
- 21 ALL OF US NEED TO DO IN THIS AREA. BUT THE OTHER CONCERN THAT
- 22 I HAVE, AND I'VE BEEN TRACKING THESE CHILDREN, AND I'M STILL
- 23 TROUBLED, VERY TROUBLED BY A BUREAUCRATIC PROCESS THAT NOW WE
- 24 OVERLAY ON THESE CHILDREN. I GUESS WHAT I NEED TO FIND OUT IS
- 25 HOW WE CAN BEGIN A PROCESS. THIS CHILD HAS BEEN EMANCIPATED TO



- 1 THE NTH DEGREE. THIS INDIVIDUAL SAID HERE, AND AT LEAST
- 2 CREATED A SAFE HAVEN FOR THEM, BUT SOME OF THE CHILDREN WE
- 3 FIND IN DUMPSTERS THAT ARE ALIVE, THERE'S STILL A BUREAUCRATIC
- 4 PROCESS THAT'S OVERLAID. I'M VERY CONCERNED THAT WE HAVE
- 5 CHILDREN THAT WE FOUND A YEAR AGO AND TWO YEARS AGO THAT ARE
- 6 STILL NOT EMANCIPATED. WHAT CAN WE DO? IN OTHER WORDS, THEY'RE
- 7 NOT ADOPTED. AND THAT'S SORT OF A -- ONE OF THE ASSURANCES
- 8 THAT I THINK PEOPLE NEED TO KNOW.

9

- 10 DR. DAVID B. SANDERS: MR. CHAIR, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, THE-- I
- 11 THINK THAT, VERY CLEARLY, THE -- AND IT WAS PART OF A
- 12 RESOLUTION THAT WAS DONE EARLIER BY YOU AND SUPERVISOR
- 13 ANTONOVICH AROUND THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS, I THINK THAT, AT
- 14 THE FINALIZATION END IN ADOPTIONS, THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF
- 15 ISSUES FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS AND THAT THIS IS ONE OF THOSE
- 16 AREAS THAT SHOULD BE MUCH EASIER THAN IT HAS BEEN. AND I CAN
- 17 COMMIT TO PERSONALLY WORKING ON MOVING THESE FINALIZATIONS
- 18 MORE QUICKLY THAN THEY HAVE BEEN. THERE REALLY ISN'T AN EXCUSE
- 19 FOR WHY THEY AREN'T DONE.

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY. I WASN'T PAYING ATTENTION. I WAS
- 22 READING SOME OF YOUR INFORMATION WHICH THE DATES ARE WRONG.
- 23 THE HOME STUDY WAS DONE A MONTH BEFORE THE CHILD WAS BORN SO
- 24 NOW I'M WORRIED ABOUT THE INFORMATION YOU'RE GIVING ME. THESE
- 25 ARE YOUR OWN DOCUMENTS.



1

- 2 DR. DAVID B. SANDERS: THE HOME STUDY COULD BE DONE BEFORE FOR
- 3 FAMILIES WHO -- IF THE FAMILY WAS IDENTIFIED EARLIER, THE HOME
- 4 STUDY COULD HAVE BEEN DONE FOR THAT FAMILY THAT MIGHT LOOK TO
- 5 ADOPT THE CHILD.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: OH, WELL, WHICH IS GOOD, BECAUSE THAT'S WHY I WAS
- 8 WONDERING, WHY IS IT WE DON'T HAVE, WAITING IN THE WINGS, YOU
- 9 KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING?

10

11 DR. DAVID B. SANDERS: RIGHT.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: ADOPTIVE FAMILIES, FOSTER PARENTS THAT ARE VERY
- 14 INTERESTED IN ADOPTING A CHILD, PARTICULARLY BABIES? WE HEAR
- 15 JUST SUCH A HUGE CRY OF SO MANY POTENTIAL ADOPTIVE PARENTS. SO
- 16 THEN YOU'RE GOING -- YOU'RE TELLING ME NOW THAT YOU DO HAVE
- 17 FAMILIES WAITING IN THE WINGS?

18

- 19 DR. DAVID B. SANDERS: MR. CHAIR, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, ONE OF THE
- 20 AREAS THAT WE ARE -- THAT WE'RE WORKING ON CURRENTLY IS
- 21 CREATING THE -- A SINGLE HOME STUDY SO THAT FAMILIES DON'T
- 22 HAVE TO GO THROUGH IT AGAIN. SO ONCE A -- FOR EXAMPLE, IF A
- 23 FAMILY COMES FORWARD AND IS INTERESTED IN PROVIDING FOSTER
- 24 CARE, THAT HOME STUDY WOULD BE APPLIED TO ADOPTION.



1 SUP. MOLINA: HOW LONG IS THAT GOING TO TAKE TO GET DONE?

2

- 3 DR. DAVID B. SANDERS: WE ARE ACTUALLY WORKING ON SETTING IT UP
- 4 WITHIN THE NEXT MONTH. WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON IT FOR ABOUT FOUR
- 5 MONTHS AND SO WE HAD ANTICIPATED STARTING IT THIS MONTH. IT'LL
- 6 TAKE JUST A LITTLE LONGER BUT THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS. AND SO
- 7 WE DO HAVE SOME FAMILIES WHO ARE WAITING FOR FINALIZATIONS OF
- 8 CHILDREN BUT THAT NUMBER IS CLEARLY MUCH SMALLER THAN WHERE WE
- 9 SHOULD BE.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING, AND I KNOW YOU HAVE NO
- 12 JURISDICTION OVER THE COURTS AND THE LAWS AS TO HOW THE COURTS
- 13 ADJUDICATE THESE SITUATIONS, BUT IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT,
- 14 EVEN AFTER AN ADOPTION IS DECLARED FINAL OR DECLARED AS -- AN
- 15 ADOPTION IS APPROVED BY THE COURTS, THAT FAMILIES MUST -- THAT
- 16 THE BIRTH MOTHER OR THE BIRTH PARENT HAS ONE YEAR IN WHICH TO
- 17 RECANT OR TRY AND GET THE CHILD BACK. IS THAT CORRECT?

18

- 19 DR. DAVID B. SANDERS: MR. CHAIR, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, THAT'S
- 20 CORRECT. THEY CAN APPEAL THE DECISION FOR-- YES.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: AT THAT POINT IN TIME. SO -- AND YET, THERE IS A
- 23 -- THERE HAS TO BE SOMETHING, AND I'M WONDERING IF,
- 24 LEGISLATIVELY, WE SHOULD INTRODUCE SOMETHING, NOT SO MUCH THAT
- 25 PEOPLE IN ONE YEAR -- I DON'T WANT TO TAKE THAT RIGHT AWAY. I



- 1 THINK THAT THAT'S PROBABLY OKAY BUT IF, IN FACT, WE'VE TAKEN A
- 2 YEAR TO TWO YEARS TO EMANCIPATE THE CHILD, EVEN TO TAKE IT
- 3 BEFORE THE COURTS, THAT PART IS TROUBLING FOR ME, SO THAT NOW
- 4 YOU WILL HAVE A THREE-YEAR-OLD, MAY HAVE A THREE-YEAR-OLD, AND
- 5 SOME OF THESE ARE ON THAT TRACK, THE ONES WE'VE BEEN TRACKING,
- 6 THAT, IF THIS PROCESS GOES AS IT'S SUPPOSED TO GO, THIS CHILD
- 7 IS GOING TO BE THREE YEARS OLD WHEN IT FINALLY IS ADOPTED
- 8 LEGALLY BY THE PARENTS AND THEN, AFTER THAT, THEY WILL HAVE
- 9 ONE YEAR IN WHICH TO POTENTIALLY FILE AN APPEAL. I'M WONDERING
- 10 IF, IN FACT, THIS WOULD NOT REQUIRE SOME KIND OF SPECIAL
- 11 CIRCUMSTANCES LEGISLATION IN WHICH THIS CHILD WOULD BE PUT ON
- 12 A DIFFERENT TRACK THAN A CHILD WHO IS JUST GIVEN UP OR, FOR
- 13 SOME REASON, IS NOW AVAILABLE FOR ADOPTION. IT JUST SEEMS TO
- 14 ME THAT WE WANT TO GET THIS CHILD STABILIZED, PARTICULARLY IF
- 15 IT'S A BABY, AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE, AND THERE SEEMS TO BE
- 16 SOME BUREAUCRATIC MECHANISMS. I UNDERSTAND THAT WE WANT TO
- 17 MAKE THE RIGHT DECISION ON BEHALF OF THE CHILD, WHICH IS WHY
- 18 WE SHOULD BE AHEAD OF THE GAME, PROBABLY HAVE SOME ADOPTIVE
- 19 POTENTIAL PARENTS WAITING IN THE WINGS, AND YOU SAY YOU'RE
- 20 SORT OF DOING THAT, BUT I THINK THE OTHER ISSUE IS, WHAT WE
- 21 NEED TO DO IS MAYBE FIND A WAY TO GET THE LEGISLATURE, WHILE
- 22 NOT DENYING THE RIGHTS OF THE MOTHER FOR A YEAR, I THINK THAT
- 23 SHOULD STILL BE THERE, BUT IF WE DON'T GET TO OUR END EARLY
- 24 ENOUGH IN THE GAME, THIS CHILD MAY BE THREE OR FOUR YEARS OLD.
- 25 THE ONES THAT WE'RE TRACKING CONCERN ME BECAUSE EVEN WHEN THIS



- 1 SO-CALLED, WHAT IS IT, .26 HEARING IS HELD, WHICH I JUST FOUND
- 2 OUT WHAT THAT IS, IS IT -- YEAH, .26, EVEN THOUGH IT'S HELD,
- 3 IT'S HEARD, LIKE, WHAT, SIX MONTHS AFTER THE CHILD IS FOUND,
- 4 IN MOST INSTANCES, THERE'S CONTINUANCES OF THOSE HEARINGS AND
- 5 THAT'S WHEN THE BUREAUCRATIC DELAY BEGINS. SO I WOULD BE
- 6 INTERESTED IN HAVING YOU LOOK AT SOME LEGISLATION THAT MAYBE
- 7 COUNTY COUNSEL COULD HELP US WITH IN PUTTING TOGETHER, IN SOME
- 8 KIND OF, QUOTE, SPECIAL NEEDS MECHANISM, ONLY BECAUSE, IF
- 9 WE'RE GOING TO PURSUE THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILD, I THINK
- 10 YOU NEED TO STABILIZE AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE AND I'M CONCERNED
- 11 THAT EVEN THOUGH WE MIGHT HAVE DR. SANDERS ALREADY
- 12 ANTICIPATING THAT BY LOADING IT UP FRONT AND HAVING HOME
- 13 STUDIES AND OTHER BUREAUCRATIC THINGS DONE EARLIER, WE STILL
- 14 NOW HAVE TO GO THROUGH A COURT PROCESS, WHICH MAY BE DELAYED
- 15 BECAUSE OF ALL THE REQUIREMENTS. SO I'M JUST WONDERING IF
- 16 THERE'S SOMETHING THAT COULD BE DONE SO THAT WE PRESERVE THE
- 17 PARENTS' RIGHT TO APPEAL BUT LIMIT IT IN THE FIRST YEAR ALIVE
- 18 OR AT LEAST THE FIRST YEAR AND A HALF OF LIFE AS COMPARED TO
- 19 MAYBE THREE OR FOUR YEARS, SORT OF IN A LIMBO KIND OF
- 20 SITUATION, WHICH ANYTHING COULD HAPPEN TO THAT CHILD. SO, IF
- 21 YOU WOULD COME BACK TO US, I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THAT AS A
- 22 RECOMMENDATION. I KNOW IT'S NOT TOTALLY RELATED AND I
- 23 APPRECIATE THE WORK THAT ICAN HAS DONE BUT I REALLY THINK THAT
- 24 THIS IS SOMETHING THAT'S NOW ON OUR END OF IT AND I THINK WE
- 25 NEED TO DO SOMETHING.



1

2 DR. DAVID B. SANDERS: WE CAN CERTAINLY PUT SOMETHING TOGETHER.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I THINK THAT WOULD BE AN EXCELLENT IDEA. I
- 5 WAS REMINDED, DURING, YOU KNOW, YOUR QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
- 6 THERE, THAT -- OF THE PRESS CONFERENCE WE HELD ON SAFE
- 7 SURRENDER, I BELIEVE IT WAS GLENDALE ADVENTIST, AND I WAS
- 8 WALKING AWAY, JUST WALKING BACK TO THE CAR TO GET READY TO GO
- 9 TO ANOTHER EVENT AND THIS LADY CAME UP TO ME AND SHE SAYS,
- 10 "WOULD YOU LIKE TO HOLD THIS BABY?" AND I SORT OF LOOKED AT
- 11 HER SORT OF FUNNY AND IT WAS AN ABANDONED BABY AND SHE WAS ONE
- 12 OF THE ONLINE FOSTER PARENTS AND HER COMMENT TO ME AS I LEFT
- 13 WAS, YOU KNOW, "NOW STARTS THE POTENTIAL DELAYS," AND SHE WAS
- 14 CONCERNED ABOUT THAT AND THE WHOLE PROCESS BECAUSE THE TIME
- 15 HAD PASSED. SO I THINK IT WOULD BE VERY, VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.
- 16 NEXT, WE'RE GOING TO INVITE THE CHILDREN'S PLANNING COUNCIL TO
- 17 COME FORWARD. YOLIE. BRING YOUR -- WHOA, WE'VE GOT A FULL
- 18 COURT PRESS HERE. ALL RIGHT! I KNOW THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS
- 19 GOING TO ASK ME THIS SO, JUST PRIOR TO YOUR SPEAKING, IF YOU'D
- 20 JUST GIVE US YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

- 22 YOLIE FLORES AGULIAR: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIR, SUPERVISORS.
- 23 MY NAME IS YOLIE FLORES AGULIAR. I'M THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF
- 24 THE CHILDREN'S PLANNING COUNCIL. ON BEHALF OF THE COUNCIL AND
- 25 ITS MANY PARTNERS, I AM PLEASED TO PRESENT THE RECOMMENDATIONS



- 1 YOU REQUESTED TO ADDRESS THE PLIGHT OF CHILDREN IN LOS ANGELES
- 2 COUNTY. JOINING ME IN THIS PRESENTATION ARE THREE MEMBERS OF
- 3 THE COUNCIL, WHO EACH CO-CHAIRED A WORK GROUP THAT HELPED TO
- 4 DEVELOP THE RECOMMENDATIONS. DR. ELISA NICHOLAS, WHO CO-
- 5 CHAIRED THE HEALTH ACCESS WORK GROUP; DR. JACQUELYN MCCROSKEY,
- 6 WHO CO-CHAIRED THE SAVE STABLE AND NURTURING FAMILIES WORK
- 7 GROUP; AND NANCY AU, WHO HELPED TO LEAD THE EARNED INCOME TAX
- 8 CREDIT WORK GROUP. IN A MOMENT, THEY WILL WALK US THROUGH THE
- 9 RECOMMENDATIONS. TO BEGIN, I WILL BRIEFLY PROVIDE YOU WITH THE
- 10 CONTEXT FOR THE RECOMMENDATIONS, OUTLINE THE PROCESS THAT WE
- 11 USED, AND DISCUSS THE THREE GOALS WE ARE ASKING THE BOARD TO
- 12 ADOPT FOR L.A. COUNTY. ON OCTOBER 12, 2002, YOUR BOARD ADOPTED
- 13 A MOTION INTRODUCED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH ASKING THE
- 14 CHILDREN'S PLANNING COUNCIL TO DEVELOP RECOMMENDATIONS TO
- 15 ADDRESS THE PLIGHT OF CHILDREN. BASED ON THE CALIFORNIA REPORT
- 16 CARD PREPARED BY CHILDREN NOW, A STATE-WIDE CHILD ADVOCACY
- 17 ORGANIZATION, WHICH FOUND THAT CALIFORNIA COMPARES POORLY WITH
- 18 OTHER STATES IN PROVIDING ADEQUATE EDUCATION, HEALTHCARE, AND
- 19 ECONOMIC SECURITY FOR YOUNG CHILDREN AND FAMILIES. THE 2002
- 20 L.A. COUNTY CHILDREN'S SCORE CARD, PREPARED BY THE CHILDREN'S
- 21 PLANNING COUNCIL, FURTHER FOUND THAT, ALTHOUGH COUNTY-WIDE
- 22 IMPROVEMENTS HAD BEEN MADE BETWEEN 1995 AND 1999, ACROSS
- 23 L.A.'S FIVE OUTCOMES OF CHILD WELL-BEING, IT FOUND THAT
- 24 THOUSANDS OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES CONTINUE TO FARE POORLY IN
- 25 THE AREAS OF HEALTH ACCESS, ECONOMIC WELLBEING, SAFETY AND



- 1 SOCIAL AND EMOTIONAL WELLBEING. THE PROCESS TO DEVELOP THE
- 2 RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD BEGAN WITH AN ANALYSIS OF THE
- 3 DATA AND FINDINGS IN BOTH PUBLICATIONS. THIS ANALYSIS WAS
- 4 REVIEWED BY MEMBERS OF THE C.P.C. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, THE
- 5 DATA PARTNERSHIP, AND MEMBERS OF THE SPA A.I.C. COUNCILS.
- 6 BASED ON CRITERIA DEVELOPED IN THIS PROCESS, A SHORT LIST OF
- 7 POTENTIAL AREAS OF FOCUS WAS IDENTIFIED AND SHARED WITH THE
- 8 LEADERSHIP OF EACH OF THE COUNCILS TO TEST THE RELEVANCY OF
- 9 THESE AREAS TO REAL CONCERNS AND ISSUES IN THE COMMUNITY.
- 10 AFTER HEARING FROM THE COMMUNITY, THE CHILDREN'S PLANNING
- 11 COUNCIL NARROWED THE FOCUS TO WHAT WE BELIEVE TO BE THE THREE
- 12 AREAS THAT ARE DEEMED TO BE THE MOST COMPELLING AND FOR WHICH
- 13 THERE ARE IMMEDIATE OPPORTUNITIES TO MAKE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT.
- 14 THE THREE AREAS ARE AS FOLLOWS: FIRST, ACCESS TO HEALTH
- 15 COVERAGE. L.A. COUNTY CONTINUES TO HAVE A HIGHER RATE OF
- 16 UNINSURED CHILDREN COMPARED TO CALIFORNIA, 16.9% COMPARED TO
- 17 14.3%, AND THE DISPARITIES ACROSS CERTAIN ETHNIC GROUPS ARE OF
- 18 CONCERN. THE SECOND AREA IS RELATED TO CHILDREN LIVING IN
- 19 SAFE, STABLE, NURTURING FAMILIES. ALTHOUGH THE RATE OF
- 20 CALIFORNIA CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE DECLINED BETWEEN 1998 AND
- 21 2000, IT WAS STILL WELL ABOVE THE NATIONAL RATE. AND, ALTHOUGH
- 22 L.A. COUNTY HAS ONE OF THE LOWER CHILD ABUSE REFERRAL RATES IN
- 23 THE STATE, IT HAS SOME OF THE HIGHEST RATES OF CHILDREN IN THE
- 24 FOSTER CARE SYSTEM. THE THIRD AREA IS ABOUT REDUCING POVERTY
- 25 THROUGH THE EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT. CALIFORNIA RANKS IN THE



- 1 BOTTOM FIFTH OF ALL STATES IN A PROPORTION OF LOW INCOME
- 2 FAMILIES AND, IN 2000, HAD THE EIGHTH HIGHEST CHILD POVERTY
- 3 RATE NATIONWIDE. WITHIN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES HAD ONE OF THE
- 4 HIGHEST RATES. C.P.C. THEN CONVENED THREE STAKEHOLDER GROUPS
- 5 TO DEVELOP SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EACH OF THESE AREAS.
- 6 THE COMPOSITION OF THESE WORK GROUPS REPRESENTED A BROAD ARRAY
- 7 OF STAKEHOLDERS FROM THE PUBLIC AND THE PRIVATE SECTOR AND THE
- 8 COMMUNITY, EACH WITH EXPERTISE, EXPERIENCE, AND THE
- 9 WILLINGNESS TO COLLABORATE IN IMPLEMENTING THE
- 10 RECOMMENDATIONS. EACH WORK GROUP WAS CO-CHAIRED BY A COUNTY
- 11 DEPARTMENT HEAD, A CONVENER OF A SPA OR A.I.C. COUNCIL, AND A
- 12 C.P.C. MEMBER REPRESENTING THE PRIVATE SECTOR. THE WORK GROUPS
- 13 ALSO DEVELOPED AGGRESSIVE MEASURABLE GOALS FOR THE COUNTY.
- 14 THESE GOALS ARE INTENDED TO BE MET WITHIN 18 MONTHS OR TO MAKE
- 15 SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS TOWARD THESE GOALS DURING THE SAME
- 16 PERIOD. THESE ARE THE THREE GOALS: GOAL NUMBER ONE: BY JULY
- 17 2005, ENSURE THAT AN ADDITIONAL 135,000 CHILDREN AND YOUTH ARE
- 18 ENROLLED IN HEALTH COVERAGE PROGRAMS. GOAL NUMBER TWO: BY JULY
- 19 2005, SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASE THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN LIVING IN
- 20 SAFE, STABLE, NURTURING FAMILIES. AND GOAL NUMBER THREE: BY
- 21 JULY 2005, INCREASE THE NUMBER OF FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN THAT
- 22 FILE AND RECEIVE THE EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT BY 20,000. THE
- 23 CO-CHAIRS FOR EACH GROUP -- WORK GROUP WILL NOW PRESENT THE
- 24 RECOMMENDATIONS WHICH WE BELIEVE CAN HELP US ACHIEVE EACH OF
- 25 THESE GOALS. DR. ELISA NICHOLAS.



- 2 DR. ELISA NICHOLAS: GOOD AFTERNOON. ELISA NICHOLAS. THE ACCESS
- 3 WORK GROUP WAS CO-CHAIRED BY DR. THOMAS GARTHWAITE, DIRECTOR
- 4 OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES; LORRAINE BARBER,
- 5 CONVENER OF SPA 8; AND ME, A PEDIATRICIAN OF THE CHILDREN'S
- 6 CLINIC AND A MEMBER OF THE PLANNING COUNCIL REPRESENTING THE
- 7 FOURTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT. GOOD HEALTH IS AN ESSENTIAL
- 8 PART OF A CHILD'S OVERALL WELL- BEING. WHILE THE LINKS BETWEEN
- 9 CHILDREN'S OVERALL WELLBEING AND GOOD HEALTH ARE WELL
- 10 DOCUMENTED, THERE ARE STILL MANY BARRIERS THAT PREVENT
- 11 CHILDREN IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY FROM ACCESSING GOOD HEALTH.
- 12 CLEARLY, ACCESS TO HEALTH INSURANCE INFLUENCES WHETHER A CHILD
- 13 CAN ACHIEVE GOOD HEALTH. HOUSEHOLD INCOME, PARENTAL EMPLOYMENT
- 14 STATUS AND AGE MAY IMPACT THE ABILITY OF THE FAMILIES TO
- 15 OBTAIN HEALTH INSURANCE FOR THEIR CHILDREN. THE PATCHWORK OF
- 16 PROGRAMS THAT PROVIDES COVERAGE TO CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND THEIR
- 17 FAMILIES POSES ITS OWN BARRIER. THE VARIETY OF PROGRAMS, THE
- 18 COMPLEX FORMS AND ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA MAKE IT DIFFICULT FOR
- 19 FAMILIES TO ENROLL AND MAINTAIN ENROLLMENT IN THEIR CHILDREN'S
- 20 HEALTH COVERAGE. AND, CONSEQUENTLY, MAKES IT DIFFICULT FOR
- 21 MANY OF THESE CHILDREN TO ACCESS APPROPRIATE AND TIMELY
- 22 HEALTHCARE. I WITNESS THESE BARRIERS ON A DAILY BASIS WITH THE
- 23 FAMILIES THAT I SERVE IN MY PRACTICE. THESE RECOMMENDATIONS
- 24 SEEK TO OVERCOME THOSE BARRIERS. RECOMMENDATION NUMBER ONE
- 25 FOCUSES ON FACILITATING ENROLLMENT AND RETENTION. WE RECOMMEND



- 1 TO INSTRUCT THE DIRECTORS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
- 2 AND PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES TO PARTICIPATE IN A REQUIREMENTS
- 3 ANALYSIS TO DETERMINE THE TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY, COST, AND
- 4 POLICY IMPLICATIONS OF ONE EAP AS A UNIVERSAL ENROLLMENT AND
- 5 RETENTION SYSTEM AND RETURN TO THE BOARD WITH FINDINGS AND THE
- 6 NEXT STEPS. ONE EAP IS A WEB-BASED SYSTEM THAT LINKS PROGRAMS,
- 7 STREAMLINES ELIGIBILITY SCREENING AND IMPROVES ENROLLMENT AND
- 8 RETENTION EFFORTS. IN CALIFORNIA, ONE EAP HAS BEEN PILOTED IN
- 9 ALAMEDA AND SAN DIEGO COUNTY AND HAS BEEN FULLY IMPLEMENTED IN
- 10 INDIANA AND ARIZONA WITH SUCCESSFUL RESULTS. FUNDING FOR THIS
- 11 REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS HAS BEEN SECURED THROUGH THE UNIHEALTH
- 12 FOUNDATION AND, AS PART OF AN OVERALL COLLABORATIVE STRATEGY,
- 13 THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INITIATIVE COALITION, OF WHICH D.H.S.,
- 14 D.M.H., D.P.S.S. AND THE C.P.C. ARE MEMBERS. RECOMMENDATION
- 15 NUMBER TWO. THIS FOCUSES ON STRATEGIES TO ENSURE UNIVERSAL
- 16 COVERAGE. IT INSTRUCTS THE DIRECTORS OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF
- 17 HEALTH SERVICES AND PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES TO IMPLEMENT THE
- 18 FOLLOWING HEALTHCARE COVERAGE ENROLLMENT AND RETENTION
- 19 STRATEGIES TO ENSURE UNIVERSAL COVERAGE FOR CHILDREN AND
- 20 YOUTH. IN COLLABORATION WITH HOSPITALS, BEGINNING WITH DHS
- 21 HOSPITALS, ENSURE THAT STAFF USE THE NEWBORN REFERRAL FORM FOR
- 22 ALL BIRTHS TO MEDICAL MOTHERS AND THAT, DURING THE HOSPITAL
- 23 STAY, ALL OTHER BABIES ARE SCREENED AND ENROLLED IN HEALTH
- 24 COVERAGE PROGRAMS FOR WHICH THEY ARE ELIGIBLE. TWO, ASSIST ALL
- 25 COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND THEIR CONTRACTORS TO DEVELOP A PLAN TO



- ENSURE THAT ALL CHILDREN AND YOUTH, USING THEIR SERVICES, HAVE 1
- HEALTHCARE COVERAGE. THREE, INCREASE THE CAPACITY OF SERVICE 2
- 3 PROVIDERS TO ASSIST WITH ALL ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATION
- REPORTS AND FINALLY, EDUCATE PATIENTS, CLIENTS, MEMBERS, AND 4
- 5 OTHERS ON THE IMPORTANCE OF RECEIVING REGULAR PREVENTIVE
- HEALTHCARE AND ONGOING TREATMENT FOR CHRONIC DISEASES AS A 6
- MEANS OF IMPROVING HEALTH AND DEMONSTRATING THE VALUE OF 7
- 8 HEALTH COVERAGE. RECOMMENDATION THREE. THIS FOCUSES ON THE
- DEVELOPMENT OF A HEALTH ACCESS BLUEPRINT TO ACHIEVE LONGER-9
- TERM GOALS. INSTRUCT THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER TO 10
- PURSUE LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY CHANGES REQUIRED TO IMPROVE 11
- HEALTH COVERAGE FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY 12
- AND INSTRUCT THE DIRECTORS OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF HEALTH 13
- SERVICES, PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, AND MENTAL HEALTH THROUGH 14
- 15 THEIR ACTIVE LEADERSHIP AND PARTICIPATION ON THE CHILDREN'S
- 16 HEALTH INITIATIVE COALITION TO CREATE AND IMPLEMENT A HEALTH
- ACCESS BLUEPRINT FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY 17
- 18 THAT WILL, ONE, ACHIEVE LONG-TERM UNIVERSAL HEALTH COVERAGE;
- 19 TWO, ENSURE CONTINUOUS HEALTH COVERAGE SO THAT THE NUMBER OF
- CHILDREN AND YOUTH WITHOUT HEALTH INSURANCE DECLINES BY 25%; 20
- AND, FINALLY, TO IMPROVE ACCESS TO QUALITY HEALTHCARE SERVICES 21
- 22 SO THAT THE NUMBER OF UNINSURED CHILDREN AND YOUTH WITH A
- 23 REGULAR SOURCE OF CARE WILL INCREASE. THANK YOU.

25



1

- 2 JACQUELYN MCCROSKEY: GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M JACQUELYN MCCROSKEY.
- 3 I'M A PROFESSOR AT U.S.C. IN THE SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK AND, BY
- 4 THE WAY, IN ORDER TO BE HERE THIS AFTERNOON, I BROUGHT WITH ME
- 5 MY CLASS OF GRADUATE MSW STUDENTS WHO ARE STUDYING SOCIAL
- 6 POLICY, YOU GUYS SHOULD WAVE NOW, SO THEY COULD SEE THE
- 7 PROCESS IN ACTION. THE SAFE, STABLE...

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EXCUSE ME, EXCUSE ME, JACQUELYN MCCROSKEY.
- 10 COULD I -- IS SHE A GOOD PROFESSOR? GOOD ANSWER. ALL RIGHT.
- 11 THANK YOU. [LIGHT LAUGHTER]

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU DIDN'T THINK I WOULDN'T ASK, DID YOU?

- 15 JACQUELYN MCCROSKEY: NO. [LAUGHTER] NO, THAT DIDN'T OCCUR TO
- 16 ME. THE SAFE, STABLE AND NURTURING FAMILIES WORK GROUP WAS CO-
- 17 CHAIRED BY DR. DAVID SANDERS, MARLENE SINGER, WHO IS A
- 18 CONVENER IN SPA 5, AND MYSELF. CLEARLY, WE KNOW THAT, IN
- 19 ADDITION TO SAFETY AND PERMANENCE, THE RELATIONSHIPS AND
- 20 EMOTIONAL CONNECT-- TIES FORMED BY CHILDREN IN NURTURING
- 21 FAMILIES ARE CRITICAL TO THEIR WELL- BEING AND TO THEIR
- 22 DEVELOPMENT. TO ACHIEVE THEIR POTENTIAL, CHILDREN NEED WARM
- 23 AND SECURE RELATIONSHIPS WITH PARENTS AND OTHER CAREGIVERS, AS
- 24 WE JUST HAD A DISCUSSION OF, SUPERVISOR MOLINA BROUGHT UP IN
- 25 REGARDS TO THE LAST MOTION. AND IT'S THESE RELATIONSHIPS THAT



- 1 SET THE STAGE FOR HOW CHILDREN DEVELOP AND HOW THEY INTERACT
- 2 WITH OTHERS AND ALSO THEIR LONG-TERM TRAJECTORY. ACCORDING TO
- 3 THE CHILDREN'S SCORE CARD, IN 1999, THERE WERE OVER 48,000
- 4 CHILDREN IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY IN OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT. WE
- 5 KNOW THE NUMBER IS SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER THAN THAT NOW BUT
- 6 THERE'S STILL WAY TOO MANY CHILDREN IN L.A. WHO DON'T HAVE
- 7 SAFE, STABLE, AND NURTURING FAMILIES. THE DISPROPORTIONATE
- 8 RATE OF CHILDREN OF COLOR WHO ENTER INTO FOSTER CARE IS ALSO
- 9 DEEPLY TROUBLING. AFRICAN-AMERICAN CHILDREN REPRESENT ABOUT
- 10 43% OF THE FOSTER CARE SYSTEM BUT ONLY 9% OF THE POPULATION.
- 11 AMERICAN INDIAN CHILDREN ARE ALSO DISPROPORTIONATELY
- 12 REPRESENTED IN FOSTER CARE AND WE ARE SEEING TRENDS AMONG
- 13 LATINO CHILDREN THAT PRESAGE SIMILAR INCREASES. WE ARE ALSO
- 14 CONCERNED ABOUT THE LENGTH OF TIME THAT IT TAKES TO SAFELY
- 15 REUNIFY CHILDREN AND YOUTH WITH THEIR FAMILIES. IN LOS
- 16 ANGELES, THE AVERAGE AMOUNT OF TIME TO REUNIFICATION IS 24
- 17 MONTHS, WHICH IS TWICE THE NATIONAL AVERAGE. THESE
- 18 RECOMMENDATIONS ADDRESS THESE CRITICAL ISSUES BY DEVELOPING
- 19 COMMUNITY-WIDE PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN D.C.F.S., ALLIED COUNTY
- 20 DEPARTMENTS AND COMMISSIONS AND KEY COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS. SO
- 21 RECOMMENDATION NUMBER FOUR FOCUSES ON PREVENTION AS WELL AS
- 22 ELIMINATING BIAS AND INEQUITY IN THE SYSTEM. THE
- 23 RECOMMENDATION ASKS YOU TO INSTRUCT THE DIRECTOR OF D.C.F.S.,
- 24 IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE COMMISSION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES,
- 25 TO WORK WITH A VERY BROAD RANGE OF COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS TO



- 1 DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A PREVENTION-ORIENTED PLAN WHICH WOULD
- 2 ADDRESS BOTH MAKING PREVENTION SERVICES AND SUPPORTS AVAILABLE
- 3 TO CHILDREN AND THEIR FAMILIES AS WELL AS DECREASING THE
- 4 DISPROPORTIONATE REPRESENTATION OF CHILDREN OF COLOR WHO COME
- 5 INTO FOSTER CARE AND INTO THE CHILD WELFARE SYSTEM. THE
- 6 DEVELOPMENT OF THE PLAN WOULD INCLUDE THE ACTIVE PARTICIPATION
- 7 OF THE C.A.O., MANY COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND COMMISSIONS, AS
- 8 WELL AS KEY STAKEHOLDERS FROM THE JUSTICE COMMUNITY, ADVOCACY
- 9 GROUPS, AND COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS. WE SUGGEST THAT THIS PLAN
- 10 BE DUE IN 120 DAYS AND THAT IT INCLUDE VERY SPECIFIC GOALS AND
- 11 PERFORMANCE MEASURES. IT SHOULD BUILD ON THE COMPTON PROJECT,
- 12 THE PILOT WORK THAT'S GOING ON IN COMPTON, WHERE APPROPRIATE,
- 13 AND IT SHOULD INCLUDE STRATEGIES TO, NUMBER ONE, PROMOTE THE
- 14 ABILITY OF FAMILIES TO ACT ON THEIR OWN BEHALF, INCLUDING
- 15 EXPANDED DECISION-MAKING POWERS. NUMBER TWO, MAKE POLICY
- 16 CHANGES AT LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL LEVELS THAT INCLUDE
- 17 FLEXIBILITY OF FUNDS AND REINVESTMENT ACROSS FUNDING STREAMS
- 18 FOR PREVENTION-ORIENTED ACTIVITIES. WE SUPPORT THE CURRENT
- 19 PLANNING EFFORTS GOING ON BY THE DEPARTMENT AND ITS PARTNERS
- 20 IN TERMS OF THE FEDERAL 4-E WAIVER TOWARDS THIS END. AND,
- 21 NUMBER THREE, TO INTEGRATE THE FAMILY-CENTERED PROGRAMS THAT
- 22 ARE NOW OFFERED BY MULTIPLE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND OTHER
- 23 COMMUNITY PARTNERS INTO A PRACTICAL CONTINUUM OF FAMILY-
- 24 CENTERED SERVICES. THE FIFTH RECOMMENDATION FOCUSES ON MORE
- 25 TIMELY AND SAFE REUNIFICATION. WE ASK YOU TO INSTRUCT THE



- 1 D.C.F.S. DIRECTOR TO LEAD A COLLABORATIVE EFFORT TO
- 2 SIGNIFICANTLY DECREASE THE AVERAGE TIME FOR SAFE REUNIFICATION
- 3 OF CHILDREN WITH THEIR FAMILIES. THIS IMPLEMENTATION PLAN,
- 4 WHICH WOULD BE DUE IN 60 DAYS, WITH SPECIFIC GOALS AND
- 5 PERFORMANCE MEASURES, WOULD INCLUDE STRATEGIES FOR ENGAGING
- 6 COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS AND RESIDENTS IN CAPACITY-
- 7 BUILDING EFFORTS, MAKING CHANGES IN EXISTING POLICIES AND
- 8 PROCEDURES THAT INADVERTENTLY HINDER SAFE, TIMELY
- 9 REUNIFICATION, ESTABLISH PRACTICE GUIDELINES, INCLUDING THE
- 10 USE OF MULTI-DISCIPLINARY TEAMS IN DECISION-MAKING WITHIN AND
- 11 ACROSS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND OTHER PUBLIC AGENCIES, COMMUNITY
- 12 PARTNERS, AND RELEVANT ADVISOR GROUPS. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE ARE
- 13 SERVICES OFFERED BY OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENTS SUCH AS D.P.S.S.,
- 14 THE SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES OFFERED BY THE COUNTY HEALTH
- 15 DEPARTMENT, MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES OFFERED BY MENTAL HEALTH,
- 16 ET CETERA, WHICH COULD MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF
- 17 REUNIFYING CHILDREN WITH THEIR FAMILIES. AND THIS PLAN WOULD
- 18 ALSO HAVE STRATEGIES TO LEVERAGE AVAILABLE RESOURCES TO REALLY
- 19 SUPPORT THE GOAL OF FAMILY REUNIFICATION. THE SIXTH
- 20 RECOMMENDATION HAS TO DO WITH PERMANENCY, AND WE ASK YOU TO
- 21 INSTRUCT THE D.C.F.S. DIRECTOR AND THE CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER
- 22 TO LEAD A SIMILAR COLLABORATIVE EFFORT WITH OTHER KEY
- 23 STAKEHOLDERS TO DECREASE THE AMOUNT OF TIME IT TAKES FOR A
- 24 CHILD TO BE LEGALLY ADOPTED, INCREASING SIGNIFICANTLY THE
- 25 PERCENTAGE OF FOSTER YOUTH, AGE 14 AND OLDER, WHO LEAVE THE



- 1 SYSTEM WITH PERMANENT FAMILIES, ADOPTIVE FAMILIES, AS WELL AS
- 2 WITH STRONG, ENDURING TIES TO OTHER NURTURING ADULTS. THIS
- 3 EFFORT WOULD BE DEFINED IN AN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN WHICH WOULD
- 4 COME BACK TO YOU IN 60 DAYS WITH SPECIFIC GOALS AND
- 5 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND WOULD INCLUDE A BROAD RANGE OF
- 6 STRATEGIES TO REALLY IMPLEMENT THE THINGS THAT WE KNOW ARE
- 7 NECESSARY IN ORDER TO CREATE PERMANENCY FOR THESE CHILDREN AND
- 8 YOUTH.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. NANCY?

- 12 NANCY AU: GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M NANCY AU AND I WAS A MEMBER OF
- 13 THE E.I.T.C., OR EARNED INCOME TAX WORK CREDIT GROUP. THE
- 14 EARNED INCOME TAX WORK GROUP -- EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT WORK
- 15 CREDIT GROUP WAS CO-CHAIRED BY PHIL AND SYL ON BEHALF OF THE
- 16 DIRECTOR OF THE -- OF DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES,
- 17 BEATRICE OLVERA STOTSER, COUNCIL MEMBER, AS THE LATINO
- 18 COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVE, AND MYSELF, A MEMBER OF THE COUNCIL
- 19 REPRESENTING THE ASIAN AND PACIFIC ISLANDER COMMUNITY. FOR
- 20 THIS AREA, WE WERE CLEARLY TRYING TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF
- 21 POVERTY. WE IDENTIFIED THAT THE E.I.T.C., OR THE EARNED INCOME
- 22 TAX CREDIT, AS ONE OF THE MOST EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES FOR
- 23 BRINGING FAMILIES ABOVE THE POVERTY LEVEL. ESSENTIALLY, IT IS
- 24 PUTTING CASH IN THE POCKETS OF FAMILIES. IN L.A. COUNTY, THE
- 25 I.R.S. ESTIMATES THAT APPROXIMATELY 75,000 FAMILIES WITH



- 1 CHILDREN WHO ARE ELIGIBLE FOR E.I.T.C. DID NOT CLAIM IT IN
- 2 2002. WE BELIEVE THAT AN AGGRESSIVE OUTREACH CAMPAIGN CAN
- 3 RESULT IN AN ADDITIONAL 20,000 FAMILIES CLAIMING E.I.T.C. THAT
- 4 COULD RESULT IN AN AVERAGE RETURN RANGING FROM \$1,425 TO
- 5 \$2,000 OR A CUMULATIVE INCREASE OF APPROXIMATELY \$28.5 MILLION
- 6 TO \$40 MILLION IN ADDITIONAL INCOME FOR WORKING LOW-INCOME
- 7 FAMILIES IN L.A. COUNTY AND TO THE LOCAL ECONOMY.
- 8 RECOMMENDATION 7 RELATES TO RESOURCES TO ACHIEVE THIS GOAL.
- 9 INSTRUCT THE PARTICIPANTS IN THE JUNE 2004 CALWORKS
- 10 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FUNDING RECOMMENDATION STAKEHOLDER
- 11 PROCESS TO CONSIDER FUNDING FOR E.I.T.C. OUTREACH AND
- 12 MARKETING. VOLUNTEER INCOME TAX ASSISTANCE, OR VITA SITES.
- 13 STAFF SUPPORTS FOR L.A. E.I.T.C. CAMPAIGN PARTNERSHIP, AND/OR
- 14 OTHER ACTIVITIES TO INCREASE UTILIZATION OF THE FEDERAL EARNED
- 15 INCOME TAX CREDIT. THE STAKEHOLDER GROUPS WERE POLLED PRIOR TO
- 16 THIS RECOMMENDATION BEING OFFERED AND ALL OF THEM SAID THEY
- 17 WOULD BE OPEN TO CONSIDERING IT IN THE PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE
- 18 FUNDING PROCESS, RECOMMENDATION 8 FOCUSES ON COUNTY LEADERSHIP
- 19 AND STAFF SUPPORT. SUPPORT AND ACTIVELY PARTICIPATE IN THE
- 20 L.A. E.I.T.C. CAMPAIGN PARTNERSHIP BY INSTRUCTING THE
- 21 DIRECTORS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES AND THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES TO RECOMMEND --
- 23 REPRESENT THE COUNTY ON THE CAMPAIGN PARTNERSHIP AND
- 24 REQUESTING THAT THE CHILDREN'S PLANNING COUNCIL TO PROVIDE
- 25 STAFF SUPPORT TO THE CAMPAIGN PARTNERSHIP, SUBJECT TO



- 1 AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING, OF COURSE, AND TO ENGAGE THE
- 2 COOPERATION OF THE SPA E.I.C. COUNCILS IN ACHIEVING THE GOAL.
- 3 RECOMMENDATION 9 RELATES TO THE PROMOTION OF E.I.T.C. INSTRUCT
- 4 THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES TO
- 5 INTEGRATE PROMOTION OF THE FEDERAL EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT,
- 6 E.I.T.C., INTO THE FULL RANGE OF THE COUNTY'S FOOD STAMPS AND
- 7 MEDI-CAL OUTREACH AND RETENTION ACTIVITIES. THANK YOU.
- 9 JACQUELYN MCCROSKEY: THANK YOU, NANCY.
- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. I JUST ADD ONE THING, NANCY, AND
- 12 I'VE DONE SEVERAL MOTIONS ON THIS EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT AND
- 13 I'M REALLY GLAD TO SEE THAT YOU ALL MADE THAT A PRIORITY
- 14 BECAUSE IT'S REALLY SIGNIFICANT, SO...
- 16 NANCY AU: YES.

8

10

15

- 18 JACQUELYN MCCROSKEY: LET ME JUST QUICKLY WRAP UP. TO ASSURE
- 19 THAT THE GOALS ARE INTEGRATED INTO THE COUNTY'S EFFORTS TO
- 20 IMPROVE THE WELLBEING OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES AND THAT THE
- 21 BOARD IS REGULARLY INFORMED OF THE PROGRESS IN ACHIEVING THE
- 22 RECOMMENDATIONS, WE ARE ALSO RECOMMENDING THAT WE INCLUDE OUR
- 23 THREE GOALS INTO THE COUNTY'S STRATEGIC PLAN, AND WE'VE HAD
- 24 CONVERSATIONS WITH DAVID ABOUT THIS, AND THAT WE INSTRUCT THE
- 25 C.A.O., IN COORDINATION WITH THE CHILDREN'S PLANNING COUNCIL,



- 1 D.C.F.S., CAL PROBATION AND PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES TO REPORT
- 2 BACK TO THE BOARD EVERY SIX MONTHS FOR THE NEXT 18 MONTHS
- 3 REGARDING PROGRESS IN ACHIEVING THESE RECOMMENDATIONS. WE ARE
- 4 PLEASED WITH THE LEAD DEPARTMENT'S LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT AND
- 5 COMMITMENT TO IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS,
- 6 BEGINNING WITH THE LEADERSHIP SHOWN BY THE DEPARTMENT HEADS.
- 7 WE ARE ALSO GRATIFIED TO SEE THE RECOMMENDATIONS WILL HELP TO
- 8 STRENGTHEN THE STRATEGIC ALLIANCES BETWEEN THE COUNTY, THE
- 9 PRIVATE SECTOR, AND THE COMMUNITY. THE KEY STRATEGIC ALLIANCES
- 10 THAT WE WILL BE COUNTING ON AND WHO HAVE ALREADY BEEN WITH US
- 11 AT THE TABLE INCLUDE, FOR HEALTH, THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH
- 12 INITIATIVE COALITION THAT HAS COME TOGETHER THROUGH THE
- 13 LEADERSHIP OF L.A. CARE, THE CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT, AND THE
- 14 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES, AND THROUGH WHICH UNIHEALTH
- 15 FOUNDATION HAS STEPPED FORWARD TO HELP FUND THE REQUIREMENTS
- 16 ANALYSIS FOR THE ONE EAP RECOMMENDATION. ANOTHER KEY PARTNER
- 17 WILL BE FIRST FIVE. IN TERMS OF SAFE, STABLE, NURTURING
- 18 FAMILIES, OF COURSE, D.C.F.S. AND OUR MANY COMMUNITY PARTNERS
- 19 WILL BE ESSENTIAL AND HAVE STEPPED UP TO BE A STRATEGIC
- 20 PARTNER AND, WITH E.I.T.C., THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND UNITED
- 21 WAY HAVE JOINED US AS WELL. THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 22 PRESENT THESE RECOMMENDATIONS TO YOU. WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO
- 23 RESPOND TO ANY OUESTIONS. WE ALSO HAVE MEMBERS OF THE WORK
- 24 GROUPS HERE AND, IN PARTICULAR, SOME KEY LEADERS FROM THE



- 1 CHILDREN'S HEALTH INITIATIVE WHO WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER
- 2 ANY QUESTIONS AS WELL.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: FIRST OF ALL, BEFORE WE-- I DON'T KNOW IF
- 5 ANY OF THE MEMBERS HAVE QUESTIONS. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
- 6 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH HAS AN AMENDMENT AS WELL, TOO, BUT I
- 7 JUST WANT TO THANK YOU ALL. THERE'S BEEN AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT
- 8 OF WORK DONE ON THIS AND IT REALLY IS RAISING THE BAR AS TO
- 9 HOW SERVICES ARE BEING DELIVERED TO CHILDREN AND I WANT TO
- 10 THANK YOU FOR THAT. EVEN THOUGH OUR BUDGETARY TIMES ARE RATHER
- 11 CHALLENGING AND UNIQUE RIGHT NOW, IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE DON'T
- 12 LOSE SIGHT OF WHAT WE NEED TO DO FOR OUR CHILDREN. IT'S ALSO
- 13 IMPORTANT WE DON'T LOSE THE MOMENTUM THAT YOU ALL HAVE STARTED
- 14 AND CONTINUE TO WORK WITH- WITH ALL THE COMMUNITY-BASED
- 15 ORGANIZATIONS, TOO. SO I JUST WANT TO COMMEND ALL THE MEMBERS
- 16 FOR AN OUTSTANDING REPORT AND THE CONCLUSIONS AND
- 17 RECOMMENDATIONS, I THINK, ARE VERY, VERY IMPORTANT AS WE MOVE
- 18 FORWARD AND AS WE SORT OF FIGHT THROUGH ALL THE BUDGETARY
- 19 BATTLES THAT WE HAVE TO DO IN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS OR A YEAR.

20

- 21 JACQUELYN MCCROSKEY: YEAH, I WOULD BE REMISS IF I DIDN'T THANK
- 22 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP IN ASKING US TO DO
- 23 THIS WORK. IT PRESENTED A VERY IMPORTANT OPPORTUNITY FOR US
- 24 AND SO WE THANK YOU AGAIN.



1	SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
2	
3	SUP. ANTONOVICH: WAS HERE YESTERDAY AFTERNOON
4	WHEN I WAS HERE WORKING AND SHE WAS HERE, SHE CAME HERE
5	WORKING YESTERDAY AND I CONGRATULATE HER FOR HER HARD WORK
6	EVEN ON A DAY OFF. SO THANK YOU FOR THAT. SO I HAVE THE
7	MOTION, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD MOVE THAT THE BOARD ADOPT THE
8	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS PRESENTED BY THE CHILDREN'S
9	PLANNING COUNCIL AND TO INCLUDE THE ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY
10	HUMAN SERVICES AGENCIES, FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER
11	KEY STAKEHOLDERS WHEN IMPLEMENTING THEIR PLAN TO IMPROVE THE
12	PLIGHT OF THE CHILDREN IN L.A. COUNTY.
13	
14	SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SIR, THE CHAIR WILL SECOND IT. ANY
15	FURTHER DISCUSSION? ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?
16	
17	SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY?
18	
19	SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU HAVE A QUESTION?
20	
21	SUP. MOLINA: NO, I DON'T HAVE A QUESTION. I'M JUST CONTINUING
22	THE ITEM ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS.
23	
24	SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S RIGHT. THE ITEM IS
25	BEFORE US. SO WE'LL CONTINUE THE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TWO WEEKS



- 1 AS WELL AS SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S MOTION. ANY OBJECTION TO
- 2 THAT OR...?

3

4 SPEAKER: WOULD YOU LIKE ONE? [LIGHT LAUGHTER]

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU'VE BEEN RATHER... NEVER MIND. NO, NO.
- 7 YEAH, I'M GOING TO HAUL SOME WATER IN FOR YOU, ZEV. [LAUGHTER]

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ANYWAY, WE WILL -- THANKS TO YOU ALL,
- 10 AGAIN, AND WE WILL CONTINUE THE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TWO WEEKS,
- 11 AS WELL AS SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S MOTION. THANK YOU AGAIN.
- 12 AND APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I ALSO WANT TO JUST TAKE THE
- 15 OPPORTUNITY, AS LONG AS THE STUDENTS FROM U.S.C. ARE HERE,
- 16 EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE FROM U.S.C... [LAUGHTER]

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: HE'S A GUTTY LITTLE BRUIN.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I AM. VERY LITTLE THESE DAYS BUT I DO WANT
- 21 TO COMMEND THEM FOR TAKING ON AN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM THAT WILL
- 22 LEAD THEM INTO THE PUBLIC SERVICE CAREER IF THAT'S WHERE
- 23 THEY'RE GOING. THIS IS A REALLY NOBLE CALLING AND WE ARE IN
- 24 SHORT SUPPLY OF PEOPLE LIKE YOURSELVES AND WE WISH YOU WELL
- 25 AND LOOK FORWARD TO HAVING YOU COME WORK FOR US.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR ATTENDANCE. OKAY.
- 3 WE'LL GO BACK TO THE AGENDA. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, YOU'RE
- 4 STILL UP.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OH, IS IT STILL JANUARY 20TH? MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 7 I APPRECIATE THAT. I WAS HOLDING...

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AN ITEM ON THE M.T.A. AGENDA? [LAUGHTER]
- 10 THAT WAS A JOKE. THAT WAS A JOKE.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES. JUST LET ME CATCH UP HERE. HOW ABOUT 3
- 13 AND 8? LET'S TAKE UP 3. MR. ANTONOVICH HELD NUMBER 3.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'D ASK MR. JANSSEN...

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: FULLENWIDER?

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE: YOU KNOW, WELL, I HAD ASKED THAT ONE OF THE ITEMS
- 20 BE REFERRED TO CLOSED SESSION. SUPERVISOR MOLINA WENT-- WAS
- 21 HEARD HER FIRST SO COULD...

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, SHE HAS AN AMENDMENT TO THAT
- 24 PARTICULAR ITEM. WE WILL MOVE ON THAT WHEN WE GET TO THAT.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE QUESTION IS, WHY SHOULDN'T WE NOT INCLUDE
- 2 THE EVALUATION OF OTHER QUALIFIED COMPANIES SO WE CAN LOOK AT
- 3 ALL OF THE VARIOUS COMPANIES AND THEN COME UP WITH THE BEST
- 4 ONE? INSTEAD OF JUST PRECLUDING IT AND JUST SINGLE SOURCE.

- 6 JON FULLENWIDER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MY NAME IS JOHN FULLENWIDER.
- 7 I'M THE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER FOR THE COUNTY. SUPERVISOR
- 8 ANTONOVICH, IT'S A GREAT QUESTION. WHAT THIS MOTION BEFORE YOU
- 9 IS IT BASICALLY ALLOWS US AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASSESS A PRODUCT
- 10 THAT THE CANDLE CORPORATION HAS DEVELOPED SPECIFICALLY FOR THE
- 11 AGGREGATION OF EVENT INFORMATION. TO MY KNOWLEDGE, THEY'RE THE
- 12 ONLY COMPANY THAT HAS EMBARKED IN DEVELOPING A SYSTEM LIKE
- 13 THIS. THE CITY OF YORK IS CURRENTLY UTILIZING THIS, AS ARE
- 14 SEVERAL OTHER MUNICIPALITIES. THIS MOTION DOESN'T COMMIT THE
- 15 COUNTY MUCH TO ANYTHING OTHER THAN SAYING LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT
- 16 THEIR SYSTEM IN TERMS OF THE SYSTEMS THAT THE COUNTY CURRENTLY
- 17 HAS AND TRY TO ASSESS ITS VIABILITY IN TERMS OF BENEFIT TO THE
- 18 REGION, TO THE COUNTY AND THEN COME BACK TO THE BOARD WITH A
- 19 RECOMMENDATION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT WE FEEL IT'S IN THE BEST
- 20 INTERESTS OF THE COUNTY TO PROCEED. ONE OF THE OPTIONS AT THAT
- 21 POINT IN TIME WOULD BE TO ASSESS WHETHER OR NOT THE COUNTY
- 22 WANTED TO PURSUE AN ENGAGEMENT WITH THE CANDLE CORPORATION OR
- 23 BASICALLY LOOK IN AND OPEN IT UP FOR A COMPETITIVE
- 24 SOLICITATION. BUT, AS I INDICATED, RIGHT NOW, I'M NOT AWARE OF



- 1 ANY OTHER COMPANY THAT IS DOING WHAT THE CANDLE CORPORATION IS
- 2 DOING.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND THIS IS SPECIFIC TO THE ANALYSIS OF THE
- 5 PROGRAM IN NEW YORK AND THE SAFETY AGENCIES SO IT'S REALLY A
- 6 REPORT BACK, I MEAN, THAT'S...

7

8 JON FULLENWIDER: THAT'S CORRECT.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT IF WE TOOK THE SECOND STEP, I WOULD
- 11 SUPPORT THAT WE WOULD HAVE AN R.F.P.-TYPE PROCESS WHERE THERE
- 12 COULD BE OTHER FIRMS THAT WE'RE NOT AWARE OF THAT ARE OUT
- 13 THERE THAT OUGHT TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO COMPETE.

14

15 JON FULLENWIDER: AND WE COULD CERTAINLY DO THAT, ABSOLUTELY.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE THAT, WHATEVER,
- 18 THAT WE'RE ALSO LOOKING AT OTHERS. THIS IS JUST ONE BUT WE'RE
- 19 OPEN TO ANALYZING OTHERS AS WELL.

20

- 21 JON FULLENWIDER: THAT'S CORRECT. WE WOULD CERTAINLY BE OPEN TO
- 22 THAT.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I'LL MOVE THE ITEM.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HANG ON. I HAD A SIMILAR QUESTION, IF I CAN,
- 2 MR. CHAIRMAN?

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SURE.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE PROBLEM WITH WHAT YOU SAID IS THAT IT
- 7 DIFFERS FROM WHAT THE MOTION SAYS. SO I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR
- 8 AND PERHAPS, IF EVERYBODY'S IN AGREEMENT ON WHAT YOU'RE
- 9 SAYING, PERHAPS THE MOTION CAN BE JUST MODIFIED SO THAT THE
- 10 WRITTEN RECORD OF WHAT WE'RE DOING COMPORTS TO WHAT OUR
- 11 UNDERSTANDING IS. WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST IS, IN THE MOTION PART
- 12 OF THIS, THAT REQUIRED TO ASSIST THE THE CANDLE CORPORATION
- 13 SUPPORT SYSTEM OR ANY OTHER APPLICABLE SYSTEM, ALL RIGHT, IF
- 14 THERE ISN'T ANY, THERE ISN'T ANY BUT AT LEAST YOU'RE REQUIRED
- 15 TO GO OUT AND MAKE THAT DETERMINATION, OR ANY -- AND I'M JUST
- 16 THINKING OUT LOUD, ANY OTHER APPLICABLE SYSTEM. AND THEN, IN
- 17 THE SECOND PART, I FURTHER MOVE THAT THE C.I.O. RETURN IN 90
- 18 DAYS WITH A REPORT DELINEATING THE BENEFITS [INAUDIBLE]
- 19 REQUIREMENT FOR NON-COUNTY PROPERTY [INAUDIBLE] THAT WOULD
- 20 NEED TO BE ADDRESSED IN DEVELOPING A CONTRACT WITH THE CANDLE
- 21 CORPORATION OR ANY OTHER COMPANY.

22

23 JON FULLENWIDER: WE CAN CERTAINLY DO THAT.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU HAVE AN OBJECTION?



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, NOT AT ALL. I MEAN, THAT, CLEARLY, WAS
- 3 MY UNDERSTANDING BECAUSE THIS WHOLE PROGRAM CAME TO US THROUGH
- 4 MR. FULLENWIDER AND ALSO IT IS THE ONLY PROGRAM THAT WE'RE
- 5 AWARE OF AND I KNOW, THROUGH THE HOMELAND SECURITY ISSUES THAT
- 6 WE'VE BEEN DEALING WITH, THAT [INAUDIBLE] BUT I MEAN, CLEARLY,
- 7 THERE'S A REPORT BACK TO NOT ONLY ANALYZE WHAT THAT IS, SEE IF
- 8 WE CAN IMPROVE IT, IF WE CAN GO OUT, SO I DON'T HAVE ANY
- 9 PROBLEMS WITH THAT.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE ARE GOING TO BE TWO ISSUES: ONE IS
- 12 WHETHER WE SHOULD DO IT AT ALL AND, TWO, WITH WHOM, WHETHER WE
- 13 CAN AFFORD IT AND ALL THAT SORT OF THING.. THAT WILL BE A
- 14 REPORT BACK.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO I'LL MOVE IT AS AMENDED. SUPERVISOR
- 17 YAROSLAVSKY SECONDS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

19 JON FULLENWIDER: THANK YOU.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WAS HOLDING ITEM 8 ALONG WITH SUPERVISOR
- 22 ANTONOVICH. I'LL YIELD TO HIM. IT WAS HIS ORIGINAL MOTION.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE -- I THINK WE HAD THAT INFORMATION FROM
- 2 THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, WHICH HAD THAT AMENDMENT THAT WAS READ
- 3 TODAY, SO...

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. I JUST WANTED -- I THINK I'M OKAY
- 6 WITH THAT. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE NOT DUPLICATING
- 7 WORK FOR THE WHOLE COUNTY OPERATION HERE. THERE ARE A NUMBER
- 8 OF RECOMMENDATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE BY THE AUDITOR-
- 9 CONTROLLER AND I GATHER THE RECOMMENDATION BEFORE US IS TO
- 10 APPROVE THOSE -- THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 11 THAT'S THE ORIGINAL REPORT. IS THAT CORRECT? MR. JANSSEN?
- 12 ANYBODY? ACTUALLY, THE ORIGINAL MOTION WAS MR. ANTONOVICH'S.

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK THE ORIGINAL MOTION WAS MR.
- 15 ANTONOVICH'S TO BRING IN THE QUALITY AND PRODUCTIVITY
- 16 COMMISSION TO...

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS -- MIKE, WHAT IS THE QUALITY AND
- 19 PRODUCTIVITY -- UNDER YOUR MOTION NOW, YOUR AMENDMENT, WHAT IS
- 20 THE QUALITY AND PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION GOING TO BE DOING?

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AS THEIR BYLAWS STATE, IN NUMBER "A," PROVIDE
- 23 IMPLEMENTATION OR RECOMMENDATIONS RELATING TO THE
- 24 PRODUCTIVITY, QUALITY OF SERVICE TO THE COUNTY DEPARTMENT



- 1 HEADS AND MANAGERS. THAT IS TO MAKE REVIEW AND SOME
- 2 SUGGESTIONS HOW THEY CAN REDUCE SOME OF THEIR...

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. BUT ARE THEY GOING TO BE ASKED TO GO
- 5 OVER THE SAME GROUND THAT THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER?

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, NO, THEY'RE NOT GOING TO GO OVER THE SAME
- 8 GROUND...

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO BE DOING?

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUILD UPON THAT AND HAVE THE ABILITY -- THE
- 13 REASON WE STARTED THE PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP IS TO HAVE
- 14 THAT TYPE OF INPUT THAT THOSE MEMBERS HAVE FROM THAT
- 15 COMMISSION TO INTERFACE AND COME UP WITH SOME SUGGESTIONS THAT
- 16 SAYS, YOU KNOW, YOU SEE EACH YEAR WE GIVE THE AWARDS ON HOW
- 17 THE VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS...

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW. SO ARE THEY GOING TO BE LOOKING AT
- 20 ALL OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THE COUNTY AUDITOR DID AND SEE
- 21 IF THEY CAN BUILD ON THEM OR ARE THEY...?

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'M ONLY RESTRICTING IT TO THOSE THREE AREAS
- 24 BUT THEY CAN ON THE OTHER ONES AS WELL. THAT'S NOT A...



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THOSE ARE THREE BIG AREAS. MY CONCERN, AND
- 2 MAYBE THERE'S AN ANSWER TO THIS, IS THAT AS LONG AS THE
- 3 QUALITY AND PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION IS LOOKING AT THINGS THAT
- 4 THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER HAS ALREADY DONE AND THAT WE WANT HIM
- 5 TO START -- AND WE WANT THE DEPARTMENTS TO START IMPLEMENTING,
- 6 AS LONG AS THERE'S SOME -- THAT QUALITY AND PRODUCTIVITY IS
- 7 LOOKING AT IT, I'M CONCERNED THAT THE DEPARTMENTS WILL HAVE AN
- 8 EXCUSE NOT TO IMPLEMENT BECAUSE -- UNTIL THE QUALITY AND
- 9 PRODUCTIVE COMMISSION REVIEW IS DONE. AND I'M ESPECIALLY --
- 10 ONE OF THE THINGS -- I THINK ONE OF YOUR AREAS IS THE
- 11 SHERIFF'S OVERTIME AND THAT'S -- ACTUALLY, I THINK THE SHERIFF
- 12 HIMSELF HAS ALREADY DONE QUITE A BIT ON THE OVERTIME FRONT
- 13 AND...

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, YOU KNOW, THE COST, WHICH IS A BIG
- 16 DRAIN ON THE DEPARTMENT, AND WE NEED A LOT OF ADDITIONAL
- 17 CHANGES AND REFORMS TO BRING THAT OVERTIME BACK INTO AN
- 18 AFFORDABLE PROPOSITION BECAUSE SOME OF THOSE DOLLARS WE SAVE
- 19 CAN BE USED TO IMPROVE SERVICES.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT. BUT HE'S CUT QUITE A BIT OF THE
- 22 OVERTIME EXPENDITURES THIS YEAR, I BELIEVE.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL...



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. I MEAN, I DON'T HAVE... 1 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S ONGOING. 3 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I JUST WANT TO, I MEAN, I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM... 6 7 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEY'RE JUST INTERFACING WITH THE PUBLIC/PRIVATE MEMBERS OF THAT COMMISSION WITH THE DEPARTMENT 9 IN ORDER TO COME UP WITH A BETTER DESIGN OPERATION, JUST AS WE 10 AWARD EACH DEPARTMENT EACH YEAR A CERTIFICATE FOR MEETING 11 THOSE GOALS THAT THEY FIND WILL ENHANCE THE PRODUCTIVITY AND 12 COST SAVINGS TO THE COUNTY. 13 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISORS, I'M LOOKING AT 15 16 NUMBER -- NUMBER 2 AND NUMBER 3 OF THE LIST AND THE MAGNITUDE OF THE REQUEST. BILLING AND COLLECTION PROCESS WITHIN THE 17 18 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES IS NOT A MINOR UNDERTAKING. IT 19 SEEMS TO ME IT'S A HUGE UNDERTAKING. FINANCIAL REVIEW OF THE COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY, IT'S NOT A BIG OPERATION. I'M NOT SURE 20 21 HOW MUCH TIME WOULD BE REQUIRED BUT I'M WONDERING WHO EXACTLY 22 IS GOING TO BE DOING THE WORK AND -- IN THE QUALITY AND PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION. I MEAN, THESE ARE THE KIND OF REPORTS 23 THAT, HISTORICALLY, AT LEAST FOR THE LAST SEVEN YEARS, THAT 24 _____ COMMISSION HAS DONE. 25



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, THAT'S NOT TRUE BECAUSE, IF YOU
- 3 REMEMBER ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO OR THREE YEARS AGO, THE TOP
- 4 RECIPIENT FOR THE PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION WAS A BILLING
- 5 OPERATION WITH MEDI-CAL.

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: BUT ALL THEY DID WAS REVIEW THE...

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THEY ALSO CAME UP -- AND THAT WAS THE TOP
- 10 RECIPIENT FOR THAT YEAR. SO THEY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED. ON
- 11 ANOTHER ISSUE, IF YOU WANT TO GO INTO THE BILLING, WE KNOW WE
- 12 HAVE A DEPLORABLE SITUATION IN THE COLLECTION OF BILLS AT OUR
- 13 MEDICAL SERVICES.

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I'M NOT DISAGREEING WITH ANY OF THAT. I'M JUST
- 16 NOT SURE THEY HAVE THE EXPERTISE TO DO THAT.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. AND WHAT WE WANT TO DO IS TO IMPROVE
- 19 THAT EFFICIENCY AND HERE YOU HAVE A PUBLIC/PRIVATE OPERATION
- 20 WITH PEOPLE FROM SCHOOLS OF BUSINESS THAT ARE PART OF THE
- 21 OPERATION GIVING A REVIEW AND SOME RECOMMENDATIONS. AND, TO
- 22 ENHANCE THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE OUR SYSTEM, OUGHT TO
- 23 BE THE BASIS OF THAT COMMITTEE AND THAT'S WHY THE BYLAWS
- 24 SPECIFICALLY STATE THAT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M JUST -- I'M NOT GOING TO MAKE A -- I
- 2 HAVE A -- JUST A -- TO PUT IT BLUNTLY, I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW
- 3 HOW MUCH -- WHAT CAPACITY ANY OF OUR FOLKS HAVE TO DO ALL OF
- 4 THESE THINGS. AND, WHEN YOU ASK THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO DO A
- 5 REPORT ON SOMETHING, HE COMES IN WITH A REPORT, HE HAS A SLEW
- 6 OF RECOMMENDATIONS, DEPARTMENTS ARE READY TO GO AND IMPLEMENT
- 7 THEM, AND THEN -- I'M NOT ARGUING THAT THAT'S NOT A GOOD IDEA
- 8 ON PAPER, IN THEORY, TO HAVE THE QUALITY AND PRODUCTIVITY
- 9 COMMISSION PUT THEIR TWO CENTS IN BUT THEIR TWO CENTS IS NOT
- 10 GOING TO BE TWO CENTS. THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO HIRE A
- 11 CONSULTANT ON THE HEALTH BILLING ESPECIALLY AND IT'S GOING TO
- 12 DELAY THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SOME OF THE THINGS THAT ARE READY
- 13 TO BE IMPLEMENTED. AND I THOUGHT WHAT WE WANTED TO DO WAS TO
- 14 GO AHEAD AND ASK THE DEPARTMENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE AUDITORS'
- 15 RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE THINGS THAT ARE ALREADY RECOMMENDED ON
- 16 THESE THINGS. DO YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING, TYLER? MAYBE I'M
- 17 CONFUSED ABOUT SOMETHING, BUT...

- 19 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M TYLER MCCAULEY, AUDITOR-
- 20 CONTROLLER. I COULD SUGGEST PROBABLY A COMPROMISE ON THIS. I
- 21 WOULD LOVE TO SIT DOWN WITH THE COMMISSION AND TALK ABOUT THE
- 22 WORK THAT HAS BEEN DONE AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN
- 23 MADE AND ASK FOR THEIR INPUT FROM PRIVATE INDUSTRY'S
- 24 STANDPOINT. AND, IF WE'VE MISSED SOMETHING, THAT WE MIGHT BE
- 25 ABLE TO GAIN FROM THAT. AND SO I WOULD WELCOME THAT



- 1 OPPORTUNITY. I DO AGREE THE AREAS HAVE BEEN GONE OVER BUT THE
- 2 BILLING IS A BIG ISSUE, OVERTIME OF THE SHERIFF. WE'VE DONE
- 3 OUR BEST. A PRIVATE FIRM DID HELP US WITH THE OVERTIME AND THE
- 4 SHERIFF ALSO CAME UP WITH SIMILAR RECOMMENDATIONS. BUT I WOULD
- 5 BE VERY HAPPY TO SIT DOWN WITH THE-- AND SELECT EXPERTISE OF
- 6 THE COMMISSION TO SEE IF THEY COULD ADD TO WHAT WE'VE ALREADY
- 7 DONE.

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S A GOOD START, RIGHT, AND IF IT DOESN'T
- 10 WORK, WE CAN COME BACK AND ADDRESS IT AGAIN. GOOD SUGGESTION,
- 11 TYLER.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH,
- 14 SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. DID YOU HAVE ANY ADJOURNMENTS, ZEV?

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW IF -- I DIDN'T BUT,
- 21 AS I WAS LEAVING THE OFFICE TO COME DOWN HERE, I SAW ON THE
- 22 NEWS THAT JERRY NACHMAN, WHO WAS A REPORTER FOR MS-NBC AND DID
- 23 WORK HERE FOR A WHILE AT KCET FOR A SHORT TIME, CO-HOSTED LIFE
- 24 AND TIMES, PASSED AWAY TODAY FROM CANCER. AND HE WAS REALLY
- 25 QUITE WELL-KNOWN ON THE EAST COAST, IN NEW YORK CITY, WHERE HE



- 1 SPENT MOST OF HIS CAREER BUT A NUMBER OF US, I'M SURE, GOT
- 2 INTERVIEWED BY HIM...

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEP, ALL MEMBERS.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ...WHEN HE WAS HERE AND I WOULD ASK THAT WE
- 7 ADJOURN IN HIS MEMORY. THAT'S IT.

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SO ORDERED. TODAY I MOVE THAT WE
- 10 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF EDWARD DILORETO. ED IS A LONG-TIME
- 11 DOWNEY RESIDENT AND BUSINESSMAN. HE WAS A FATHER OF ONE OF OUR
- 12 MEMBERS OF OUR JUDICIAL SYSTEM, JUDGE JOE DILORETO. PASSED
- 13 AWAY QUIETLY AT HIS HOME AFTER A SHORT ILLNESS. HE WAS BORN IN
- 14 ITALY IN 1913 AND LED A LONG LIFE AND PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE
- 15 OF 90. JUST A FEW MONTHS AGO, I WAS AT HIS 90TH BIRTHDAY
- 16 CELEBRATION. AFTER WORLD WAR II, HE LEFT THE EAST COAST AND
- 17 SETTLED IN CALIFORNIA, MAKING HIS HOME IN DOWNEY FOR OVER 50
- 18 YEARS. HE WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN THE BUILDING OF CASA ITALIANA
- 19 AND THE VILLA SCALIBRINI, CENTERS FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF THE
- 20 ITALIAN CULTURE. HE ALSO FUNDED VILLA DILORETO, AN OVERSEAS
- 21 EXTENSION PORTION OF PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY IN FLORENCE, ITALY.
- 22 HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, JILL, HIS SON, JOSEPH, ERICK,
- 23 DAUGHTERS ELIZABETH, KATHERINE, SUSAN, 15 GRANDCHILDREN AND
- 24 FIVE GREAT-GRANDCHILDREN. HE WAS A GREAT AMERICAN AND WILL BE
- 25 MISSED BY ALL. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF HELEN HARRIS,



- 1 A RESIDENT OF SAN PEDRO, WHO RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE
- 2 OF 82. SHE WAS PRECEDED IN HER DEATH BY HER HUSBAND OF 40
- 3 YEARS, JOHN HARRIS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER CHILDREN,
- 4 CATHERINE, GEORGE, JIM, HER NIECE, GINGER, SIX GRANDCHILDREN,
- 5 FIVE GREAT-GRANDCHILDREN, AND WITH TWO MORE GREAT-
- 6 GRANDCHILDREN ON THE WAY. MRS. HARRIS WAS A DEVOTED AND LOVING
- 7 WIFE, MOTHER, AND GRANDMOTHER AND WILL BE DEEPLY MISSED BY HER
- 8 FRIENDS AND FAMILY. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF RUTH
- 9 SHEFFIELD, WHO WAS VERY ACTIVE IN THE REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY IN
- 10 THE CITY OF LONG BEACH. SHE WAS A 30-YEAR RESIDENT. SHE
- 11 ENJOYED LEARNING NEW THINGS LIKE GENEALOGY, TO USE A COMPUTER.
- 12 SHE PASSED AWAY AT THE YOUNG AGE OF 92. SHE WAS THRILLED TO
- 13 TEST DRIVE A NEW BMW AT THE AGE OF 87. HER SON-IN-LAW, DR.
- 14 ROBERT SCHUMACHER, FORMER CHAIR OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF
- 15 COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF LONG BEACH SAID SHE BROKE THE RULES BY
- 16 HAVING GOOD JUDGMENT, HAD AN EASY SMILE, AND WAS A BEAUTIFUL
- 17 LADY. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER DAUGHTER, MARTHA, SON-IN-LAW,
- 18 ROBERT. SHE WILL BE MISSED BY HER FAMILY AND FRIENDS. FINALLY,
- 19 WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF DOLORES CECILE WRIGHT, BELOVED MOTHER
- 20 OF LIEUTENANT REGINALD WRIGHT AND SERGEANT GILES WRIGHT OF THE
- 21 INDUSTRY SHERIFF'S STATION, WHO RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AFTER A
- 22 BRIEF ILLNESS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER SONS, REGINALD AND
- 23 GILES. SHE WILL BE DEEPLY MISSED BY HER FRIENDS AND FAMILY. SO
- 24 ORDERED. I'M NOT SURE... ON ITEM 18, THE FEDERAL GOALS, I'VE
- 25 ALREADY, ON ITEM 60, HAD CAST MY "NO" VOTE ON THAT BUT I HAD



- 1 AN ADDITIONAL MOTION. I'M NOT SURE WHERE THAT IS. I'LL ASK MY
- 2 STAFF. I DON'T HAVE A COPY OF IT HERE. IT'S NOT ATTACHED TO...
- 3 CURRENTLY, THE COUNTY'S FEDERAL GOALS INCLUDE SUPPORT FOR
- 4 INCREASED FUNDING TO IMPROVE SECURITY AT AIRPORTS, SEA PORTS,
- 5 AND HARBORS. SPECIFICALLY, I WOULD LIKE THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 6 ANGELES TO BE ON RECORD IN SUPPORT OF THE MULTIYEAR FUNDING TO
- 7 IMPROVE SECURITY AT OUR SEA PORTS AND HARBORS. UNLIKE OUR
- 8 AIRPORTS, WHICH HAVE MULTIYEAR GRANT FUNDING FROM FEDERAL
- 9 GOVERNMENT, THE SEA PORTS AND HARBORS RECEIVE ONLY ONE SINGLE
- 10 YEAR FUNDING FOR THEIR AVAILABLE GRANTS. MULTIYEAR GRANT
- 11 FUNDING WOULD ALLOW FOR LONG-TERM PLANNING AND WILL HELP TO
- 12 ENHANCE OUR SECURITY AT OUR PORTS. SO I WOULD MOVE THAT THE
- 13 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SUPPORT THE CONCEPT OF MULTIYEAR FEDERAL
- 14 GRANT FUNDING, IMPROVE SECURITY AT OUR SEA PORTS AND HARBORS
- 15 IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND INSTRUCT OUR WASHINGTON, D.C.
- 16 ADVOCATES TO PURSUE LEGISLATION TO BE PART OF THAT FEDERAL
- 17 AGENDA. THAT'S NOT JUST US BUT THAT'S COMING FROM ALL OVER THE
- 18 NATION SO... AND, WITH THAT, I WOULD MOVE THE ITEM WITH THE
- 19 VARIOUS VOTES THAT HAVE BEEN CAST IN MY AMENDMENT AND WITHOUT
- 20 OBJECTIONS, SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR BURKE SECONDED. ITEM NUMBER
- 21 23 WAS HELD BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. SHIRLEY CARNEY AND
- 22 BARBARA JOHNSON. ARE THEY HERE? IS SHIRLEY CARNEY AND BARBARA-
- 23 SHIRLEY CARNEY AND BARBARA JOHNSON ARE NOT HERE.

25 BARBARA JOHNSON: [INAUDIBLE]

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU DIDN'T SIGN UP FOR THIS ITEM. I WILL 3 CALL THE ITEM YOU SIGNED UP FOR. 4 5 BARBARA JOHNSON: [INAUDIBLE] 6 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I DON'T KNOW THAT I HAVE ANYONE ON 18. NO. 8 ITEM 23. 9 10 BARBARA JOHNSON: [INAUDIBLE] 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, WE DON'T HAVE YOUR CARD, I'M SORRY. 12 13 BARBARA JOHNSON: [INAUDIBLE] 14 15 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU'RE UP FOR 24, BUT NOT 23. 26, EXCUSE ME. OKAY. WELL, COME FORWARD, THEN. AND WITHDRAW THE 26. OKAY. 17 18 STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE. 19 BARBARA JOHNSON: MY NAME IS BARBARA JOHNSON. 20 21 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: GO AHEAD. 23 BARBARA JOHNSON: AND I WANTED TO ADDRESS ITEM NUMBER 23 AND I 24

THINK I MISUNDERSTOOD THE ITEM BUT IT WAS ABOUT APPROVING



- 1 FUNDING FOR TRAINING FOR FAMILIES THAT RECEIVE FOSTER FAMILY -
- 2 FOSTER CHILDREN. IS THAT CORRECT?

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: KINSHIP EDUCATION, YES.

5

- 6 BARBARA JOHNSON: WELL, I'M A VICTIM OF THE FOSTER FAMILIES --
- 7 THE FOSTER DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES FOSTER
- 8 CARE SYSTEM. MY CHILDREN ARE CURRENTLY MISSING THROUGH AND BY
- 9 THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES AND THESE
- 10 PEOPLE HAVE HAD ALL SORTS OF FUNDING AND THE ONE THING THAT IS
- 11 MISSING IS REUNIFICATION FOR THE CHILDREN AND THE PARENTS. AND
- 12 I WAS ASKING MS.-- I BELIEVE IT IS -- BABARIAN, HOW IS IT I
- 13 CAN WRITE A PROPOSAL THAT-- WHERE CHILDREN ARE REUNIFIED WITH
- 14 FAMILY AND GET PROPER LEGAL REPRESENTATION IN THE CHILDREN'S
- 15 COURT. THAT IS MY QUESTION. CAN ANYONE ANSWER THAT?

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE CAN HAVE SOMEONE FROM THE DEPARTMENT
- 18 VISIT WITH YOU IF IT'S IN REGARDS TO THIS PARTICULAR CASE
- 19 RIGHT OVER HERE.

20

21 BARBARA JOHNSON: OKAY. SHE'LL ANSWER MY QUESTION?

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT. SHE'S FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

24

25 BARBARA JOHNSON: OKAY.



2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU.

3

1

BARBARA JOHNSON: COULD I LEAVE NOW?

5

4

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT'S UP TO YOU. IF YOU'RE FINISHED, YEAH.
- 7 THANK YOU, BARBARA.

8

9 BARBARA JOHNSON: OKAY.

- 11 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: I MET BARBARA ACTUALLY ALMOST A YEAR
- 12 AGO AT EDELMAN AND I'D ALSO HOPED THAT SOMEONE COULD ANSWER
- 13 HER QUESTION AND, IN ADDRESSING ITEM 23, I WOULD HOPE, AGAIN,
- 14 THAT WE COULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO ALLOCATE MORE MONEY TOWARDS
- 15 REUNIFYING THE FAMILIES AND NOT JUST ALLOCATING MONEY TO
- 16 RELATIVE AND NON-RELATIVE EXTENDED FAMILY MEMBERS OR CARE
- 17 PROVIDERS BECAUSE, AGAIN, THE FOCUS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 18 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, AGAIN, WHICH IS WITHIN YOUR
- 19 JURISDICTION, IS TO REUNIFY FAMILIES. AND, AGAIN, THE FUNDING
- 20 IS STILL AUGMENTED OR THERE'S STILL MORE FUNDING FOR PLACEMENT
- 21 OF CHILDREN OUTSIDE OF THE HOMES. IT IS A BUSINESS AND I'D
- 22 ALREADY USED, AS DOCUMENTATION, AS PROOF POSITIVE, A NUMBER OF
- 23 ARTICLES THAT HAD BEEN WRITTEN BY TROY ANDERSON, AGAIN, "STUDY
- 24 OF FOSTER CARE IN SHAMBLES", "CHILDREN ENDANGERED IN WASTEFUL,
- 25 OVERBURDENED LOS ANGELES COUNTY SYSTEM", CASH CARE-- I MEAN,



- 1 "FOSTER CARE, CASH COW", "PERVERSE INCENTIVE FACTOR REWARDS",
- 2 "COUNTY FOR SWILLING SYSTEM". I'VE SAID THIS BEFORE BUT YOU
- 3 STILL HAVEN'T ADDRESSED OR REMEDIED OR MADE ANY EFFORT TO
- 4 REALLOCATE THE FUNDS TOWARDS REUNIFYING FAMILIES THAT COULD
- 5 POSSIBLY BE REUNIFIED. INSTEAD, CHILDREN ARE BEING STILL TAKEN
- 6 AND KEPT FROM BIOLOGICAL PARENTS, EVEN AFTER THEY'VE MET THE
- 7 CRITERIA FOR REUNIFICATION. AGAIN, "MONEY FEEDS FOSTER
- 8 SYSTEM", "MONEY MOTIVE IN FOSTER CARE SYSTEM", "HALF OF COUNTY
- 9 PLACEMENTS UNNECESSARY, OFTEN DRIVEN BY DESIRE FOR FUNDING".
- 10 CANDICE OWENS SPOKE AND ATTESTED AND CRIED ABOUT THIS LAST
- 11 WEEK. STILL NOTHING DONE. "GOVERNMENT BONUSES, ACCELERATED
- 12 OPTIONS", AND FINALLY, "INCENTIVES HURTING FOSTER SYSTEM".
- 13 "CRITICS SAY BONUSES LEAD TO PUSH FOR REMOVING KIDS FROM
- 14 FAMILIES". I'M NOT GOING TO CONTINUE APPEARING HERE, I MEAN,
- 15 WHEN YOU'RE OBVIOUSLY NOT DOING ANYTHING TO REMEDY THE SYSTEM
- 16 SO YOU'LL BE GLAD TO HEAR THAT, I'M SURE. BUT, JUST FOR THE
- 17 RECORD, I'D LIKE YOU TO KNOW THAT THE CHILDREN THAT ARE
- 18 REMOVED FROM FAMILIES ARE VERY DEEPLY WOUNDED. MANY OF THESE
- 19 CHILDREN ARE UNNECESSARILY DRUGGED. SO HOPEFULLY THIS WILL BE
- 20 ON YOUR CONSCIENCE. NOT ONLY ARE THEY DRUGGED, BUT WE FOUND
- 21 OUT THAT IT'S STILL A HOAX. A LOT OF THEM ARE MISDIAGNOSED.
- 22 MAYBE THEY NEED TO BE REUNIFIED WITH THEIR PARENTS. MAYBE THAT
- 23 WOULD HELP IN ALLEVIATING SOME OF THE PAIN SUFFERED BY THE
- 24 MOTHERS, THE FATHERS, AND THE CHILDREN. OF COURSE, THERE'S NOT
- 25 AS MUCH MONEY GENERATED BECAUSE, IN THAT SYSTEM OF APARTHEID,



- 1 OF BREAKING DOWN THE FAMILIES, WHICH IS, I THINK, THE PRIMARY
- 2 GOAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, WOULD
- 3 NOT BE MET. AGAIN, MORE CHILDREN DIE IN CHILD PROTECTIVE
- 4 SERVICES THAN IN BIOLOGICAL HOMES. IT'S BEEN PROVEN AND ALSO
- 5 DOCUMENTED IN THESE ARTICLES. HOPEFULLY, THAT WILL WEIGH ON
- 6 YOUR CONSCIENCE WHEN YOU ARE PLACING CHILDREN IN HOMES WHERE
- 7 THEY'RE BEING MOLESTED, RITUALISTICALLY SODOMIZED, BEATEN,
- 8 OVERMEDICATED, ASPHYXIATED, AND ABUSED IN OTHER MATTERS. AND,
- 9 LIKE I SAID, IN MANY CASES, THE CHILDREN DIE. IT APPEARS TO ME
- 10 AS IF IT'S A GENOCIDE OF OUR CHILDREN BECAUSE THE MAJORITY OF
- 11 THEM ARE AFRICAN-AMERICAN. FINALLY, I'D LIKE TO TESTIFY THAT
- 12 MOST OF THE PARENTS WHO SEEK TO PROTECT THEIR CHILDREN AGAIN
- 13 ARE FALSELY ACCUSED OF EITHER BEING PROBLEMATIC, TERRORISTS,
- 14 COMMUNISTS, SUBVERSIVE, OR CRAZY. AND THIS IS NOT TRUE. WE
- 15 JUST SEEK TO PROTECT OUR CHILDREN FROM THE PEDOPHILES, MANY OF
- 16 WHOM ARE JUDGES, MILITARY, POLICE, DOCTORS, VERY WEALTHY
- 17 PEOPLE WHO ARE IN A POSITION TO COVER UP THEIR MISTREATMENT
- 18 AND ABUSE OF OUR CHILDREN.

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

- 22 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: AND WE DEMAND THAT IT STOPS. [LIGHT
- 23 APPLAUSE]

24

19



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WITH THAT, SUPERVISOR BURKE
- 2 MOVES THE ITEM. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY SECONDS. WITHOUT
- 3 OBJECTIONS, ITEM 23 IS PASSED. THEN 26 IS BEFORE US. THE CHAIR
- 4 WILL MOVE IT. SUPERVISOR BURKE SECONDS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 5 ORDERED. 28. DID SOMEONE -- MR. BAXTER? YOU SHOULD BE HAPPY
- 6 TODAY, PETER. IT LOOKS LIKE YOU'VE GAINED SUPPORT FROM
- 7 MERRITT.

8

9 PETER BAXTER: THANK YOU.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

- 13 PETER BAXTER: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. THAT WAS QUITE A
- 14 SURPRISE. AND IF YOU'LL NOTICE, HE WAS VERY INTELLIGENT ABOUT
- 15 THAT. HE HAS A GOOD GRASP OF WHAT I'VE BEEN SAYING. THANK YOU
- 16 FOR MENTIONING IT, MR. CHAIRMAN. AND HE DID IT FROM WHAT HE'D
- 17 HEARD FROM ME BEFORE. MR. CHAIR, MEMBERS OF YOUR HONORABLE
- 18 BOARD, MR. JANSSEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MY NAME IS PETER
- 19 BAXTER, AND I LIVE IN LOS ANGELES. IT IS RESPECTFULLY
- 20 SUBMITTED THAT THIS AGENDA ITEM ADDRESSES THE PROBLEM OF
- 21 HAZARDOUS BRUSH FIRES. NO EFFORT IS MADE BY THE COUNTY FIRE
- 22 DEPARTMENT TO PROTECT THE PROPERTY OWNER WHO IS THREATENED BY
- 23 FIRE AND BRUSH EXCEPT AS THAT RESPONSE IS CONDUCTED BY USING
- 24 WATER. NOTHING BURNS EXCEPT WHEN OXYGEN COMBINES WITH FUEL IN
- 25 A PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL REACTION. TAKE AWAY OXYGEN AND NOTHING



- 1 BURNS. NOTHING. NO MATTER HOW HOT THE ENVIRONMENT MAY BE,
- 2 ABSOLUTELY NOTHING BURNS WITHOUT OXYGEN. SINCE NOTHING BURNS
- 3 WITHOUT OXYGEN, IT IS ONLY RATIONAL TO DEVELOP A MEANS OF
- 4 DENYING FUEL OXYGEN. THIS IS ACHIEVED BY ENVELOPING A FUEL
- 5 WITH EMISSIONS FROM A TURBO JET ENGINE. A TURBO JET ENGINE MAY
- 6 BE MANUFACTURED AS SMALL AS THE SIZE OF A POWER LAWN MOWER.
- 7 SUCH AN ENGINE WOULD BE LIGHT AND PORTABLE. SUCH AN ENGINE
- 8 COULD READILY BEING PRESENTED AT A BRUSH FIRE BETWEEN THE FIRE
- 9 AND A HOME. NO MATTER HOW HOT THE ENVIRONMENT, RADIATION FROM
- 10 THE FIRE WOULD BE DISPELLED BY THE EMISSIONS FROM THE TURBO
- 11 JET ENGINE, THEREBY PREVENTING THE FUEL AND THE HOME FROM
- 12 BURNING. SIMILARLY, IN A HIGH-RISE, AS IN THE 9-11 TRAGEDY, NO
- 13 FIRE IN A HIGH-RISE CAN WITHSTAND THE DENIAL OF OXYGEN, ALL OF
- 14 WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED. I THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WITH THAT, THE CHAIR WOULD MOVE
- 17 ITEM 28. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 18 ORDERED. ITEM 31. DO WE HAVE -- I HAVE IT AS HELD FOR A MEMBER
- 19 OF THE PUBLIC. I SHOW IT'S BEING HELD FOR A MEMBER OF THE
- 20 PUBLIC, ITEM 31. DO YOU HAVE ANYONE -- BUT I -- WE DID -- WE
- 21 NEED TO RECONSIDER IT BECAUSE I SHOW IT AS BEING HELD FOR A
- 22 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ITEM 31. DO YOU HAVE ANYONE SIGNED UP?
- 23 OH, YOU WERE... OKAY. ALL RIGHT. OKAY. WITH THAT, SUPERVISOR
- 24 BURKE MOVES, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH SECONDS. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 25 SO ORDERED. OH, WE DID THAT ALREADY, TOO. DIDN'T WE? OKAY. ALL



- 1 RIGHT. WE DID IT TWICE. BUT YOU ONLY GET HALF THE MONEY. 34 IS
- 2 REMOVED FROM CLOSED SESSION AND I UNDERSTAND SUPERVISOR MOLINA
- 3 HAS A MOTION OR AN AMENDMENT TO ITEM 34. THE CASH COW.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, I'M NOT GOING TO MOVE THAT ONE BECAUSE
- 6 WE'RE WAITING, RIGHT?

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, BECAUSE SHE REMOVED THE CLOSED SESSION
- 9 PART OF IT, SO IT'S BACK ON THE FLOOR. YOU CAN CONTINUE THE
- 10 ITEM IF YOU WANT OR IF YOU HAVE -- I WAS TOLD YOU HAD AN
- 11 AMENDMENT. BUT YOU DIDN'T-- YOU WERE GOING TO TAKE IT TO
- 12 CLOSED SESSION, BUT YOU SAID...

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: I WANTED TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS THAT I THOUGHT
- 15 SHOULD BE ASKED IN CLOSED SESSION BECAUSE THEY RELATED TO...

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: WHICH I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH BUT I WANTED TO
- 18 UNDERSTAND WHAT IT WAS BECAUSE YOURS IS MORE THE ISSUE OF THE
- 19 MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING, WHICH IS A CLOSED SESSION ITEM.
- 20 AND MINE IS JUST TO TELL THE BUREAUCRATS TO DO MORE
- 21 BUREAUCRATIC CHECKING ON THE BUREAUCRATIC STUFF THAT THEY DO
- 22 EVERY BUREAUCRATIC DAY AND IT'S NOT VERY INTERESTING [LAUGHS]
- 23 BECAUSE I DON'T THINK I'M GOING TO GET ANYTHING DONE IN THIS
- 24 PROCESS. I JUST KEEP PUTTING THESE IN AND I DON'T THINK I'M



- 1 GOING TO GO ANYWHERE WITH IT. IN OTHER WORDS, IT'S NOT GOING
- 2 TO CHANGE.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, WE CAN STILL PUT IT ON THE FLOOR, I
- 5 MEAN...

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. I'M ASKING THAT D.P.S.S...

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHY DON'T YOU ASK FOR WHAT THE ORIGINAL
- 10 CONTRACT WAS FOR?

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: ...WITHIN THE WITHIN THE NEXT 60 DAYS, CONDUCT AN
- 13 ASSESSMENT OF ALL DISTRICT OFFICES TO IDENTIFY THE CAUSES FOR
- 14 THE TIME DELAYS AND IMPLEMENT A TRAINING PROGRAM TO IMPROVE
- 15 RESPONSE TIME, AND I ASK THEM THAT THEY GIVE US A MONTHLY
- 16 STATUS. SO I'M SURE THEY WILL DO IT AND IT WILL MEAN NOTHING
- 17 AND WE'LL SPEND MORE MONEY NOT GETTING THE ANSWERS I NEED. BUT
- 18 IT'S AN EFFORT.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU STILL WANT TO-- WITH THAT AMENDMENT,
- 21 STILL WANT TO TAKE IT TO CLOSED SESSION AND ASK SOME
- 22 QUESTIONS?

23

24 SUP. BURKE: MY ISSUES ARE A LITTLE BIT...

23

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I MEAN, IT'S SEPARATE FROM THIS BUT, I 1 2 MEAN... 3 SUP. MOLINA: YES, IT IS. 4 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU STILL WANT TO TAKE IT TO CLOSED 6 7 SESSION? WE CAN DO THAT. 8 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO. 9 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. 11 12 SUP. BURKE: IT WON'T TAKE ME VERY LONG BECAUSE, ACTUALLY, I 13 WANT TO ASK THE COUNTY COUNSEL TO RESPOND TO SOME VERY 14 SPECIFIC ISSUES. 15 16 COUNSEL PELLMAN: IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE BASIS FOR THE 17 18 CLOSED SESSION IS THE POTENTIAL FOR INITIATING LITIGATION. 19 SUP. BURKE: YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT THE . . . 20 21 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT WAS A VERY GOOD UNDERSTANDING, MR.

PELLMAN. THANK YOU. [LAUGHTER] OKAY. SO THIS ITEM, AS AMENDED,

WILL GO TO CLOSED SESSION FOR SOME VERY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS AS

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 UNDERSTOOD BY OUR COUNTY COUNSEL. ITEM 40 WAS HELD BY A MEMBER
- 2 OF THE PUBLIC. NANCY AKERS AND THIARON GARBER.

- 4 NANCY M. AKERS: MR. CHAIRMAN AND HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE
- 5 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS NANCY AKERS AND I'M HERE ON
- 6 BEHALF OF SAFE MOVES, WHICH IS A FINALIST FOR THE ELEMENTARY
- 7 SCHOOL ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION R.F.P. THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
- 8 OF SAFE MOVES, PAT HEINZ, REGRETS SHE COULDN'T BE HERE TODAY
- 9 AND I'M SPEAKING IN HER STEAD. THIS IS A 23-YEAR-OLD
- 10 ORGANIZATION WITH THE LONG-AWARDED HISTORY OF EDUCATING K
- 11 THROUGH 12 STUDENTS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY IN A VARIETY OF
- 12 SAFETY ISSUES AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES AND, SPECIFICALLY,
- 13 WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT THE R.F.P. PROCESS IN THIS BID-- IN THIS
- 14 R.F.P. AND ABOUT TWO THINGS IN PARTICULAR: NUMBER ONE, THE
- 15 FACT THAT BOTH, IN THE PROPOSALS THEMSELVES AND IN THE IN-
- 16 PERSON INTERVIEWS, THE INFORMATION SURFACED THAT SAFE MOVES
- 17 CAN SEE ALMOST TWO TIMES THE STUDENTS, NAMELY, 324,000, IN
- 18 TWICE THE NUMBER OF SCHOOLS, NAMELY 720, AS THE ROGERS'
- 19 PROPOSAL. AND NUMBER TWO, THE FACT THAT, IN THE BITTERS
- 20 CONFERENCE, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS STAFF MADE IT CLEAR
- 21 THAT AN EVENT CALLED EARTH JAM SHOULD BE PART OF EVERYONE'S
- 22 PROPOSAL AND, FROM OUR READING OF ROGERS' SUBMITTAL, WE SAW NO
- 23 MENTION OF THIS EVENT, WHICH WE, IN FACT, DID INCLUDE IN OUR
- 24 RESPONSE. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, RESPECTFULLY, A MILLION
- 25 DOLLARS A YEAR FOR FIVE YEARS IS A LOT OF MONEY AND, FOR THESE



- 1 REASONS, AS TAXPAYERS AND PROPOSERS, WE RESPECTFULLY ASK THE
- 2 BOARD TO LOOK INTO THIS MATTER FURTHER. THANK YOU.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES, SIR?

5

- 6 THIARON GARBER: HI. MY NAME IS THIARON GARBER, 1918 OXLEY,
- 7 SOUTH PASADENA. IT'S BEEN A LONG TIME SINCE I'VE BEEN IN THIS
- 8 CHAIR. I'M SPEAKING TODAY IN REGARDS TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 9 EDUCATION PROGRAM. I ALSO WORK WITH SAVE MOVES. AND,
- 10 CURRENTLY, WE PROVIDE THE TRAINING FOR THE MASTER RECYCLERS
- 11 THAT ARE SUBCONTRACTED BY THE COUNTY TO DO TECHNICAL
- 12 ASSISTANCE AT THEIR MULTI-FAMILY COMPLEXES. IN THIS PROPOSAL,
- 13 WE WOULD PROVIDE THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR SCHOOLS TO START
- 14 RECYCLING PROGRAMS. ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION IS EXTREMELY
- 15 IMPORTANT TO ME, BOTH PERSONALLY AND PROFESSIONALLY, AND I
- 16 BELIEVE, AS MOST OF YOU DO, THAT THE KEY TO OUR FUTURE IS THE
- 17 EDUCATION THAT WE GIVE OUR CHILDREN. SO, IN THIS PARTICULAR
- 18 CONTRACT, I'M BAFFLED AS TO WHY, FOR A MILLION DOLLARS, WE
- 19 DON'T WANT TO SEE 600,000 CHILDREN. INSTEAD, WE WANT TO SEE
- 20 324,000 CHILDREN INSTEAD OF 137,000 CHILDREN. IT JUST DOESN'T
- 21 MAKE SENSE TO ME THAT WE WOULDN'T WANT TO REACH DOUBLE THE
- 22 NUMBER OF KIDS. SO I'M ASKING YOU TO AT LEAST GIVE A MOMENT OF
- 23 TIME FOR SOME FURTHER CONSIDERATION ON THIS MATTER SO WE CAN
- 24 AT LEAST CLEAR THE AIR.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DID YOUR COMPANY FILE A FORMAL PROTEST?

2

- 3 THIARON GARBER: NO. WE MET WITH THE COUNTY IN THEIR DEPARTMENT
- 4 AND HAD A...

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHY DIDN'T -- I MEAN, AS-- I'LL ASK MR.
- 7 NOYES, IF YOU CAN COME FORWARD TO ANSWER THIS, BUT WE DO HAVE
- 8 A PROTEST PROCESS.

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: BUT, IN THE MEANTIME, I WOULD LIKE TO -- SINCE
- 11 I'M JUST READING IT, I'D LIKE TO HONOR THEIR REQUEST SO THAT
- 12 I'D LIKE TO ASK, AND IF THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE ANY JEOPARDY,
- 13 OF A TWO WEEK'S CONTINUANCE IN ORDER TO BETTER UNDERSTAND SOME
- 14 OF THE ISSUES. CAN WE DO THAT?

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH. I MEAN, I JUST...

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I UNDERSTAND.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT'S FINE. OKAY.

21

- 22 JIM NOYES: SUPERVISOR, JIM NOYES, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR. I
- 23 BELIEVE I HEARD YOU SAY YOU WANT TO INVESTIGATE THIS FURTHER
- 24 AND REQUEST A TWO-WEEK CONTINUANCE? SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MM HM.



- 1 JIM NOYES: YES. THIS CONTRACT IS NOT TIME- SENSITIVE AND, IF
- 2 THE BOARD WISHES TO DO THAT, WE CAN TALK TO OUR FRIENDS HERE
- 3 AS WELL AS PROVIDE YOU ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: BUT I DIDN'T WANT TO DISRUPT THE QUESTIONS
- 6 THAT...

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, I MEAN, BUT I MEAN THAT WAS GOING TO,
- 9 YOU KNOW, ONE, WHETHER IT WAS TIME-SENSITIVE; TWO, YOU KNOW,
- 10 ON THE WHOLE PROCESS AS IT RELATED, WHY THE, YOU KNOW, I GUESS
- 11 WHY YOU DIDN'T FILE A FORMAL PROTEST. DON'T WE HAVE A PROTEST
- 12 PROCESS?

13

- 14 JIM NOYES: WE DO HAVE A -- THERE IS A PROCESS IN THE COUNTY
- 15 RULES FOR THAT, SUPERVISOR, YES.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND IS THE TIME PASSED THAT THEY ARE
- 18 ELIGIBLE TO FILE A PROTEST?

19

20 JIM NOYES: I'D HAVE TO CHECK THAT, SIR. I'M NOT SURE.

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. BECAUSE VERSUS COMING DIRECTLY HERE,
- 23 OBVIOUSLY, THERE'S A FORMAL PROTEST PROCESS THAT YOU PROBABLY
- 24 SHOULD HAVE FILED BUT WE WILL CONTINUE THE ITEM FOR TWO WEEKS



- 1 AND YOU CAN GET BACK WITH US WITH THE ANSWERS TO THEIR
- 2 ALLEGATIONS.

3

4 **JIM NOYES:** YES, SIR.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU.

7

8 SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY, SO THAT ITEM IS CONTINUED FOR TWO
- 11 WEEKS WITH A REPORT BACK FROM OUR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS.
- 12 ITEM 54. THERE'S A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC AS WELL. MARK GAMBLE
- 13 AND GENEVIEVE. I HAD HELD IT LAST WEEK AS WELL FOR DISCUSSION.
- 14 MY CONCERN IS MOVING FORWARD ON ANYTHING THAT HASN'T QUALIFIED
- 15 YET AND THAT'S BEEN, HISTORICALLY, OUR SITUATION. MR. GAMBLE?

- 17 MARK GAMBLE: MARK GAMBLE WITH THE HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION OF
- 18 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. LET ME BEGIN MY REMARKS BY SAYING ANTHONY
- 19 BOTHE SENDS HIS REGARDS AND HE TOLD ME TO ASSURE YOU THAT HE'S
- 20 TRULY ENJOYING RETIREMENT. SO... I TALKED TO HIM AT 4:00 ONE
- 21 AFTERNOON. HE WAS OPENING A BOTTLE OF WINE AT THE BEACH, SO...
- 22 SUPERVISOR KNABE, IN TERMS OF WHAT YOUR QUESTION IS, THAT IT
- 23 HASN'T QUALIFIED, I TALKED TO C.H.A. TODAY, WHO IS PART OF THE
- 24 COALITION, THE CALIFORNIA HEALTHCARE ASSOCIATION, AND THEY
- 25 HAVE BEEN ASSURED BY THE SIGNATURE-GATHERING ORGANIZATION THAT



- 1 THEY ARE GOING TO REACH THE CRITICAL MASS IN TERMS OF THE
- 2 NUMBER OF SIGNATURES NEEDED AND, IN FACT, THAT THEY ARE USING
- 3 THIS BALLOT INITIATIVE, BECAUSE OF ITS SUPPORT AT THE
- 4 COMMUNITY LEVEL, TO PIGGYBACK OTHER BALLOT INITIATIVE
- 5 SIGNATURE-GATHERING EFFORTS ONTO. SO THEY ARE CONFIDENT IT
- 6 WILL WORK IN THAT REGARDS.

7

9

11

13

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FOR MARCH?
- 10 MARK GAMBLE: NO. THIS IS FOR THE NOVEMBER BALLOT.
- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
- 14 MARK GAMBLE: : JUST THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS RECOMMENDATION
- 15 ALONG WITH THE SIX OTHERS, AND I HAVE SPECIFICS SPELLED OUT
- 16 BUT IF YOU'RE GOING TO VOTE ON IT AND VOTE FOR IT, I'M NOT
- 17 GOING TO TRY AND TALK YOU OUT OF IT.
- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I THINK SOME OF US HAVE CONCERNS
- 20 ABOUT WHETHER WE SUPPORT THIS. I MEAN, THERE'S BIGGER ISSUES
- 21 HERE. I MEAN, THAT'S NOT THE ONLY TAX KIND OF ISSUE ON THIS
- 22 WHOLE, THE WHOLE BALLOT PROCESS AND I THINK WE NEED TO PUT IT
- 23 IN PERSPECTIVE. I MEAN, IF I'M FORCED TO VOTE AGAINST IT
- 24 TODAY, I MEAN, I'D VOTE AGAINST IT BUT, I MEAN, THERE'S A
- 25 BIGGER ISSUE. YOU'VE GOT THE SHERIFF'S THING, YOU'VE GOT, YOU



- 1 KNOW, ALL THESE DIFFERENT THINGS, AND NEXT THING YOU KNOW, WE
- 2 HAVE 22-1/2 CENTS.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THIS IS-- IF I CAN JUST INTERJECT, I
- 5 DON'T THINK THIS IS A SALES TAX.

6

7 MARK GAMBLE: NO. THIS IS A FEE ON THE...

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ...ISSUE. THIS IS THE UTILITY--A
- 10 TELEPHONE...

11

12 MARK GAMBLE: YES.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TAX ON TELEPHONE SO...SO IT'S STILL A TAX.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: BUT, I MEAN, IN COMPARISON, I MEAN, YOU
- 17 JUST HAVE TO LOOK AT ALL THE ISSUES THAT ARE OUT THERE THAT
- 18 ARE ADVOCATING THIS KIND OF, YOU KNOW, HOW DOES IT FIT IN AND
- 19 WHAT DO YOU SUPPORT AND WHAT DO YOU DON'T SUPPORT? YOU DON'T
- 20 JUST GO OUT THERE AND WILLY-NILLY SUPPORT EVERY FEE OR TAX.

- 22 MARK GAMBLE: THIS IS RAISING 550 MILLION THAT IS GOING TO GO
- 23 TO PHYSICIANS, HOSPITALS, 9-1-1 DISPATCH, EMERGENCY, WHERE
- 24 YOU'RE NOT HAVING THAT INFLUX OF MONEY NOW AND YOU DON'T HAVE
- 25 THE PHYSICIANS...



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, HOW DOES IT RELATE TO MEASURE B?

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: BUT, MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THAT, AGAIN, ONE OF
- 5 THE ISSUES, THERE'S NO DOUBT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS TRUE AND
- 6 EVENTUALLY IT ADDS UP, BUT WE ARE NOT CONFRONTING THE REALITY
- 7 OF WHAT WE HAVE TO PAY FOR. THIS IS, AGAIN, A VERY CREATIVE
- 8 WAY TO FIND A THING -- WE HAVE TO PAY FOR THESE SERVICES, AND
- 9 WE ARE -- IT'S NICKEL AND DIMING PEOPLE, THERE IS NO DOUBT,
- 10 BECAUSE WE AREN'T WILLING TO CONFRONT WHAT SOME OF THESE COSTS
- 11 ARE AND THIS IS, AGAIN, A LEGITIMATE NEXUS, AS THEY SAY, AND I
- 12 THINK THAT THERE IS NO DOUBT. BUT THIS IS ISN'T-- THEY ARE
- 13 VERY, VERY SEPARATE. IT GOES RIGHT ONTO OUR PHONE BILL, I
- 14 UNDERSTAND, RIGHT?

15

16 MARK GAMBLE: WHAT WAS THAT? I DIDN'T HEAR THAT LAST POINT.

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: IT GOES ON TO OUR PHONE BILL, CORRECT?

19

- 20 MARK GAMBLE: YES, IT DOES. IT'S A 9-1-1 SURCHARGE ON THE PHONE
- 21 BILLS. THERE IS A CAP FOR RESIDENTIAL FEES, 50 CENTS IS THE
- 22 CAP, AND THAT THERE IS CURRENTLY NOW...

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** 50 CENTS PER MONTH?



- 1 MARK GAMBLE: YES, AND THE LOWER INCOME -- THOSE THAT ARE
- 2 CURRENTLY EXEMPT FROM FEES WILL NOT HAVE TO PAY THIS, EITHER.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: THE QUESTION THAT I HAD ON THAT IN THE UTILITY --
- 5 THIS IS A UTILITY ASSESSMENT IN A SENSE, RIGHT?

6

7 MARK GAMBLE: MM HM.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: SO IT GOES ON THE TELEPHONE BILL?

10

11 MARK GAMBLE: CORRECT.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: WE GET TAXED. DO WE TAX ON UTILITY BILLS? WOULD
- 14 THIS BE -- SO THEY WOULD GET TAXED ON THE 50 CENTS AS WELL?

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU'D GET IT ON -- IT WOULD BE ASSESSED ON
- 17 YOUR BILL, ON YOUR TELEPHONE BILL.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW THE ASSESSMENT IS BUT THEN, DON'T WE TAX
- 20 UTILITY BILLS?

21

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE CAN LOOK INTO THAT BUT I CAN'T BELIEVE THAT
- 23 YOU WOULD ASSESS A TAX.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE DO. WE HAVE A UTILITY TAX.



```
1
    SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT. WHICH IN, IF YOU'RE IN THE CITY, FOR
2
3
    EXAMPLE, IN THE CITY, THEY'LL...
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. AND SO DO WE. I THINK WE HAVE A 5%
    UTILITY TAX IN THE COUNTY.
6
7
8
    SUP. BURKE: WE HAVE ONE, TOO.
9
    SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND BUT I THINK IT'S HIGHER IN THE CITY
10
11
    BUT...
12
13
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 10%.
14
    SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT. SO WOULD, THEN, THE CITY BE MAKING MONEY
15
16
    FROM THIS?
17
18
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO.
19
20
    MARK GAMBLE: NO.
21
22
    SUP. MOLINA: SO IT WILL...
23
    SUP. BURKE: IT'S NOT A TAX ON PORTION. THAT PORTION.
24
25
```



MARK GAMBLE: IT'S NOT A TAX ON THE TAX.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S NOT A TAX ON THE TAX, IT'S A TAX ON THE
- 4 BASE BILL.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT.

7

8 MARK GAMBLE: FOR THE USAGE.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT A MINUTE. IT'S A TAX WHAT?

11

- 12 MARK GAMBLE: ON THE USAGE. YOU'RE NOT TAXING THE TAX. YOU'RE
- 13 JUST TAXING THE USE-- EACH ONE TAXES THE USAGE.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS MOST YOU CAN BE TAXED 50 CENTS?

16

17 MARK GAMBLE: ON THE RESIDENTIAL.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: NO, MY BILL -- MY BILL GETS TAXED ON WHATEVER MY
- 20 AMOUNT IS. IT DOESN'T BREAK OUT SEPARATELY.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HANG ON, BECAUSE THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION. ON
- 23 THE RESIDENTIAL, IS IT 50 CENTS NO MATTER HOW MUCH OF A PHONE
- 24 BILL YOU HAVE?



MARK GAMBLE: CORRECT. RESIDENTIAL PHONE BILLS, YES.

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IT'S A FLAT FEE OF 50 CENTS...

4

5 MARK GAMBLE: NO HIGHER THAN 50 CENTS.

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CAP.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PARDON ME?

10

11 MARK GAMBLE: NO HIGHER THAN 50 CENTS.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT IS IT BASED ON? IF IT'S NO HIGHER,
- 14 WHY WOULD I -- WHO WOULD PAY 25 CENTS?

15

16 MARK GAMBLE: IT IS A 3.7% SURCHARGE ON YOUR PHONE BILL SO...

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THAT'S THE ANSWER TO HER QUESTION,
- 19 THEN. IT'S A PERCENTAGE ON-- IT'S A 3.75% OF THE BASE OF
- 20 WHATEVER YOUR PHONE CHARGE IS, NOT INCLUDING THE OTHER TAXES,
- 21 BUT IT'S CAPPED AT 50 CENTS FOR RESIDENTIAL.

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: SO WHEN I GET MY BILL, JUST SO THAT I UNDERSTAND,
- 24 AND I AM CHARGED A UTILITY TAX BY MY CITY, SO THIS WILL COME
- 25 AFTER THAT.



1

- 2 MARK GAMBLE: YEAH. RIGHT NOW, ON YOUR PHONE BILL, YOU'LL SEE A
- 3 9-1-1 SURCHARGE. AND IT'S ALREADY ON THE PHONE BILL. THIS IS
- 4 INCREASING THAT SURCHARGE BY 3%.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: BUT AGAIN, RIGHT NOW, WHEN IT'S ON MY PHONE BILL,
- 7 I WANT TO UNDERSTAND, IT'S SEPARATE AND APART FROM THE UTILITY
- 8 TAX?

9

10 MARK GAMBLE: CORRECT, YES.

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAD ANOTHER QUESTION, IF I CAN, MR.
- 13 CHAIRMAN, BEFORE YOU CONTINUE THE HEARING. AND IT'S A A
- 14 QUESTION I'VE ASKED OUR STAFF TO FIND OUT AND I DON'T KNOW
- 15 WHAT THE ANSWER IS. I'LL TELL YOU WHAT MY CONCERN IS ABOUT
- 16 THIS. I'M SUPPORTIVE OF THIS, EVEN THOUGH I DON'T BELIEVE THE
- 17 COUNTY WAS MEANINGFULLY CONSULTED ON IT, WE ARE NEVERTHELESS A
- 18 MODEST BENEFICIARY OF THIS, PRESUMABLY, BUT, MORE IMPORTANTLY,
- 19 THE MEDICAL CARE SYSTEM AND ALL ARE BENEFICIARIES AND THE
- 20 CLINICS, EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE A VERY SMALL PIECE OF THIS,
- 21 SMALLER THAN I WOULD HAVE LIKED, ARE STILL A PIECE OF IT. BUT
- 22 HERE'S MY QUESTION: WHAT IS TO PREVENT THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
- 23 FROM DECIDING IT WANTS TO HEIST THIS MONEY TO BALANCE ITS
- 24 BUDGET? THEY HAVE TAKEN GAS TAX MONEY, THEY HAVE TAKEN MONIES
- 25 THAT ARE PRESUMABLY EARMARKED, THEY HAVE TAKEN FUNDS FROM PARK



- 1 BOND MEASURES AND SIPHONED THEM OFF TO USE IT FOR OTHER
- 2 PURPOSES AND THEN BACKED OUT GENERAL FUND MONEY TO BALANCE
- 3 THEIR BUDGET. WHAT CAN -- THE LAST THING I WANT TO DO IS VOTE
- 4 FOR THIS UNDER -- AND THEN BE A VICTIM OF A BAIT AND SWITCH.
- 5 THAT I THOUGHT I WAS VOTING FOR EMERGENCY MEDICAL CARE BUT
- 6 WHAT I'M REALLY GETTING IS A VEHICLE FOR THE STATE TO MAKE UP
- 7 A DEFICIT CAUSED BY OTHER LARGESSE AND EXCESSES.

8

- 9 MARK GAMBLE: YES, AND C.H.A. IS WELL AWARE OF THAT AND ALSO
- 10 HAS THAT CONCERN BECAUSE THEY SAW THAT HAPPEN WITH PROP 99 ON
- 11 THE TOBACCO TAX.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: EXACTLY.

14

- 15 MARK GAMBLE: THAT ALL GOT RAKED OFF. BUT THAT, MY
- 16 UNDERSTANDING WAS, IT WAS IN THE GENERAL FUND, WHERE IT WAS
- 17 EASIER TO TAP INTO THE RESOURCES. THIS FUND IS GOING TO GO TO
- 18 EACH -- THERE'S GOING TO BE DIFFERENT POTS OF MONEY FOR ALL
- 19 THE GROUPS THAT ARE GOING TO BE FUNDED FROM THIS, SO IT'S
- 20 GOING TO BE SMALLER DOLLAR AMOUNTS AND, HOPEFULLY, IT WILL BE
- 21 UNDER THE RADAR SCREEN OF THE STATE WHEN THEY'RE LOOKING FOR
- 22 FUNDS TO TAP INTO.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, LET ME TELL YOU, THAT'S NOT THE ANSWER
- 25 I'M LOOKING FOR.



2 SPEAKER: [INAUDIBLE] RADAR SCREEN.

3

1

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S -- THEIR RADAR SCREEN RIGHT NOW IS
- 5 PRETTY BROAD AND IT'S GOT A LOT OF DOTS ON IT.

6

- 7 MARK GAMBLE: THE COALITION HAS DONE AS MUCH AS THEY CAN TO
- 8 KEEP IT FROM HAPPENING -- KEEPING THAT FROM HAPPENING, WHAT
- 9 YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, BUT THERE ARE NO GUARANTEES IN THIS. I
- 10 MEAN, IT'S A STATE FUND, UNFORTUNATELY.

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT'S -- GENEVIEVE?

- 14 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: YES, GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M GLAD THAT IT'S A
- 15 QUESTION YOU HAVE BEEN ASKING, BECAUSE SOMETIME WHEN YOU GO
- 16 AFTER A LEGISLATION, YOU MIGHT NOT SEE ALL THE FALL BACK FROM
- 17 IT. THE C.N.A. PUSH DOWN FOR THE NURSE-PATIENT RATIO, AND NOW
- 18 YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT EMERGENCY ROOM. WE HAVE BOTTLENECK
- 19 EVERYWHERE IN THE SYSTEM RIGHT NOW IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WE
- 20 ARE DELAYING TREATMENT TO PATIENTS BECAUSE OF THE NURSE-
- 21 PATIENT RATIO. WE CANNOT ADMIT BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH
- 22 NURSES AND ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE COMMISSION WAS
- 23 TO DEAL WITH THAT, YOU KNOW, PROBLEM. THAT WAS TWO MONTHS AGO.
- 24 WE ARE IN AN ACUTE EMERGENCY RIGHT NOW. EVERY DAY, MANY OF THE
- 25 E.R. IN L.A. COUNTY ARE CLOSED FOR ADMISSION. WE NEED TO LOOK



- 1 AT THAT IN DETAIL. I KNOW YOU WROTE A LETTER TO THE GOVERNOR
- 2 ON DEALING WITH THE NURSE-PATIENT RATIO. HAVE YOU HEARD FROM
- 3 IT OR WHAT'S GOING TO BE DONE ABOUT IT? BECAUSE, RIGHT NOW, WE
- 4 ARE IN MAJOR TROUBLE. WE ARE INCREASING THE COST OF HEALTHCARE
- 5 AND WE ARE NOT PROVIDING THE CARE. SO I THINK YOU -- I WOULD
- 6 LIKE FOR YOU TO FOLLOW UP ON THAT AND TELL ME IF YOU GET A
- 7 RESPONSE.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I COULDN'T TELL YOU. I DON'T -- I HAVE NOT
- 10 SEEN A RESPONSE. I DON'T THINK WE RECEIVED A RESPONSE YET. WE
- 11 DIDN'T? [INDISTINCT VOICES].

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DIFFERENT ISSUE. WE'VE NOT RECEIVED A
- 14 RESPONSE.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WANT TO ASK A QUESTION.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES?

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT'S THE PROPOSED PERCENTAGE INCREASES FOR
- 21 RESIDENCE VERSUS BUSINESSES AND THEN CELLS?

22

- 23 MARK GAMBLE: IT'S 3% ACROSS THE BOARD BUT THERE'S A 50 CENT
- 24 CAP ON THE RESIDENCE. THERE IS NOT THE CAP FOR BUSINESSES.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE'S NO CAP ON BUSINESSES? IS THERE A CAP
- 2 ON CELL?

3

4 MARK GAMBLE: RIGHT. CORRECT.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE IS A CAP ON CELL?

7

8 MARK GAMBLE: NO, YOU WERE RIGHT, THERE IS NO CAP.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE'S NO CAP ON CELL PHONES, THERE'S NO CAP
- 11 ON BUSINESSES? IF THERE ARE NO SURCHARGES FOR CELL PHONE USERS
- 12 AT THIS TIME, WOULD IMPOSING A SURCHARGE REQUIRE ANOTHER
- 13 INITIATIVE?

14

15 MARK GAMBLE: I DON'T HAVE THE ANSWER FOR THAT.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. PELLMAN?

18

19 COUNSEL PELLMAN: WOULD YOU PLEASE REPEAT THE QUESTION?

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF THERE ARE NO SURCHARGES FOR CELL PHONE
- 22 USERS AT THIS TIME, WOULD IMPOSING A SURCHARGE REQUIRE ANOTHER
- 23 INITIATIVE?



- 1 COUNSEL PELLMAN: I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE STATUS OF THE UTILITY
- 2 TAX IS ON CELL PHONES AT THE PRESENT TIME. I THINK THERE'S
- 3 SOME LITIGATION GOING ON INVOLVING ONE JURISDICTION. I DON'T
- 4 KNOW WHETHER WE'VE BEEN ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT IT, PUT THAT IN
- 5 ABEYANCE OR WHAT. I'D HAVE TO CHECK ON THAT FURTHER,
- 6 SUPERVISOR.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT CRITERIA WILL BE USED TO ENSURE FUNDING
- 9 IS UTILIZED FOR UNCOMPENSATED COMMUNITY CLINIC CARE EMERGENCY
- 10 SERVICES?

11

- 12 MARK GAMBLE: IN TERMS OF WHAT THEY WILL SUBMIT -- THEY HAVE TO
- 13 HAVE AT LEAST A THOUSAND VISITS, UNCOMPENSATED CARE VISITS,
- 14 AND THEN THEY SUBMIT THAT FOR REIMBURSEMENT FOR THOSE VISITS.
- 15 IT'S ON A PER ENCOUNTER BASIS.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S PUBLIC AND PRIVATE?

18

- 19 MARK GAMBLE: IT IS NONPROFIT, AND WHETHER OR NOT THAT
- 20 CONSTITUTES THE PUBLIC, I DON'T KNOW BUT I KNOW THIS WAS
- 21 DONE...

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: "NONPROFIT" IS KIND OF A MISNOMER BECAUSE THE
- 24 PEOPLE WHO RUN NONPROFITS DON'T WEAR COVERALLS AND SANDALS. I



- 1 MEAN, THEY'RE MAKING A SIZABLE INCOME. MANY OF THEM ARE. NOT
- 2 ALL OF THEM BUT A LOT OF THEM ARE, RIGHT?

3

- 4 MARK GAMBLE: THEY COULD, YES, BUT THAT'S THE COMMUNITY CLINIC
- 5 ASSOCIATION AND I DON'T WANT TO REPRESENT THEIR CONSTITUENTS.
- 6 SO I HAVE A TOUGH TIME ANSWERING THE QUESTIONS SPECIFICALLY
- 7 ADDRESSED TO THEM.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IS THERE A FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT FOR THIS
- 10 INITIATIVE?

11

- 12 MARK GAMBLE: YES. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES FOR THE
- 13 HOSPITAL AND PHYSICIAN PIECE AND THEY CAN, AND THE COUNTY CAN
- 14 REQUEST TO HAVE OVERSIGHT.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHICH DEPARTMENTS OF HEALTH SERVICES? THE
- 17 STATE?

18

- 19 MARK GAMBLE: STATE, YES. AND THEN THE COUNTY CAN REQUEST TO
- 20 HAVE OVERSIGHT THROUGH THE INITIATIVE.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WOW. THAT'S THE...

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SUPERVISOR, THERE IS A COMMISSION ESTABLISHED
- 25 BY THIS INITIATIVE, EMERGENCY AND TRAUMA PHYSICIAN SERVICES



- 1 COMMISSION IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. SO IT'S A NEW
- 2 COMMISSION WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT WITH SPECIFIED MEMBERSHIP
- 3 THAT WILL OVERSEE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INITIATIVE.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: CAN I JUST GO BACK TO MR. ANTONOVICH'S
- 6 QUESTION ABOUT CELL PHONES? IF, IN FACT, YOU KNOW, IT DOESN'T
- 7 REQUIRE ANOTHER INITIATIVE, ARE THERE ADMINISTRATIVE
- 8 PROCEDURES WITHIN THIS BALLOT INITIATIVE THAT MIGHT ALLOW THEM
- 9 TO ASSESS CELL PHONES? AND, WITH THAT, VIS-A-VIS, THEN, TAKE
- 10 THAT MONEY DIRECTLY TO THE STATE VERSUS THE LOCAL SPLITTING? I
- 11 MEAN, THAT COULD BE -- IF THERE ARE ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES
- 12 WITHIN THIS, THAT COULD BE ANOTHER, YOU KNOW, RUN AT OUR
- MONEY.

14

15 COUNSEL PELLMAN: WE'LL HAVE TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT, SUPERVISOR.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I THINK IT GOES TO THE POINT, THOUGH, THAT
- 18 THERE ARE A LOT OF UNANSWERED QUESTIONS.

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IN 1990, THE STATE CIGARETTE TAX THAT WAS
- 21 IMPOSED, PROP 99, THE COUNTY RECEIVED \$130 MILLION. LAST YEAR,
- 22 THE COUNTY RECEIVED APPROXIMATELY \$20 MILLION, OF WHICH L.A.
- 23 COUNTY -- I SHOULD SAY, CALIFORNIA RECEIVED APPROXIMATELY \$20
- 24 MILLION, OF WHICH OUR COUNTY RECEIVED \$5.7 MILLION. IS IT
- 25 POSSIBLE FOR THE STATE TO ELIMINATE PROP 99 DOLLARS TO BE



- 1 ALLOCATED TO THE COUNTY FOR TRAUMA AND EMERGENCY SERVICES IF
- 2 THE STATE BELIEVES THAT FUNDING THROUGH THE 9-1-1 SURCHARGE
- 3 AND MEASURE B ARE COVERING COSTS FOR TRAUMA?

4

5 MARK GAMBLE: NO, THEY CAN'T. THAT'S WRITTEN INTO THE LANGUAGE.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THERE'S NO AUTHORITY FOR THE STATE TO
- 8 USURP THESE DOLLARS AND DIVERT THEM TO THAT?

9

- 10 MARK GAMBLE: CORRECT. THAT DOESN'T MEAN THEY WON'T FOR OTHER
- 11 REASONS, WHICH THEY'VE ALREADY DONE.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THEY DO DO THIS WITH PROPERTY TAXES.

14

15 MARK GAMBLE: IN TERMS OF...?

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEY TAKE OUR LOCAL PROPERTY TAXES AND DIVERT
- 18 IT TO THE STATE.

19

- 20 MARK GAMBLE: YEAH. I MEAN, THIS IS A VERY TRICKY TIME TO TALK
- 21 ABOUT FUNDING MECHANISMS GOING THROUGH THE STATE, I MEAN...

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT ABOUT THESE DOLLARS? ARE THEY FOR THE
- 24 CURRENT TRAUMA NETWORK THAT WE HAVE OR ARE THEY FOR EXPANDED
- 25 TRAUMA NETWORKS THROUGHOUT THE REGION?



1

- 2 MARK GAMBLE: THEY ARE FOR EMERGENCY AND TRAUMA. SO THERE IS --
- 3 IT IS FOR CURRENT AND, IF NEW EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS COME
- 4 ONLINE, IT WILL INCLUDE THEM.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF DOLLARS THAT YOU
- 7 ANTICIPATE BEING GENERATED?

8

- 9 MARK GAMBLE: 550 MILLION IS THE LATEST ESTIMATE. I MEAN, WE'VE
- 10 SEEN TWO HOSPITALS CLOSE ALREADY THIS YEAR OR AT LEAST
- 11 ANNOUNCE THEY WERE CLOSING.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WE HAVE, YOU KNOW, WE
- 14 CAN'T BE THE H.M.O. TO THE WORLD. AND, AGAIN, WE ARE
- 15 ATTEMPTING TO BE ALL THINGS TO ALL PEOPLE WHEN, AS A RESULT,
- 16 THE ENTIRE HEALTHCARE DELIVERY SYSTEM IS EXPERIENCING A
- 17 MELTDOWN. SO WE CAN'T SUE OURSELVES THE GREATNESS AND WE CAN'T
- 18 BE THE H.M.O. TO THE WORLD. THERE'S GOT TO BE SOME CHANGES,
- 19 BOTH FEDERALLY, LOCALLY, AND STATE-WISE ON REFORMING THE
- 20 SYSTEM AND JUST ADDING ADDITIONAL TAXES DOES NOT ALWAYS
- 21 ACHIEVE THOSE GOALS.

22

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN?

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES?



1

- 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ON YOUR QUESTION ABOUT CELL PHONES AND WHETHER
- 3 IT APPLIES, SECTION 41.020.5 SAYS THAT THE 3% APPLIES TO EVERY
- 4 PERSON IN THE STATE ON INTRASTATE TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION
- 5 SERVICE. OF THE CHARGES MADE FOR SUCH SERVICE AND THE CAP, 50
- 6 CENTS PER MONTH, SAYS: FOR PURPOSES OF THE CAP, THE TERM
- 7 RESIDENTIAL SERVICE USER DOES NOT INCLUDE MOBILE
- 8 TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES. SO THEY SPECIFICALLY EXEMPT CELL
- 9 PHONES FROM THE CAP.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH. AND THE FLIP OF THAT, THOUGH, IS MY
- 12 QUESTION. WAS THERE ANYTHING WITHIN THE CONFINES OF THIS
- 13 INITIATIVE THAT ADMINISTRATIVELY THE STATE COULD DO SOMETHING
- 14 TO ADD, POTENTIALLY ADD SOMETHING TO CELL PHONES THAT COULD
- 15 CIRCUMVENT THE PROCESS OF THE MONEY GOING TO US? OR IS THERE
- 16 ANY CAVEAT IN THERE, LIKE MOST OF THE BOND ISSUES, WHERE TWO-
- 17 THIRDS OF THE MAJORITY OF THE LEGISLATURE CAN OVER...

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THERE IS A PROVISION FOR THE LEGISLATURE TO
- 20 AMEND BUT IT'S FOURTH-FIFTHS AND THERE'S ALSO ANTI-
- 21 SUPPLANTATION LANGUAGE FOR WHATEVER VALUE THAT IS. BUT IT IS
- 22 IN THERE, THAT THEY ARE NOT TO SUPPLANT.

23

24 SUP. BURKE: MAY I JUST ASK...



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: BUT FOUR-FIFTHS, THEY COULD...

2

3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: FOUR-FIFTHS, RIGHT.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: GOING TO THE CELL PHONE ISSUE, MOST OF THOSE ARE
- 6 INTERSTATE AND ARE-- NOT BY OUR CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES
- 7 COMMISSION. DO WE, IN THE STATE, HAVE THE POWER TO TAX THOSE?
- 8 FOR INSTANCE, CAN WE TAX LONG DISTANCE CALLS BETWEEN COUNTRIES
- 9 AND BETWEEN STATES THAT WOULD OCCUR ON A CELL PHONE?

10

- 11 COUNSEL PELLMAN: I THINK THAT'S ONE OF THE SUBJECTS OF SOME
- 12 CURRENT LITIGATION, SUPERVISOR.

13

14 SUP. BURKE: IS THAT THE ISSUE IN LITIGATION?

15

- 16 COUNSEL PELLMAN: I BELIEVE SO, WHETHER THERE'S A CITES HERE
- 17 THAT MAKES IT A TAXABLE TRANSACTION.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE: MOST OF THE CELL PHONES ARE UNDER FEDERAL
- 20 REGULATION. ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

21

22 COUNSEL PELLMAN: YES.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: THEY'RE NOT AUTHORIZED THROUGH A STATE PUBLIC
- 25 UTILITY?



1

- 2 COUNSEL PELLMAN: I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC
- 3 UTILITIES COMMISSION ENJOYS DUAL JURISDICTION WITH THE F.C.C.
- 4 OR NOT.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: I THINK, YOU KNOW, JUST MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT
- 7 THEY'RE USUALLY REGULATED FROM A FEDERAL REGULATION. SO, AT
- 8 ANY RATE, THAT'S THE ISSUE -- ONE OF THE ISSUES IN LITIGATION.
- 9 IT WILL BE SETTLED THEN. OKAY. IS THAT IN FEDERAL COURT?

10

11 COUNSEL PELLMAN: MY RECOLLECTION IS IT IS IN FEDERAL COURT.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, I THINK IT IS IN FEDERAL COURT,
- 14 BECAUSE THAT'S AN F.C.C., I THINK, ISSUE.

15

16 SUP. BURKE: IT'S AN F.C.C. ISSUE? ARE THEY...

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, PARTICULARLY SINCE A LOT OF PEOPLE
- 19 ARE GETTING RID OF THEIR, YOU KNOW, HARD LINES AT HOME AND
- 20 USING CELL PHONES. ANYTHING ELSE? WHAT IS THE...

21

22 SPEAKER: THERE ARE A LOT OF UNANSWERED QUESTIONS.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I DON'T KNOW...



1 SUP. BURKE: THIS IS ON THE NOVEMBER BALLOT, RIGHT?

2

3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: CORRECT.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: WHEN DO YOU GET -- WHEN DO WE THINK WE'RE GOING TO
- 6 GET SOME KIND OF FEEDBACK FROM THE COURT?

7

8 COUNSEL PELLMAN: WE'RE NOT A PARTY TO THAT LAWSUIT.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: I KNOW BUT CAN WE AT LEAST FIND OUT WHAT'S
- 11 HAPPENING? WHEN DO YOU ANTICIPATE THE COURT IS GOING TO
- 12 RESOLVE IT?

13

- 14 COUNSEL PELLMAN: I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE TIMETABLE IS,
- 15 SUPERVISOR BURKE. WE'RE NOT A PARTY TO IT AND IT NOT ONE THAT
- 16 WE'VE BEEN ACTIVELY TRACKING.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO SEE THIS PUT OVER, THEN, FOR ABOUT 30
- 19 DAYS, 60 DAYS 'TIL WE KNOW WHAT'S HAPPENING

20

- 21 MARK GAMBLE: AND, BY THEN, YOU'LL KNOW-- WE'LL KNOW IF IT'S
- 22 QUALIFIED FOR THE BALLOT.

23

24 **SUP. BURKE:** SURE.



MARK GAMBLE: WE'LL HAVE ALL THE SPECIFICS.

2

3 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT.

4

5 MARK GAMBLE: I CAN COME BACK IN YOUR ANSWERS.

6

7 SUP. BURKE: 60 DAYS UNTIL WE KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. WE'LL CONTINUE
- 10 THE ITEM FOR 60 DAYS. I THINK, TOO, THOUGH, I THINK, DAVID AND
- 11 BILL, I THINK YOU'VE GOT A FLAVOR OF THE QUESTIONS BEING ASKED
- 12 AND IT WOULD BE NICE, IN THE MEANTIME, IF WE COULD ANSWER
- 13 THOSE. SO YOU CAN'T SAY THERE'S A LOT OF UNANSWERED QUESTIONS.
- 14 OKAY? SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, YOUR ADJOURNMENTS.

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN
- 17 TODAY IN MEMORY OF EDWARD DILORETO, WHO PASSED AWAY AT AGE 90,
- 18 AS SUPERVISOR KNABE MENTIONED. ED WAS A PERSONAL FRIEND AND
- 19 ONE OF MY FIRST SUPPORTERS WHEN I RAN FOR PUBLIC OFFICE. HE
- 20 AND I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY OF SERVING AS A DELEGATE FOR RONALD
- 21 REAGAN WHEN HE RAN FOR PRESIDENT, ALONG WITH GEORGE BUSH, SR.
- 22 AND GEORGE BUSH, JR. AND ROBERT DOLE. HE WAS A VERY COMMITTED,
- 23 DEDICATED INDIVIDUAL. JUST A COUPLE MONTHS AGO, WE CELEBRATED
- 24 HIS 90TH BIRTHDAY AT THE ITALIAN CHURCH IN DOWNTOWN LOS
- 25 ANGELES WITH HE AND HIS WIFE AND ALL THE COMMUNITY FRIENDS OF



- 1 HIS AND THEIR CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN. BUT HE AND HIS WIFE,
- 2 JILL, WERE QUITE INVOLVED. THEY WERE A GOOD TEAM AND, AS
- 3 STATED, ONE OF ED'S SONS IS A MEMBER OF OUR SUPERIOR COURT AS
- 4 ONE OF OUR JUDGES BUT HE WAS A REAL INTERESTING, DYNAMIC
- 5 INDIVIDUAL. HE REALLY BELIEVED IN SPREADING THE TEN
- 6 COMMANDMENTS. THAT WAS HIS GOAL, THAT IF WE COULD ALL ABIDE BY
- 7 THE TEN COMMANDMENTS, WE COULD ELIMINATE MANY OF OUR PROBLEMS.
- 8 I KNOW IN DOWNEY, HE WAS INVOLVED WITH THAT ISSUE WITH THE TEN
- 9 COMMANDMENTS AND TRYING TO GET THIS GOING NATIONALLY AND
- 10 INTERNATIONALLY AND HE WAS ACTIVE ALONG WITH COMMUNITY WORK IN
- 11 HIS CHURCH, WITH THE OPTIMIST CLUB AND WITH THE PARTY. ELBIE
- 12 HICKAMBOTTOM, FORMER INFANTRY OFFICER, WORKED HARD BEHIND THE
- 13 SCENES AND ALSO SERVED AS A MEMBER OF THE PASADENA UNIFIED
- 14 SCHOOL DISTRICT, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 79, AND HE IS
- 15 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, DOLORES, AND THEIR FOUR CHILDREN. HE AND
- 16 HIS WIFE WERE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE COMMUNITY OF PASADENA.
- 17 CONSUELO GUTIERREZ, CLERK AT THE COURT IN EAST LOS ANGELES
- 18 COURT PASSED AWAY JANUARY 8TH. MARY CATHEY HANFORD, WHO WAS
- 19 THE LONG-TIME CIVIC LEADER, PASSED AWAY AT 102. SHE WAS THE
- 20 MOTHER TO SENATOR ELIZABETH DOLE AND WAS QUITE INVOLVED IN HER
- 21 COMMUNITY AND FOUNDED THE CATHEY-HANFORD HOUSE, WHICH WAS A
- 22 CENTER FOR SENIOR CITIZENS AND ALZHEIMER'S PATIENTS. SHE'S
- 23 SURVIVED BY HER TWO CHILDREN AND TWO GRANDCHILDREN AND TWO
- 24 GREAT-GRANDCHILDREN.



- 1 CHARLES PANKOW, FORMER CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF CHARLES PANKOW
- 2 BUILDERS, DIED ON JANUARY 12TH. HE WAS QUITE INVOLVED IN
- 3 BUILDING MORE THAN A THOUSAND STRUCTURES BETWEEN HAWAII AND
- 4 NEW YORK, INCLUDING OUR OWN METROPOLITAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY
- 5 HEADQUARTERS AND THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT AND THE PASCO
- 6 COLORADO IN PASADENA. ELEANOR KEMP, LONG-TIME ARCADIA
- 7 RESIDENT. SHE WAS THE MOTHER TO TOURNAMENT OF ROSES PRINCESS
- 8 PAMELA GIBBS AND GRANDMOTHER TO TOURNAMENT OF ROSES PRINCESS
- 9 KRISTIN GIBBS SEIFERT. SHE PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 89 ON
- 10 JANUARY 9TH. SHE WAS ACTIVE AS PRESIDENT OF ARCADIA'S WOMEN'S
- 11 CLUB AND FOUNDING PRESIDENT OF THE ARCADIA ASSISTANCE LEAGUE
- 12 AND PTA PRESIDENT AT SANTA ANITA SCHOOL AND DANA INTERMEDIATE
- 13 SCHOOL. PASTOR JONATHON CHAO, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF
- 14 65. HE SPENT THE LAST 25 YEARS SPREADING THE GOSPEL IN CHINA
- 15 AND WAS QUITE INVOLVED WITH THE SPREAD OF THE HOME CHURCHES IN
- 16 CHINA WHERE, TODAY, THERE'S A LARGE CHRISTIAN EVANGELIZATION
- 17 TAKING PLACE IN THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. HE TRAVELED TO
- 18 CHINA MORE THAN A HUNDRED TIMES AND WEST COVINA AND WAS ALSO
- 19 INVOLVED IN THE FOUNDING OF THE CHINA MINISTRIES INTERNATIONAL
- 20 TO RESEARCH THE GROWTH OF CHRISTIANITY IN CHINA. HE IS
- 21 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, REBECCA, HIS PARENTS AND EIGHT SIBLINGS.
- 22 THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS. AND THEN, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD
- 23 LIKE TO MOVE RECONSIDERATION OF THE WATER POLICY, ITEM NUMBER
- 24 2, AND DISCUSS THAT AT THIS TIME.



1 >>SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SO ORDERED.

- 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT HAPPENED, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS, IN THE
- 4 DISCUSSION OF THAT ISSUE, THERE WERE SOME CONFUSIONS THAT HAD
- 5 TAKEN PLACE AND, AS I STATED EARLIER, TODAY'S MEMORANDUM FROM
- 6 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES HAD ACKNOWLEDGED THAT ITS
- 7 LEGITIMATE CONCERNS ABOUT HEALTH AND SAFETY CAN BE ADDRESSED
- 8 BY THE INSTALLATION OF FILTRATION DEVICES BETWEEN WATER
- 9 STORAGE TANKS AND A HOME AND THE DEPARTMENTS OF HEALTH
- 10 SERVICES PUBLIC WORKS, FIRE, REGIONAL PLANNING, AND THE COUNTY
- 11 COUNSEL WORKING IN A COLLABORATIVE FASHION TO FORMULATE A
- 12 COMPROMISED POLICY ON HAULED WATER IN ADDITION TO SUPERVISOR
- 13 YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION, WHICH WOULD PRECLUDE FURTHER REVISITING
- 14 -- OR REVISING THE HAULED WATER POLICY TO REFLECT INFORMATION
- 15 CONTAINED IN THE DEPARTMENT'S MEMORANDUM. PRECLUDING THE USE
- 16 OF THE HAULED WATER FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT ACROSS SUBSTANTIAL
- 17 REGIONS OF THE VALLEY WOULD SUBJECT THE COUNTY TO HUNDREDS OF
- 18 CLAIMS. SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS MOVE THAT WE GO BACK TO
- 19 THE AMENDMENT THAT I HAD AND THAT AMENDMENT WOULD BE TO
- 20 REQUIRE THE DEPARTMENTS OF HEALTH SERVICES, WORKING WITH
- 21 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF REGIONAL PLANNING,
- 22 PUBLIC WORKS, FIRE, AND THE COUNTY COUNSEL TO CONDUCT AT LEAST
- 23 TWO COMMUNITY MEETINGS TO DISCUSS ANY PROPOSED CHANGES IN THE
- 24 COUNTY'S HAULED WATER POLICY. THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 25 SERVICES SHOULD PROVIDE ADVANCE WRITTEN NOTICE TO THE GENERAL



- 1 PUBLIC, A MINIMUM OF 15 DAYS IN ADVANCE OF THESE HEARINGS AND
- 2 THAT IN THE FIRST FURTHER MOVE SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION
- 3 THAT WE STOP AT THE END OF THE PERIOD OF THE FIRST SENTENCE BY
- 4 DELETING THE SECOND SENTENCE. I HAVE NO PROBLEM IN PREVENTING
- 5 -- CREATING A THRESHOLD AND HAVING NEW DEVELOPMENTS -- MAJOR
- 6 DEVELOPMENTS BEING DEVELOPED WHEN THERE'S NO WATER. MY CONCERN
- 7 IS, IF A PERSON WANTS TO BUILD A HOME, THEIR HOME AND THERE
- 8 ARE, LET'S SAY IN A AREA THERE ARE NINE HOMES THERE ON HAULED
- 9 WATER AND THEY JUST WANT TO BUILD THEIR OWN HOME, THEY SHOULD
- 10 BE ABLE TO DO THAT WITH THE FILTRATION AND ET CETERA
- 11 REQUIREMENTS THAT THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT ARE PUTTING TOGETHER.
- 12 BUT I WOULD BE OPPOSED TO HAVING ANY NEW MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS
- 13 OF, YOU KNOW, MORE THAN ONE HOME GOING IN WHERE THEY WOULD
- 14 HAVE -- RELYING A HUNDRED PERCENT ON HAULED WATER. SO THAT'S
- 15 WHAT -- WE'RE KIND OF LOOKING AT THE MOM AND POP WHO IS
- 16 BUILDING THEIR HOME.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES?

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M GOING TO ASK FOR A "NO" VOTE ON MR.
- 23 ANTONOVICH'S MOTION AND THEN I VOTE ON MY MOTION. I WANT TO
- 24 TELL YOU WHY. WE HEARD A DISCUSSION EARLIER TODAY THAT A
- 25 NUMBER OF PEOPLE, A LOT OF PEOPLE, HAVE BUILT HOMES, INCLUDING



- 1 IN THE THIRD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, NOT JUST IN THE ANTELOPE
- 2 VALLEY, WHERE THEY THOUGHT THEY HAD ENOUGH WATER, WELL WATER,
- 3 WHAT HAVE YOU, AND THE WELLS HAVE GONE DRY, FOR WHATEVER
- 4 REASON, THEY'VE RUN OUT OF WATER AND NOW WE HAVE HOMES THAT
- 5 ARE SITTING THERE WITHOUT WATER SUPPLY. SO MOM AND POP OR NO
- 6 MOM AND POP, IT'S A BAD IDEA TO KNOWINGLY, AHEAD OF TIME,
- 7 APPROVE A PERMIT FOR A BUILDING OF EVEN ONE HOME WHEN THERE IS
- 8 NO -- WHEN YOU ALREADY ACKNOWLEDGE THERE IS NO WATER SUPPLY
- 9 COMING FROM THE PROPERTY OR ANY ANY WATER UTILITY PROVIDING IT
- 10 AND YOU'RE HAULING IT IN. BUT I WANT TO GO FURTHER THAN THAT.
- 11 THERE WERE STATEMENTS MADE EARLIER, AND I DIDN'T GET INTO IT
- 12 BECAUSE I THOUGHT WE HAD A COMPROMISE ON IT, BUT LET ME JUST
- 13 READ TO YOU FROM A FEBRUARY -- FIRST OF ALL, I REMIND YOU THAT
- 14 OUR CURRENT POLICY, OUR CURRENT POLICY IN WRITING SINCE
- 15 JANUARY AND BEFORE THAT, IT WAS A PRACTICE, POLICY AND
- 16 PRACTICE, WAS NOT TO APPROVE HAULED WATER FOR ANY DEVELOPMENT,
- 17 NUMBER ONE. THAT'S SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE ANY DEVIATION FROM THAT
- 18 POLICY WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL
- 19 QUALITY ACT. IS THAT CORRECT, MR. GUTIERREZ?

20

- 21 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: A CHANGE IN THAT POLICY TO ALLOW FOR
- 22 HAULED WATER TO BE USED FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION WOULD REQUIRE AN
- 23 INITIAL STUDY AND THEN A DETERMINATION OF AN APPROPRIATE
- 24 C.E.Q.A. DOCUMENT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU BELIEVE THAT IT IS LIKELY OR PROBABLE
- 2 THAT SUCH AN INITIAL STUDY WOULD RESULT IN A REQUIREMENT FOR A
- 3 FULL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT ON SUCH A POLICY?

4

- 5 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: BASED ON THE CASE LAW, IT APPEARS
- 6 THAT IT WOULD BE LIKELY.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WOULD BE LIKELY. AND WOULD THIS BE ONE OF
- 9 THESE MOM AND POP ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTS? OR WOULD IT BE
- 10 EXPENSIVE?

11

- 12 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH IT WOULD COST,
- 13 BUT THERE ARE GOING TO BE-- SOME SERIOUS ISSUES NEED TO BE
- 14 CONSIDERED, THE GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS, CUMULATIVE IMPACTS,
- 15 COULD BE TRAFFIC IMPACTS.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IN VARIOUS COMMUNITIES AROUND THE COUNTY, IS
- 18 THAT RIGHT? NOT JUST IN ONE PART.

19

- 20 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: THAT'S CORRECT BECAUSE IT WOULD APPLY
- 21 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. SECOND THING-- SO, NUMBER ONE,
- 24 MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION PROMOTES A CHANGE IN POLICY, WHICH WE
- 25 HAVE NOT AGREED TO, WHICH HAS NOT BEEN RECOMMENDED BY ANY ONE



- 1 OF OUR DEPARTMENTS, AND WHICH, IN FACT, IS OPPOSED BY THE
- 2 STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, WHICH I WILL GET TO IN A MINUTE.
- 3 SECONDLY, IF YOU WERE INCLINED, AND I HOPE WE'RE NOT INCLINED
- 4 TO MAKE SUCH A CHANGE, BE PREPARED FOR A MAJOR COMPREHENSIVE
- 5 OMNIBUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FROM CLAREMONT TO SANTA
- 6 MONICA, FROM THE KERN COUNTY LINE ALL THE WAY DOWN TO
- 7 CERRITOS. THAT'S WHAT IT'S GOING TO TAKE. SECONDLY, I WANT TO
- 8 READ YOU FROM THE FEBRUARY -- I DID NOT DISPUTE EARLIER MR.
- 9 ANTONOVICH'S STATEMENT THAT THE STATE ALLOWS IT -- OR THAT THE
- 10 STATE HASN'T OPPOSED IT. HERE'S WHAT THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
- 11 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES SAID ON FEBRUARY 7 IN A LETTER
- 12 TO ALL COUNTY PLANNING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENTS THROUGHOUT THE
- 13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND I'LL READ YOU THE OPERATIVE SENTENCE.
- 14 "THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES," THIS IS OF THE STATE,
- 15 "AND THE DIRECTORS OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DO NOT SUPPORT THE
- 16 USE OF IRRIGATION DITCH WATER, HAULED WATER FROM ANY SOURCE,
- 17 OR SIMILAR UNACCEPTABLE SOURCES OF WATER FOR ANY NEW
- 18 CONSTRUCTION AND REQUEST THAT THIS PRACTICE BE ELIMINATED".
- 19 THAT'S THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 20 HEALTH OFFICER. NOW, THERE ARE -- MR. ANTONOVICH SAID EARLIER
- 21 THAT THIS IS DONE ALL OVER THE STATE. I'VE SINCE ASCERTAINED
- 22 THAT THERE ARE THREE COUNTIES IN CALIFORNIA THAT ALLOW ANY
- 23 KIND OF HAULED WATER. ONE IS KERN COUNTY, WHICH ALLOWS HAULED
- 24 WATER FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION, RIGHT? ONE IS CALAVERAS COUNTY,
- 25 WHICH ALLOWS HAULED WATER ONLY FOR PROVIDING FOR PROPERTIES



- 1 WHERE THE WELLS HAVE RUN DRY, AND THE THIRD ONE IS SAN
- 2 BERNARDINO COUNTY IN SOME VERY LIMITED, EVEN MORE LIMITED THAN
- 3 CALAVERAS COUNTY. SO, OTHERWISE, 55 COUNTIES IN CALIFORNIA DO
- 4 NOT ALLOW HAULED WATER, INCLUDING THIS ONE. THE ONES THAT DO,
- 5 DO IT ON A VERY LIMITED BASIS. WHAT I PROPOSED EARLIER AS A
- 6 COMPROMISE WAS TO ALLOW TO DEAL WITH THE ONES THAT HAVE HAD
- 7 THE WELLS RUN DRY, AND THAT'S AS FAR AS WE SHOULD GO. AND I'VE
- 8 GOT -- I MEAN, THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO WOULD ARGUE ABOUT THAT BUT
- 9 I THINK IT'S -- YOU KNOW, THAT'S A MOM AND POP THAT I CAN HAVE
- 10 SYMPATHY FOR, BUT IF SOMEBODY WHO WALKS IN AND BUYS A PIECE
- 11 OF, A PARCEL OF LAND IN TOPANGA CANYON, WHICH IS WORTH NEXT TO
- 12 NOTHING BECAUSE THERE'S NO WATER SUPPLY, AND THEN COMES IN
- 13 HERE AND IS ABLE TO BULLY A HEALTH DEPARTMENT OFFICIAL OR ONE
- 14 OF MY DEPUTIES OR ONE OF THE PLANNING DEPUTIES TO GIVE THEM A
- 15 PERMIT TO BUILD SOMETHING AND SUDDENLY A PIECE OF PROPERTY
- 16 THAT'S WORTH \$50,000 IS NOW WORTH \$5 MILLION OR \$500,000,
- 17 DEPENDING ON THE SIZE AND THE VIEW, BECAUSE THEY PROMISED
- 18 THEY'RE GOING TO GET HAULED WATER. THAT'S JUST BAD PUBLIC
- 19 POLICY AND IF YOU START DOING THIS IN THE SANTA MONICA
- 20 MOUNTAINS OR ANY OTHER PART OF THE COUNTY, YOU ARE INDUCING
- 21 MASSIVE GROWTH, YOU ARE, WITH A STROKE OF THE PEN, GIVING--
- 22 INCREASING MANY FOLD, INCREASING THE WEALTH AND THE VALUE OF
- 23 THESE PROPERTIES, AND PEOPLE WHO BOUGHT THESE PROPERTIES IN
- 24 THE MIDDLE OF NOWHERE WITH NO WATER SUPPLY, EITHER THEY DIDN'T
- 25 KNOW WHAT THEY WERE DOING OR THEY KNEW EXACTLY WHAT THEY WERE



- 1 DOING. AND, IN EITHER CASE, WE SHOULDN'T BAIL THEM OUT. I'VE
- 2 MADE MISTAKES IN INVESTMENTS AND NOBODY BAILED ME OUT. AND IF
- 3 YOU GO OUT INTO THE MIDDLE OF THE MOJAVE DESERT AND BUY A
- 4 PIECE OF PROPERTY FOR YOUR DREAM HOUSE AND THERE'S NO WATER
- 5 SOURCE, WHAT DO YOU EXPECT WHEN THE COUNTY HAS A POLICY THAT
- 6 SAYS WE DON'T ALLOW HAULED WATER WHEN THE STATE SAYS YOU
- 7 SHOULDN'T ALLOW HAULED WATER AND THAT SORT OF THING? SO THAT'S
- 8 MY POINT. SO IF YOU WANT TO GO BACK TO THE COMPROMISE, I'M
- 9 WILLING TO DO THAT. OTHERWISE, I WOULD JUST ASK THAT MY
- 10 COLLEAGUES VOTE "NO" ON THE AMENDMENT AND "YES" ON MY MOTION
- 12 **SUP. BURKE:** I HAVE A COUPLE QUESTIONS.
- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST SAY THE MOJAVE DESERT...
- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE'S CONFUSION IN OUR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS
- 17 AND THAT IS A PROBLEM AND WE'VE GOT TO GET THIS -- WE'VE GOT
- 18 TO GET THIS FIGURED, NOT FIGURED OUT, WE'VE GOT TO GET
- 19 EVERYBODY ON THE SAME PAGE AND THAT'S WHAT THIS MOTION WAS
- 20 INTENDED TO DO.

11

13

15

- 22 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE JUST A COUPLE QUESTIONS. IF A PERSON BUYS A
- 23 LOT AND IT'S IN A SUBDIVISION AND THERE'S WATER BUT THEY --
- 24 AND SO EVERYONE BUILDS BUT THIS PERSON DOES NOT BUILD OR



- 1 DELAYS THEIR BUILDING AND, WHEN THEY GET READY TO BUILD, THERE
- 2 IS NO WATER, IT'S DRY. HOW IS THAT TREATED IN YOUR AMENDMENT?

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FIRST OF ALL, IN MY AMENDMENT, YOU WOULDN'T
- 5 HAVE THAT KIND OF SITUATION BECAUSE, IF IT'S A SUBDIVISION,
- 6 AND I THINK MR. ANTONOVICH WOULD EVEN AGREE TO THIS, WHEN
- 7 THERE'S A SUBDIVISION AND THERE'S A WATER SUPPLY, THERE'S A
- 8 WATER MAIN AND IT'S A WATER UTILITY OR ONE OF OUR WATER
- 9 DISTRICTS. THE PROBLEM...

10

11 SUP. BURKE: THEY DON'T HAVE WELL WATER IN ANY SUBDIVISIONS?

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I GUESS THEY COULD HYPOTHETICALLY.
- 14 THEY COULDN'T? OR MUNICIPAL.

- 16 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. SO YOU HAVE WELL WATER. FOR INSTANCE, AND I
- 17 KNOW IN BIG BEAR, I THINK, YOU HAVE WELL WATER, AND VARIOUS
- 18 PLACES LIKE THAT. SO YOU HAVE WELL WATER, YOU HAVE YOUR LOT
- 19 AND, FOR SOME REASON, YOU DON'T BUILD ON IT AND THEN THE WELLS
- 20 ARE DRY AND YOU DON'T HAVE A WELL AND YOU'RE NOT A PERSON
- 21 COMING IN WHO -- YOU PAID WHAT EVERYBODY ELSE PAID AND YOU
- 22 EXPECTED TO HAVE WATER; THEN, ALL OF A SUDDEN, YOU DON'T HAVE
- 23 WATER. IT SEEMS TO ME SOMETHING SHOULD BE DONE FOR THAT
- 24 PERSON. AND HERE'S THE OTHER QUESTION I HAVE. WHY DON'T THEY
- 25 MAKE THE HAULED WATER, WHY ISN'T THERE REGULATION OF HAULED



- 1 WATER? I MEAN, WE -- ALL OF US ARE DRINKING HAULED WATER TO A
- 2 CERTAIN EXTENT. WHY DON'T THEY HAVE SOME REGULATION OF THESE
- 3 COMPANIES THAT HAUL WATER? AND IS THERE SOMETHING MOVING AS
- 4 FAR AS REGULATING THEM AND LICENSING THEM AND REOUIRING
- 5 STANDARDS ON HAULED WATER? IT SEEMS TO ME, IN THIS DAY AND
- 6 TIME YOU WOULD DO THAT, PARTICULARLY WITH SOME OF THE ISSUES
- 7 PEOPLE ARE FACED WITH NOW IN TERMS OF DRY WELLS. IS THERE -- I
- 8 REALLY WANT TO KNOW, WHY DON'T THEY REGULATE HAULED WATER?

10 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT WATER

11 HAULERS ARE REGULATED BY THE STATE, SO, I THINK...

13 SUP. BURKE: IN TERMS OF THEIR QUALITY? I THOUGHT...

15 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: I DON'T BELIEVE THAT IT'S IN TERMS OF

16 THE QUALITY BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT...

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FOR HEALTH. THEY REGULATE IT BECAUSE, DURING

- 19 THE EARTHQUAKE, WE BRING IN HAULED WATER. THAT'S WHAT SERVICED
- 20 THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY IN THE LAST EARTHQUAKE. THOSE OF US
- 21 IN THE MILITARY, WE DRINK HAULED WATER WHEN WE'RE ON BIVOUAC.
- 22 THEY HAVE WATER IN THOSE VEHICLES THAT THEY SEND TO THE TROOPS
- 23 WHEN YOU'RE ON BIVOUAC.

24

9

12

14



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THOMAS ______, YOU WANT TO SIT
- 2 DOWN THERE? WE MIGHT HAVE SOME QUESTIONS.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: VENDING HAULED WATER IS NOT A NEW INVENTION.
- 5 IT'S BEEN GOING ON FOR DECADES.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I MEAN, I GUESS, MIKE, ARE YOU
- 8 SAYING, THEN, AS COUNTY COUNSEL, TO FOLLOW UP ON YVONNE'S
- 9 QUESTION, THAT, IF A PERSON HAD THIS LOT AND WANTED TO BUILD
- 10 THEIR HOME AND, YOU KNOW, THEY BROUGHT IN HAULED WATER, HAD
- 11 THIS FILTRATION SYSTEM, THAT WOULD REQUIRE A FULL-BLOWN
- 12 E.I.R.?

13

- 14 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: CURRENTLY, UNDER THE POLICY AS IT
- 15 CURRENTLY EXISTS, HAULED WATER IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE SOURCE OF
- 16 POTABLE WATER FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION. IN ORDER TO CHANGE THAT
- 17 POLICY TO ALLOW HAULED WATER TO BE USED FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION,
- 18 THAT IS THE DECISION THAT WOULD REQUIRE THE ANALYSIS UNDER
- 19 C.E.Q.A. BECAUSE, ONCE THAT DECISION IS MADE, THEN, WHEN FOLKS
- 20 COME IN TO GET THEIR BUILDING PERMITS TO BUILD THEIR HOMES,
- 21 THAT WOULD BE A MINISTERIAL APPROVAL. SO, AT THAT POINT, THERE
- 22 WOULDN'T BE ANY ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW. THE ONLY ENVIRONMENTAL
- 23 REVIEW OF THE POLICY CHANGE COULD OCCUR OR CAN OCCUR AT THE
- 24 TIME THAT THE POLICY IS BEING ADOPTED. SO PEOPLE COMING IN...



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO IT'S NOT THE SPECIFIC HOUSE BEING BUILT,
- 2 IT'S A OVERALL POLICY AND, IF SOMEONE CAME IN...

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ...TO DO THAT TODAY,

5

- 6 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: THAT'S CORRECT BECAUSE THAT WOULD
- 7 BE... THERE'S -- THE POLICY DOESN'T EXIST TO ALLOW THEM TO DO
- 8 ANYTHING?

9

- 10 COUNSEL PETER GUTIERREZ: THAT'S CORRECT, BECAUSE THAT WOULD BE
- 11 THE OPPORTUNITY AND THE ONLY OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE
- 12 OVERALL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE DECISION TO CHANGE
- 13 THE POLICY.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND WE HAVE -- MR. HOGAN, RIGHT, FROM

16

- 17 TOM HOGAN: IT'S TOM HOGAN, ASSISTANT DEPUTY DIRECTOR AT THE
- 18 PUBLIC WORKS. ANY QUESTIONS?

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT AWARE, MR. HOGAN, AS LONG AS YOU'RE
- 21 THERE, I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY CASE WHERE, BACK TO MS. BURKE'S
- 22 FIRST QUESTION, WHERE A SUBDIVISION HAS BEEN APPROVED BASED ON
- 23 WELL WATER WHERE 90 HOMES HAVE BEEN BUILT ON A 92-UNIT -- 92-
- 24 LOT SUBDIVISION, TWO UNITS DIDN'T GET BUILT FOR A FEW YEARS.



- 1 IN THE MEANTIME, THE WELL RUNS DRY. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY
- 2 SITUATION LIKE THAT?

3

4 TOM HOGAN: I'M NOT AWARE OF THAT SITUATION.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, THAT'S NOT A PROBLEM, AS FAR AS I CAN
- 7 SEE.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: SO-- BUT YOU WOULD PROTECT SOMEONE IN THAT CASE?
- 10 BECAUSE, WAIT A MINUTE, IT'S NOT JUST WELLS. THERE ARE PEOPLE
- 11 WHO ARE DEPENDING ON WATER COMING FROM A PARTICULAR AQUEDUCT
- 12 AND I CAN'T REMEMBER THE ONE WHERE THERE'S A BIG FIGHT NOW IN
- 13 TERMS OF WHETHER OR NOT THAT'S GOING TO BE AVAILABLE. I GUESS
- 14 IT'S OUT, WHAT IS THAT, OUT IN LANCASTER OR SOMEWHERE, OR IN -
- 15 NO, IT'S CLOSER TO -- IT'S ROBERTS AREA, RIGHT? MAYOR
- 16 ROBERTS, IN HIS AREA...

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LANCASTER.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT. WHERE THEY'RE HAVING THIS BIG FIGHT IN
- 21 TERMS OF THE AVAILABILITY OF THE WATER THROUGH THE AQUEDUCT OR
- 22 SOMETHING. NO, IT'S COMING FROM A LAKE. IT'S LAKE...[LIGHT
- 23 LAUGHTER] I HAVE...

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH IT.



1 2 SUP. BURKE: I LOST MY... 3 TOM HOGAN: LAKE LOS ANGELES? 4 5 SUP. BURKE: IS IT LAKE LOS... 6 7 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, NO, LAKE LOS ANGELES IS NOT-- THAT'S DESERT. THERE'S NO LAKE. 10 SUP. BURKE: NO, NO, IT'S A LAKE BUT IT'S A LAKE THAT WAS 11 SERVICING AND IT'S INVOLVING EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE AND IT'S 12 INVOLVING A LOT OF DIFFERENT PEOPLE ON THIS ISSUE. SO, I MEAN, 13 IT'S -- BUT YOU AREN'T TRYING TO DO THAT TO SOMEONE WHO HAS 14 15 DEPENDED ON WATER AND THEN THEY CAN'T... 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, NO. WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS 17 18 SPECIFICALLY... 19 SUP. BURKE: I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT DOESN'T APPLY TO 20 THEM. [INAUDIBLE] THAT'S DIFFERENT. 21 22 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MY CONCERN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY CONCERN, MS. BURKE, IS NEW DEVELOPMENT, A NEW HOUSE THAT 24 DOESN'T HAVE ANY OTHER SOURCE OF WATER THAT COMES IN AND SAYS, 25



- 1 "I HAVE MY SOURCE, IT'S THE A AND B TRUCK-HAULING COMPANY FROM
- 2 TORRANCE AND WE'RE GOING TO HAUL IT UP THERE TO TOPANGA EVERY
- 3 DAY." YOU DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE GUY'S GOING TO BE IN
- 4 BUSINESS, YOU DON'T KNOW WHERE THE WATER IS COMING FROM. IT
- 5 MAY BE COMING FROM THE FIRE HYDRANT. IT'S ALL KINDS OF STUFF
- 6 LIKE THAT. IT'S JUST -- AND IT'S GROWTH- INDUCING IN A WAY
- 7 THAT WE NEVER ANTICIPATED. I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH DEALING WITH
- 8 THE EXISTING FOLKS WHO HAVE RUN OUT OF WATER AND THE COUNTY
- 9 COUNSEL HAS OPINED THAT THAT IS NOT A C.E.Q.A. ISSUE, THAT NEW
- 10 CONSTRUCTION IS WHERE THE THRESHOLD IS. AND OUR ORIGINAL
- 11 ACTION EARLIER THIS MORNING -- OR THIS AFTERNOON WOULD HAVE
- 12 ACCOMPLISHED THAT.

13

23

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, LET ME JUST POINT OUT THAT

- 15 MOJAVE DESERT IS IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY AND, AS YOU STATED,
- 16 SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY DOES ALLOW HAULED WATER. THAT'S NOT LOS
- 17 ANGELES COUNTY. WRIGHTWOOD'S PART OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY BUT
- 18 NOT THE MOJAVE DESERT. AND IMPERIAL VALLEY IS ANOTHER COUNTY
- 19 THAT HAS HAULED WATER AND I'M SURE THERE'S OTHERS AS WELL.
- 20 BUT, TO PUBLIC WORKS, ARE THERE CASES WHERE THE COUNTY HAS
- 21 APPROVED A SUBDIVISION AND NOW WE ARE WITHHOLDING THE ISSUANCE
- 22 OF A BUILDING PERMIT DUE TO A RELUCTANCE UPON HAULED WATER?
- 24 TOM HOGAN: LIKE I TESTIFIED BEFORE, I'M NOT AWARE OF THAT
- 25 HAPPENING. TO RECORD A MAP AND TO CREATE A PARCEL AND



- 1 SUBDIVISION, THE COUNTY, THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS, THE HEALTH
- 2 DEPARTMENT AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S A
- 3 RELIABLE SUPPLY OF WATER, A WATER COMPANY OR WHATEVER IT IS
- 4 BUT THEY HAVE TO HAVE A VERIFIABLE WATER SUPPLY BEFORE WE'LL
- 5 APPROVE THE MAP.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: CAN THE COUNTY APPROVE THE CREATION OF A
- 8 LEGAL LOT AND THEN REFUSE THE ISSUE OF A BUILDING PERMIT?

9

- 10 TOM HOGAN: NOW BEFORE YOU -- BEFORE A BUILDING PERMIT IS
- 11 ISSUED, THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT WILL -- WE WILL, OF COURSE,
- 12 CHECK WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO
- 13 MAKE SURE THAT THAT WATER SUPPLY IS VIABLE.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SEE, I AGREE THAT MASS DEVELOPMENTS SHOULD
- 16 NOT BE APPROVED WITHOUT WATER. BUT, IF YOU HAVE AN INDIVIDUAL
- 17 WHO BUILDS A HOME IN AN AREA WHERE THERE ARE 10 OTHER HOMES
- 18 THAT HAVE HAULED WATER, I DON'T THINK THEY SHOULD BE
- 19 DISCRIMINATED AGAINST. AND THAT'S WHAT THE AMENDMENT TO THIS
- 20 MOTION IS DOING. WE'RE ALSO HAVING THE DEPARTMENT COME BACK
- 21 AND HAVING PUBLIC HEARINGS IN OUR DISTRICTS ARE A POSITIVE
- 22 RESPONSE TO A SERIOUS ISSUE. THIS CHANGE IN POLICY WAS DONE
- 23 WITHOUT PUBLIC HEARINGS. I THINK THAT WAS WRONG. THIS IS A
- 24 CHANGE...



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: POLICY NEVER CHANGED, MIKE.

2

3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE POLICY...

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE POLICY HAS NOT BEEN ENFORCED EQUITABLY,
- 6 THAT'S ALL.

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, WHY DON'T WE JUST ASK THE QUESTION?

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FIRST OF ALL, THE POLICY HAS BEEN CHANGED
- 11 BECAUSE YOU HAVE THIS SITUATION THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING TODAY AS
- 12 A RESULT OF THAT. THERE WAS A RECOMMENDATION BY THE STATE BUT
- 13 IT SAID A REQUEST, IT WAS NOT A MANDATE, AND EVERY COUNTY IS
- 14 INTERPRETING IT DIFFERENTLY. BUT HAVING ALL OF THE DEPARTMENTS
- 15 COME TOGETHER AND RESOLVE THIS ISSUE THROUGH PUBLIC HEARINGS
- 16 IS THE PROPER WAY OF DOING IT AND THERE OUGHT TO BE A
- 17 THRESHOLD, AS I SAID. NO MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS, BUT IF A MOM AND
- 18 POP WANTS TO BUILD THEIR HOME IN AN AREA WHERE THEY HAVE
- 19 HAULED WATER, THEN SO BE IT, AND THIS FILTRATION SYSTEM IS A
- 20 POSITIVE STEP FORWARD, AS THE DEPARTMENT IS ALSO RECOMMENDING
- 21 IN THEIR JANUARY 20TH LETTER TO US TODAY AND THEY'RE TALKING
- 22 ABOUT COMING BACK TO THE BOARD ON FEBRUARY 29TH. "WE PLAN TO
- 23 OBTAIN REVIEW AND INPUT ON THE DRAFT AND EXPECT TO FINALIZE IT
- 24 FOR IMPLEMENTATION BY FEBRUARY 29TH TO CLARIFY AND SIMPLIFY
- 25 THE WELL-YIELD TESTING STANDARDS...", YOU KNOW.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: LET ME ASK PUBLIC WORKS AND COUNTY COUNSEL.
- 3 I MEAN, IS THIS A CHANGE? I MEAN, MIKE'S SAYING IT IS A CHANGE
- 4 AND ZEV IS SAYING THERE'S NO CHANGE. I MEAN, WHY ARE WE
- 5 DEBATING IT?

6

- 7 TOM HOGAN: WELL, IT APPEARS TO BE A CHANGE BECAUSE THE POLICY,
- 8 AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS, DOES NOT -- THE WRITTEN POLICY, WHICH
- 9 WAS A REFLECTION OF WHAT THE DEPARTMENTS WERE SAYING WAS THE
- 10 PRACTICE AND THE POLICY THAT SIMPLY HAD NOT BEEN REDUCED TO
- 11 WRITING, DOESN'T ALLOW HAULED WATER TO BE USED FOR NEW
- 12 CONSTRUCTION. THAT BEING THE CASE, TO CHANGE THAT POLICY,
- 13 THEN, WOULD HAVE CERTAIN RAMIFICATIONS THAT WOULD NEED TO BE
- 14 ADDRESSED, AT LEAST THROUGH AN ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, I UNDERSTAND, BUT THAT'S A CHANGE TO
- 17 ALLOW HAULED WATER.

18

19 TOM HOGAN: CORRECT.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I UNDERSTOOD MR. ANTONOVICH TO BE SAYING
- 22 THAT THE CHANGE TO NOT ALLOW IT IS A CHANGE.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT WAS THE ISSUE THAT WAS RAISED...

2

3 TOM HOGAN: THAT IS NOT WHAT...

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT'S THAT HE SAYS BUT IT'S NOT A CHANGE.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHEN THEY PUT IT IN WRITING-- RIGHT. BUT WHAT
- 8 I SAID IS...

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET CLEAR
- 11 BECAUSE YOU GUYS ARE DEBATING THE ISSUE. I'M TRYING TO ASK
- 12 COUNTY COUNSEL AND PUBLIC WORKS...

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT WAS NOT IN WRITING BEFORE, WAS IT NOT?

15

16 TOM HOGAN: IT WAS NOT IN WRITING.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT, SO IT WAS NOT IN WRITING. SO YOU HAD A
- 19 VERY ARBITRARY SYSTEM. IT WAS NOT IN WRITING. IT WAS
- 20 ARBITRARY. THEY PUT IT IN WRITING WITHOUT GOING THROUGH A
- 21 PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS, AND THAT'S WHY WE ARE DISCUSSING THIS
- 22 TODAY.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, THAT'S NOT WHY WE'RE DISCUSSING IT
- 25 TODAY. WE'RE DISCUSSING IT TODAY IS BECAUSE YOU INVITED A



- 1 BUNCH OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS AND RALLIED THEM ALL ABOUT. THAT'S
- 2 WHY WE'RE DISCUSSING IT TODAY. THE FACT IS, THE PRACTICE...

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WISH-- YOU SHOULD ATTEND A PUBLIC
- 5 HEARING... SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME FINISH. THE PRACTICE WAS,
- 6 THE PRACTICE BEFORE IT WAS IN WRITING IN JANUARY HAS BEEN NOT
- 7 TO ALLOW HAULED WATER. 55 OUT OF 58 COUNTIES DO NOT ALLOW
- 8 HAULED WATER. 55 -- AND THE THREE WHO DO, DO IT ON A VERY
- 9 LIMITED, VERY LIMITED BASIS. SO IMPERIAL COUNTY, WHICH IS IN
- 10 THE MIDDLE OF THE DESERT, DOES NOT ALLOW IT. RIVERSIDE COUNTY,
- 11 IN THE MIDDLE OF THE DESERT, DOES NOT ALLOW IT. ALL OF THESE
- 12 COUNTIES ALL OVER THE STATE THAT HAVE WATER PROBLEMS AND ARID
- 13 CHARACTERISTICS DO NOT ALLOW IT. SO IT WAS CONSISTENT WITH OUR
- 14 POLICY. WE PUT IT IN WRITING, I SUSPECT BECAUSE, AND I DON'T
- 15 KNOW WHETHER THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IS, BECAUSE IT WAS NOT
- 16 BEING EVENLY ENFORCED, BECAUSE CERTAIN PEOPLE WHO CAME IN FOR
- 17 BUILDING PERMITS GOT BUILDING PERMITS WHEN THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE
- 18 GOT THEM, LIKE YOUR HYPOTHETICAL OR REAL EXAMPLE OF 10 HOMES
- 19 SHOULDN'T HAVE GOTTEN THOSE BUILDING PERMITS. I HAVE SOME IN
- 20 MY DISTRICT THAT SHOULDN'T HAVE GOTTEN THEM. AND WE DON'T HAVE
- 21 TO GO INTO ALL THE REASONS WHY THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT AND THE
- 22 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT ISSUES PERMITS WHEN THEY SHOULDN'T BE
- 23 ISSUED. THAT'S ANOTHER ISSUE. BUT THE POLICY OF THIS
- 24 DEPARTMENT, AND IF FIELDING WAS STILL HERE, HE'D TELL YOU, HE
- 25 SAID IT THIS MORNING, HAS ALWAYS BEEN THAT POLICY. THEY PUT IT



- 1 IN WRITING IN JANUARY. AND I'LL TELL YOU THAT, IF YOU WANT TO
- 2 GO CHANGE THAT POLICY, BE PREPARED FOR AN E.I.R. THAT'S WHAT
- 3 IT IS. JUST BECAUSE WE'VE MADE MISTAKES AS A COUNTY, JUST AS
- 4 IT WAS WHEN I WAS WITH THE CITY WHEN IT ISSUED PERMITS
- 5 ERRONEOUSLY, DOESN'T MEAN YOU DON'T END UP HAVE HAVING TO FIX
- 6 IT IN SOME FASHION AND THIS WOULD BE A CHANGE IN POLICY. AND
- 7 IT'S BEEN OUR POLICY AT LEAST FOR A YEAR IN WRITING AND IT WAS
- 8 A PRACTICE PRIOR TO THAT FOR GOD KNOWS HOW LONG.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT WAS NOT WRITTEN PRIOR TO THAT AND, WHEN
- 11 THEY DID WRITE IT IS WHEN...

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DIDN'T SAY IT WAS WRITTEN. I SAID IT WAS A
- 14 PRACTICE.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. LET'S COME TO SOME
- 17 AGREEMENT ON THIS THING HERE BECAUSE I'M GETTING THIRSTY.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO I'D LIKE TO MOVE THE APPROVAL OF THE
- 20 AMENDMENT...

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. OKAY. I WOULD ASK FOR A "NO" VOTE.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY, I'LL SECOND THE AMENDMENT. WE'LL DO A
- 25 ROLL CALL.

23

24

GO AHEAD.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S MOTION TO 2 3 RECONSIDER ITEM NUMBER 2? 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE ALREADY RECONSIDERED IT. 6 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE ALREADY RECONSIDERED. 8 TOM HOGAN: WAS THERE A VOTE ON THE RECONSIDERATION? 10 SUP. BURKE: NO. 11 12 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT WAS A SO ORDERED. 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I JUST MOVED IT. NO OBJECTION. 15 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THE -- GO AHEAD. 17 18 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I MEAN, WE CAN HAVE ROLL CALL ON THE 20 RECONSIDERATION IF YOU WANT TO DO THAT. 21 22 SUP. BURKE: NO ONE OBJECTED. GO AHEAD. SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO,



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO ONE OBJECTED. OKAY. THIS IS A MOTION ON
- 2 THE AMENDMENT -- I MEAN, A VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT. ROLL CALL.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: I DON'T UNDERSTAND EXACTLY WHAT HE'S TRYING TO GET
- 5 AT.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE AMENDMENT IS TO REQUEST PUBLIC HEARINGS
- 8 AND TO REQUEST THAT THE HEALTH SERVICES, WORKING WITH
- 9 DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING, PUBLIC WORKS, FIRE, AND THE
- 10 COUNTY COUNSEL TO CONDUCT AT LEAST TWO COMMUNITY MEETINGS TO
- 11 DISCUSS ANY PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE COUNTY'S HAULED WATER
- 12 POLICY. THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES SHALL PROVIDE
- 13 ADVANCE WRITTEN NOTICE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC IN, LIKE, TWO
- 14 WEEKS IN ADVANCE OF THOSE HEARINGS. AND THE SECOND ONE IS JUST
- 15 TO DELETE THE SECOND SENTENCE OF ZEV'S FIRST "I FURTHER
- 16 MOVE"...

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH IS THE GUTS OF IT.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THAT THIS MOVE WOULD RECOMMEND PROCEDURES
- 21 TO ENSURE CONSISTENT ENFORCEMENT OF THE JOINT POLICY BY ALL
- 22 INVOLVED DEPARTMENTS, PERIOD.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THE PROBLEM -- DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF
- 25 THAT MOTION? IS THAT THE SAME MOTION THAT YOU HAD BEFORE?



2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** YEAH.

3

1

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THE PROBLEM IS NOT THE PUBLIC HEARING
- 5 PIECE OF IT, AS I SAID EARLIER TODAY. THAT'S NOT THE PROBLEM.
- 6 THE PROBLEM IS, "PROCEDURES TO ENSURE CONSISTENT..." DELETING
- 7 WHAT I HAVE IN HERE, WHICH IS TO BASICALLY SAY THAT...

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE SECOND SENTENCE.

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH SAYS -- WHICH ADDRESSES THE EXISTING
- 12 POLICY AND IF YOU WANT TO TAKE OUT MY SECOND SENTENCE AND JUST
- 13 SAY "EXISTING POLICY SHALL BE ENFORCED", THAT'S FINE WITH ME.
- 14 BUT THIS -- WHAT YOU'RE DOING IS YOU'RE MAKING A POLICY
- 15 STATEMENT THAT THE EXISTING POLICY IS NOT TO BE ENFORCED AND
- 16 YOU'RE LEAVING -- YOU'RE CREATING AN AMBIGUITY, WHICH IS WHAT
- 17 GOT US TO HERE IN THE FIRST PLACE. YOU'VE GOT ONE HOMEOWNER,
- 18 ONE DEVELOPER, ONE PROPERTY OWNER WALKING INTO A DEPARTMENT
- 19 AND GETTING A PERMIT AND ANOTHER ONE BEING TOLD "NO". AND
- 20 THERE'S GOT TO BE -- AND WE DO HAVE A POLICY, IT'S IN WRITING,
- 21 IT HAS BEEN FOR A YEAR AND IT'S BEEN A PRACTICE FOR FOREVER
- 22 BEFORE THAT AND WE OUGHT TO STICK TO IT. AND IF YOU DON'T,
- 23 THEN I WANT TO, ONCE AGAIN, IF THIS POLICY IS CHANGED, MR.
- 24 GUTIERREZ, BEFORE THIS CHANGE, IF MR. ANTONOVICH'S AMENDMENT
- 25 PASSES, WOULD IT BE YOUR ADVICE TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



- 1 THAT, BEFORE -- OR THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT THAT, BEFORE IT
- 2 IMPLEMENTS THIS CHANGE, THAT THEY GO OUT, DO AN INITIAL STUDY
- 3 AND COMPLY WITH THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT?

4

- 5 PETER GUTIERREZ: YES, I THINK THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED BY LAW TO
- 6 DO THAT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THEN YOU SAID EARLIER THAT IT WOULD BE
- 9 LIKELY THAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO DO A FULL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
- 10 REPORT, THEY WOULD NOT GET AWAY WITH JUST A NEGATIVE DEC.

11

12 **PETER GUTIERREZ:** IT'S LIKELY.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LIKELY. SO THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE DOING. NOW, IN
- 15 THE COMPROMISE I OFFERED EARLIER TODAY, MR. CHAIRMAN, I SAID
- 16 WE'LL TAKE CARE OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE HAD THEIR WELLS RUN DRY
- 17 BUT, ON NEW CONSTRUCTION, NO. LET'S NOT GO DOWN THAT ROAD.
- 18 THAT'S A CHANGE IN THE POLICY OF THIS COUNTY AND, IF IT IS,
- 19 THEN YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE -- YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A LAWSUIT ON
- 20 YOUR HANDS IF YOU DON'T...

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I MEAN, YOU-- BUT, BASICALLY, UNDER
- 23 EXISTING POLICY, PEOPLE WOULD BE GRANDFATHERED IN ANYWAY. IS
- 24 THAT CORRECT? I MEAN...



- 1 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. PERSON LIKE THE EXAMPLE I GAVE, THEY'D BE
- 2 GRANDFATHERED IN?

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: LIKE SHE GAVE OR LIKE THE ONE I GAVE.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU MEAN BY THAT QUESTION.
- 7 WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I MEAN, YOU KNOW, I GUESS I DON'T
- 10 UNDERSTAND WHEN YOU SAY THAT WE'RE CHANGING POLICY.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE CHANGE IS THAT WE WILL NOT ALLOW...

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE'RE NOT, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO ALLOW NEW
- 15 CONSTRUCTION UNDER SOMEBODY THAT BUYS A NEW PIECE OF
- 16 PROPERTY...

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WAIT A MINUTE. LET...

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SORRY.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT ALLOWING NEW
- 25 DEVELOPMENTS.

21

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: LET ME GET... 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A PERSON WHO WANTS TO 4 5 BUILD A HOME. FINE. YOU CAN HAVE A THRESHOLD AND THEY'RE GOING TO COME BACK AND REPORT TO US IS WHAT WE'RE SAYING. 6 7 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, BUT NOT SOMEBODY THAT BUYS IT TOMORROW. 9 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. 11 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THESE ARE EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS. 13 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THAT'S NEW CONSTRUCTION. SOMEBODY'S 15 16 WHO'S OWNED -- YOU HAVE THOUSANDS OF PROPERTIES THAT HAVE BEEN OWNED BY PEOPLE, SINGLE-FAMILY, SINGLE PROPERTY, SINGLE 17 18 PARCELS... 19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, BUT NOT THOUSANDS FOR HAULED WATER.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE DON'T KNOW. I'VE GOT A DOZEN IN TOPANGA
- 23 CANYON RIGHT NOW THAT ARE PROBABLY WATCHING THIS WITH BATED
- 24 BREATH. THAT'S EXACTLY WHY THIS IS -- THAT'S WHY I'M SO
- 25 FOCUSED ON THIS. IT MAY NOT BE AN ISSUE IN LANCASTER BUT IT'S



- 1 AN ISSUE IN OTHER PARTS OF THE COUNTY, AND WE ARE CHANGING THE
- 2 -- THIS MOTION CHANGES THE COUNTY POLICY.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU THINK THIS MOTION CHANGES THE COUNTY
- 5 POLICY? I MEAN, I DON'T...

6

- 7 PETER GUTIERREZ: I WOULDN'T SAY THAT THE MOTION CHANGES THE
- 8 COUNTY POLICY. I DON'T THINK THE COUNTY POLICY COULD BE
- 9 CHANGED WITHOUT SOME ADDITIONAL PROCESS, SO...

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT IT LEADS TO THE NEXT STEP. IT'S THE
- 12 FIRST STEP TOWARDS CHANGING THAT POLICY. OTHERWISE...

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHY DO WE NEED THE MOTION?

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ...WHY DO WE NEED THE MOTION?

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S HAVING PUBLIC HEARINGS AND HAVING THE
- 19 ISSUE RESOLVED BY THE VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE PUBLIC
- 22 HEARINGS. TAKE OUT THE OTHER SENTENCE.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, WHY DON'T WE JUST DO THE PUBLIC
- 25 HEARINGS AND COME BACK?



2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PARDON?

3

1

4 SUP. BURKE: YEAH, TAKE THE REST OF IT OUT.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH: BECAUSE...**

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S WHERE WE WERE EARLIER.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BECAUSE WE WANT THAT. WE WANT THE PUBLIC
- 11 HEARINGS BUT WE ALSO DON'T WANT TO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST AN
- 12 INDIVIDUAL WHO IS BUILDING HIS OR HER HOME. I HAVE NO PROBLEM
- 13 IN PREVENTING MASS DEVELOPMENTS. THAT'S NO PROBLEM.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THAT'S NOT THE ISSUE.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'M TALKING ABOUT THE INDIVIDUAL WHO HAS THE
- 18 PROPERTY AND WANTS TO BUILD HIS HOME OR HER HOME. THEY SHOULD
- 19 NOT BE DISCRIMINATED AGAINST.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THE COMPROMISE MIGHT BE SOMETHING LIKE
- 24 THIS. THE FACT IS THAT SOMEBODY WHO IS GOING TO BUILD THEIR
- 25 HOME IS NOT GOING TO BE DISCRIMINATED BECAUSE YOU'RE GOING TO



- 1 ASK FOR A PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS THAT SHOULD BE DONE IN A
- 2 SHORT PERIOD OF TIME.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S RIGHT.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT'S NOT A TWO-YEAR PUBLIC HEARING.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S RIGHT.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT, ABSOLUTELY.**

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO YOU GO AHEAD WITH THE PUBLIC HEARING
- 13 PROCESS AND YOU COME BACK TO US...

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE YOU GO.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ...AS IT RELATES TO WHETHER OR NOT WE NEED
- 18 TO DEAL WITH YOUR MOTION OR WHETHER OR NOT WE NEED TO DEAL
- 19 WITH ZEV'S MOTION.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT I'M SUGGESTING -- BUT IN THE
- 22 MEANTIME, I THINK WHAT YOU'RE LEADING TO IS WHERE WE WERE
- 23 EARLIER TODAY. GO AHEAD WITH THE PUBLIC HEARINGS PORTION OF
- 24 MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION, LET THAT AMEND MY MOTION. AND, IN THE
- 25 MEANTIME, WHEN THEY COME BACK WITH THEIR REPORT AND THEN THE



- BOARD DECIDES WHAT THE POLICY IS GOING TO BE, THE BOARD MAY
- 2 DECIDE IT WANTS TO CHANGE THE POLICY, IT MAY DECIDE NOT TO,
- 3 THAT'S THE WAY TO DO IT. BUT, IN THE MEANTIME...

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: BUT AFTER THE PUBLIC HEARINGS.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CORRECT.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND IT MAY BE THAT WE CHANGE THE POLICY
- 10 AFTER THAT POINT?

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF THE BOARD CHOOSE TO, THAT'S RIGHT.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO I WOULD MOVE...

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU AGREED TO THAT, MIKE?

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I AGREE WITH IT EXCEPT FOR THE SECOND
- 21 SENTENCE IN "I FURTHER MOVE," WHICH WE WERE TAKING OUT...

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, WHAT IS WRONG...



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ...WHICH SAYS WE'RE CREATING A POLICY THAT
- 2 SAYS-- SHOULD CLARIFY THAT, "MAY ONLY BE USED DURING
- 3 CONSTRUCTION AND SHOULD INCLUDE PROVISIONS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF
- 4 THE POLICY ONCE CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED".

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THAT PREJUDGES...

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT PREJUDGES THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ...WHAT THE PUBLIC HEARING IS ALL ABOUT.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH, THAT'S PREJUDGING THAT.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, YOU'RE PREJUDGING IT.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, NO, YOU'RE PREJUDGING IT BECAUSE YOU'RE
- 17 SAYING...

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I'M JUST...

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ...IT MAY ONLY BE USED...

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL TELL YOU WHAT. I'LL MAKE A SUGGESTION.
- 24 HOW ABOUT IF WE SAY THIS?



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHY DON'T WE CROSS THE WHOLE DAMN THING
- 2 OUT.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THESE PROCEDURES SHOULD CLARIFY THAT HAULED
- 5 WATER ONLY BE USED DURING CONSTRUCTION, THAT HAULED WATER BE
- 6 CONSISTENT WITH EXISTING COUNTY POLICY. HOW IS THAT? THAT
- 7 WATER CAN ONLY BE HAULED...

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHY ARE YOU SHAKING YOUR HEAD IF IT'S
- 10 CONSISTENT?

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** BECAUSE...

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A PUBLIC HEARING
- 15 PROCESS TO EVALUATE IT, TO REPORT BACK, TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT
- 16 YOU WANT TO CHANGE THE POLICY.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CURRENTLY YOU HAVE A POLICY THAT SAYS NO
- 19 HAULED WATER.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: CURRENTLY YOU HAVE A POLICY IN PLACE.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO MATTER HOW YOU CUT IT.

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT, AND EVERYBODY'S AGREED TO THAT.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THERE SHOULD BE PUBLIC HEARINGS... 2 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ...TO REVIEW THAT AND COME BACK WITH 4 5 RECOMMENDATIONS. 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT DON'T TAKE OUT THE SENTENCE THAT -- THE 7 8 OTHER PART OF YOUR MOTION, BECAUSE THE OTHER PART OF YOUR MOTION SAYS THAT THE EXISTING POLICY IS TO BE DISREGARDED, AND 9 THAT'S WHAT -- THAT'S WHERE I GOT A PROBLEM. THAT'S WHERE WE 10 ALL HAVE -- WE SHOULD HAVE A PROBLEM. IT'S AN ENVIRONMENTAL 11 ISSUE. 12 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT SEE, RIGHT NOW, YOU'RE TRYING TO CHANGE 14 THAT AND WE'RE TRYING TO SAY LET THE PUBLIC HEARING... 15 16 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I'M NOT! 18 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ...PROCESS BRING IN A POLICY. 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MIKE, I'M NOT TRYING TO CHANGE IT. YOU HAVE 21 22 A DARN REPORT HERE, A POLICY IN JANUARY OF 2003 THAT SAYS 23 THAT'S OUR POLICY. AND IT'S A REITERATION... SUP. ANTONOVICH:

25

24

THE PUBLIC



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ...LET ME FINISH...

2

- 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT 20 YEARS PRIOR TO THAT IT WAS NOT WRITTEN
- 4 SO THERE WAS AN AMBIGUITY THERE AND WE'RE TRYING TO CLARIFY
- 5 THAT. AND WHAT HAPPENED THE LAST YEAR, THEY PUT IN A POLICY
- 6 THAT HAS CREATED SOME PROBLEMS.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT IT WASN'T...

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S WHY WE WANT TO HAVE THE PUBLIC
- 11 HEARINGS.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I HAVE A SUGGESTION. LET'S ELIMINATE THE
- 14 WHOLE THING, YOUR SENTENCE AND HIS SENTENCE AND JUST MOVE
- 15 FORWARD WITH THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS AND COME BACK WITH...

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, BECAUSE THERE'S A PROBLEM INTERNAL TO
- 18 THE COUNTY.

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S FINE.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. THAT'S WHAT HE-- YEAH, THAT'S WHAT
- 23 MIKE WOULD LIKE.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I GOT NOT PROBLEM WITH PUBLIC HEARING

2 PROCESS.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I KNOW YOU'D LIKE THE PUBLIC HEARING
- 5 PROCESS BUT YOU'D ALSO LIKE THE CONFUSION THAT CONTINUES TO
- 6 EXIST WITHIN THE COUNTY BUREAUCRACY WHEN YOU GOT THE PUBLIC
- 7 WORKS AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT GOING LIKE THIS AND PEOPLE WALKING
- 8 INTO HIS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS PULLING PERMITS AND I
- 9 DON'T THINK THAT'S...

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHY I WANT ALL OF THE DEPARTMENTS TO SIT DOWN
- 12 AND WORK IT OUT THROUGH A PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS SO YOU DON'T
- 13 HAVE THAT AMBIGUITY.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FINE, BUT IN THE MEANTIME, LET'S ADHERE TO
- 16 COUNTY POLICY.

17

- 18 PETER GUTIERREZ: WELL, AND LET ME CLARIFY THAT BECAUSE THE
- 19 REALITY IS, THERE IS A POLICY. IT EXISTS. IT'S IN WRITING AND
- 20 SO, AS I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOUR MOTION IS, IS TO MAINTAIN THE
- 21 STATUS QUO WITH RESPECT TO THE ENFORCEMENT OF THAT POLICY...

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CORRECT.



- 1 PETER GUTIERREZ: ...AND IF THERE IS A DESIRE TO GO IN A
- 2 DIFFERENT DIRECTION IN TERMS OF AMENDING THAT POLICY, THAT'S
- 3 SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED THROUGH THIS OTHER
- 4 PROCESS, WHICH COULD BEGIN WITH MEETINGS IN THE COMMUNITY. IN
- 5 THE INTERIM, THOUGH, THE POLICY THAT EXISTS, IT IS WHAT IT IS.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT IS WHAT IT IS AND IT COULDN'T BE CHANGED
- 8 WITHOUT GOING THROUGH C.E.Q.A. AND WHAT I HAD OFFERED UP
- 9 EARLIER TODAY AND I OFFER IT UP AGAIN IS...

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: ZEV, [INAUDIBLE] CONFUSE US. THAT WAS VERY CLEAR.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FINE. BUT THE WAY TO ACCOMPLISH THAT IS TO
- 14 DELETE THAT PORTION -- IS TO LEAVE MY MOTION INTACT AND TO
- 15 REMOVE MR. ANTONOVICH'S AMENDMENT...

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: WHICH IS WHAT-- THAT'S THE OUTCOME I WANT TO GET
- 18 TO, AND WHAT WAS JUST EXPLAINED TO ME MAKES SENSE TO ME. WE
- 19 HAVE A POLICY. WE KEEP IT IN PLACE. WE'RE GOING TO MOVE
- 20 FORWARD, DO PUBLIC HEARINGS. THAT WILL COME BACK, THAT INPUT
- 21 WILL COME BACK. SHOULD WE WANT TO CHANGE THAT POLICY, WE'LL DO
- 22 IT THEN.

- 24 **PETER GUTIERREZ:** LET ME JUST ADD ONE FURTHER CLARIFICATION. OR
- 25 MAYBE NOT, MAYBE NOT, MAYBE NOT. [LAUGHTER]

OKAY. YOU WANT TO...



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, PETER. GOOD CHOICE OF WORDS.

4

3

5 SUP. MOLINA: CALL FOR THE QUESTION.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, I'D LIKE TO VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT
- 8 BECAUSE...

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU WANT TO JUST WITHDRAW YOUR MOTION
- 11 AND SUPPORT WHAT'S ALREADY ON THE TABLE?

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. I'D LIKE AN AMENDMENT TO MR.
- 14 ANTONOVICH'S AMENDMENT. LET'S GET THIS OVER WITH. I MOVE THAT
- 15 MR. ANTONOVICH'S AMENDMENT BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS: THAT THE
- 16 PORTION RELATING TO THE STRIKING OF SECOND SENTENCE OF MY
- 17 MOTION BE DELETED.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND TO THAT?

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** SECOND.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. VOTING ON THE FIRST AMENDMENT.
- 24 BASICALLY CONCURRENT WITH MR. ANTONOVICH'S RECOMMENDATION WITH



THE EXCEPTION OF THE ELIMINATION OF YOUR SECOND SENTENCE. THAT 1 ITEM IS BEFORE US. ROLL CALL, PLEASE, OF THIS AMENDMENT. 2 3 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?** 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: AYE. 6 7 8 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR BURKE? 9 SUP. BURKE: AYE. 10 11 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AYE. 14 15 16 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 17 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. 19 20 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND SUPERVISOR KNABE? 21

23

22

24 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THE MOTION CARRIES, 4 TO 1.

SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AYE.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOVE THE MATTER AS AMENDED.

2

3 SUP. MOLINA: IT SAYS THAT THIS POLICY SHOULDN'T SAY...

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. IT'S BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED TO MOVE
- 6 IT AS AMENDED. WELL, YEAH, BECAUSE WE STILL HAVE TO GET
- 7 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH...

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE PUBLIC HEARINGS.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY, RIGHT, THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS. SO
- 12 IT'S MOVED, CHAIR WILL SECOND IT. ROLL CALL.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: THIS IS JUST THE PUBLIC HEARING PORTION?

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, IT'S MOVED THE WHOLE -- ZEV'S MOTION
- 17 AS AMENDED TO INCLUDE THE PUBLIC HEARING.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: AS AMENDED.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: INCLUDED THAT PORTION OF HIS MOTION THAT
- 22 DEALT WITH PUBLIC HEARINGS.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT.



```
PETER GUTIERREZ: PUBLIC MEETING WOULD PROBABLY BE...
2
3
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: PUBLIC MEETINGS.
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, PUBLIC MEETINGS.
6
7
    SUP. MOLINA: DOES THAT INCLUDE THE INITIAL STUDY HERE?
8
9
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: PARDON ME?
10
    SUP. MOLINA: DOES THAT INCLUDE THE INITIAL STUDY UNDER YOUR
11
   MOTION?
12
13
    PETER GUTIERREZ: I, AT THIS POINT, I THINK IT WOULD BE
14
15
    PREMATURE TO DO AN INITIAL STUDY.
16
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PREMATURE.
17
18
19
    PETER GUTIERREZ: THE MOTION, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IS JUST TO GO
    OUT INTO THE COMMUNITY AND HAVE PUBLIC MEETINGS TO DISCUSS
20
    WHETHER OR NOT THERE COULD BE THIS CHANGE.
21
22
23
    SUP. MOLINA: OUR CURRENT POLICY.
24
```

25



```
SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, AND PLUS, BRING ALL THE DEPARTMENTS
1
2
    TOGETHER FOR A CONSISTENT, SO...
3
    SUP. MOLINA: THANKS.
4
5
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ROLL CALL?
6
7
8
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?
9
    SUP. MOLINA: AYE.
10
11
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR BURKE?
12
13
    SUP. BURKE: AYE.
14
15
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUP. YAROSLAVSKY?
16
17
18
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AYE.
19
20
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?
21
22
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO.
23
24
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND SUPERVISOR KNABE?
```



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AYE.

2

3 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MOTION CARRIES, 4 TO 1.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. DO WE STILL HAVE ITEM 4?

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I ADD AN ADJOURNING
- 8 MOTION?

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF PRIU
- 13 SHEANRIDAPO IS THE-- A FUTURE FATHER-IN-LAW OF MY FIELD
- 14 DEPUTY, BEN SALZMAN, WHO PASSED AWAY AND ASK THAT WE ADJOURN
- 15 IN HIS MEMORY.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. YOU'LL GET-- THEY'LL GET THE
- 18 INFORMATION TO ME?

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL GET YOU THE INFORMATION.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I BELIEVE WE STILL HAVE ITEM 4 BEFORE
- 23 US.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, I BELIEVE, HAD A MOTION AND
- 2 THEN THERE WAS A REQUEST TO CONTINUE ONE WEEK.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: ITEM 44 IS?

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 4.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: ITEM 4 IS?

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: OH. I DECIDED TO CONTINUE THAT MOTION. WE CAN DO
- 13 IT AFTER CLOSED SESSION.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO CONTINUED ONE WEEK?

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK IT IS, YES.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SO WE'LL CONTINUE THE WHOLE ITEM ONE
- 20 WEEK. I THOUGHT YOU WERE GOING TO AMEND IT, THEN CONTINUE IT?

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: I WAS BUT...



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. NOW WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE THE WHOLE
- 2 THING. ALL RIGHT. WE'LL CONTINUE ITEM 4 ONE WEEK. PUBLIC
- 3 COMMENTS? WAIT A MINUTE. ADJOURNMENTS? I'M SORRY.

- 5 SUP. BURKE: I MOVE THAT WHEN WE ADJOURN, WE WE ADJOURN IN THE
- 6 MEMORY OF RON O'NEAL, A STAGE AND FILM ACTOR WHO STARRED IN
- 7 THE 1972 HIT "SUPER FLY," WHO PASSED AWAY OF CANCER AT THE AGE
- 8 OF 66. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, AUDREY POOL O'NEAL, AND A
- 9 SISTER, KATHLEEN O'NEAL, FROM CHICAGO. AND JIM D. JOHNSON,
- 10 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF THE ORANGE COUNTY BASE DEVELOPER
- 11 THE LUSK COMPANY WHO PASSED AWAY ON TUESDAY, JANUARY 13TH, AT
- 12 THE AGE OF 70. HE A WELL-KNOWN SUCCESSFUL DEVELOPER,
- 13 INTERNATIONAL BUSINESSMAN AND, AS HE FASHIONED HIMSELF, A GOOD
- 14 OLD COUNTRY BOY MR. JOHNSON WAS AN ACCOUNTANT, GRADUATED FROM
- 15 WYOMING UNIVERSITY, SERVED OUR COUNTRY HONORABLY IN THE UNITED
- 16 STATES MARINE CORPS, A MAN OF MANY ACCOMPLISHMENTS. HIS LONG
- 17 CAREER SPANNED A VARIETY OF INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS VENTURES.
- 18 HE HAD AN EXTENSIVE EXECUTIVE CAREER. THE WORLD IS TRULY A
- 19 SADDER PLACE WITHOUT HIM. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, MIMI
- 20 JOHNSON, TWO SONS, MARK AND CAM JOHNSON, DAUGHTER, CYNTHIA
- 21 JOHNSON, FOUR GRANDCHILDREN AND A BROTHER, BILL JOHNSON. AND
- 22 ROBERT 'BUDDY' SOTO, WHO PASSED AWAY IN HIS SLEEP OF A HEART
- 23 ATTACK AT THE AGE OF 52. HE LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS MEMORY HIS
- 24 MOTHER, CALIFORNIA STATE SENATOR, NELL SOTO, HIS SISTER, ANNA
- 25 SOTO, WHO WAS MY FORMER DEPUTY, HIS LOVING WIFE, ALICE...



2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS.

3

1

- 4 SUP. BURKE: ... THREE CHILDREN, NELLIE, SALLY AND MOLLY, AND
- 5 HIS BROTHER, TOM.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU HAVE
- 8 ANY ADJOURNMENTS?

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: YES, I DO. I'D LIKE TO ALSO ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY
- 11 OF RICHARD FAJARDO, SR. HE IS THE FATHER OF A LOCAL ATTORNEY
- 12 THAT MOST OF US KNOW, RICHARD FAJARDO...

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I'D LIKE TO BE IN THAT ONE AS WELL.

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. MR. FAJARDO, SR., CARED DEEPLY ABOUT
- 17 THE COMMUNITY, HE WAS VERY INVOLVED. HE WAS AN ORDAINED DEACON
- 18 WITH THE CATHOLIC CHURCH AND REMAINED ACTIVE AND COMMITTED TO
- 19 THE PARISH WHICH IS RESURRECTION CHURCH IN BOYLE HEIGHTS. MR.
- 20 FAJARDO ALSO THE PROUD GRADUATE OF ROOSEVELT HIGH SCHOOL. HIS
- 21 DAUGHTER ALSO USED TO WORK FOR THE COUNTY AND IS NOW IN A
- 22 PRIVATE FIRM. WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES TO THE
- 23 FAMILY AND FRIENDS OF RICHARD FAJARDO, SR. I'D ALSO LIKE TO
- 24 ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF H. FRANK DOMINGUEZ, WHO
- 25 HAS PASSED AWAY. AS MANY OF YOU MAY KNOW, FRANK WAS THE



- 1 FOUNDER OF THE VANIR GROUP OF COMPANIES AND WAS A WELL-KNOWN
- 2 AND RESPECTED COMMUNITY LEADER. HE SERVED AS A BOARD MEMBER
- 3 FOR A WIDE ARRAY OF ORGANIZATIONS, INCLUDING THE MEXICAN-
- 4 AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATION FUND, GOODWILL
- 5 INDUSTRIES, SAN BERNARDINO COMMUNITY HOSPITAL, AS MANY OTHERS.
- 6 FRANK'S ALSO RECEIVED NUMEROUS HONORS OVER THE YEARS. ONE OF
- 7 THE MOST MEMORABLE WAS BEING NAMED OUTSTANDING HISPANIC
- 8 BUSINESSMAN OF THE YEAR BY THE UNITED STATES HISPANIC CHAMBER
- 9 OF COMMERCE. WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR HEARTFELT CONDOLENCES TO
- 10 BELOVED FAMILY AND NUMEROUS FRIENDS, EMPLOYEES, AND
- 11 ASSOCIATES. I'D ALSO LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY
- 12 OF JOSE SEGURA. JOSE SEGURA WAS A RELATIVE OF MINE WHO WAS 92
- 13 YEARS OLD. HE HAS A BIG, HUGE FAMILY HERE THROUGHOUT L.A.
- 14 COUNTY AND SO I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF
- 15 JOSE SEGURA.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: ALSO, THE LAST ADJOURNMENT THAT I HAVE IS THAT I
- 20 ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF MARIA AVALOS-GUTIERREZ.
- 21 MARIA AVALOS-GUTIERREZ WAS A COUSIN OF MY MOTHER'S, A SECOND
- 22 COUSIN OF MINE. SHE ALSO HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO LIVE A GOOD,
- 23 LONG LIFE. SHE ALSO HAD A VERY LARGE FAMILY, AS MOST OF US IN
- 24 OUR COMMUNITIES DO, AND SHE PROBABLY HAS DAUGHTERS AND



- 1 CHILDREN AND GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN THROUGHOUT L.A. COUNTY, SO
- 2 WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES TO THE FAMILIES.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN?

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES?

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: COULD I CONTINUE CS-7 FOR A WEEK?

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. OKAY. PUBLIC COMMENT. ARE THERE
- 13 ANY OTHER ADJOURNMENTS? PUBLIC COMMENT. WE HAVE DR. JAY DAS,
- 14 DR. RACHEL STEINHART, CHRIS EDWARDS. CHRIS, ARE YOU READY?

- 16 CHRIS EDWARDS: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. YOU KNOW, I
- 17 THINK IT'S REALLY TIMELY THAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA TWICE TODAY
- 18 MENTIONED HER CONCERNS ABOUT THE VERACITY OF INFORMATION
- 19 PROVIDED BY COUNTY EMPLOYEES. IF YOU COULD PLEASE GET ONE OF
- 20 THESE TO EVERYBODY. I THINK IT'S INTERESTING THAT JUST THE
- 21 SAME -- I SAT HERE LAST WEEK ABOUT THIS LETTER THAT YOU'RE ALL
- 22 GETTING A COPY OF, WHICH I BELIEVE MS. MOLINA ALSO RECEIVED AT
- 23 THAT POINT IN TIME, AND YOU STATED THAT THERE WAS NO PROBLEM.
- 24 AND WE SHOWED YOU, THROUGH TESTIMONY AS WELL AS AUDIOTAPE,
- 25 THAT MR. HENRY'S STATEMENT THAT THE WALL WAS NOT BEING



- 1 INVESTIGATED BY ANY OFFICIAL ENTITY OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES
- 2 WAS INCORRECT THAT, INDEED, THAT WAS OCCURRING, OR PERHAPS
- 3 COUNCILMAN WEISS AND COUNCILMAN PARKS ARE NOT CONSIDERED
- 4 OFFICIAL BY MR. CHUCK HENRY. PERHAPS THE C.L.A. IS NOT
- 5 CONSIDERED OFFICIAL. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HIS REASON WAS BUT HE
- 6 DID NOT PRESENT YOU WITH THE FACTS. THE VERACITY OF HIS
- 7 LETTER, WHICH I WOULD CONSIDER A LIE, AND YET YOU STILL CHOSE
- 8 THAT INFORMATION TO BASE YOUR FACTS ON AND VOTE FOR IT. SO I
- 9 THINK IT'S INTERESTING THAT, WHEN IT SEEMS TO SERVE SOME
- 10 PEOPLE'S PURPOSES, YOU DON'T QUESTION THE VERACITY, EVEN
- 11 THOUGH PEOPLE COME TO TESTIFY. WHEN THESE THINGS OCCUR, WHEN
- 12 THE PUBLIC TAKES THE TIME OUT TO SPEAK WITH YOU ABOUT FACTS
- 13 SUCH AS THIS, PRESENTING YOU A LETTER THAT HE WROTE THAT WAS
- 14 SENT TO BOBBY JOHNSON, PAT MILLER, RONALD A. HENSON, CAROL
- 15 CAMBRIDGE-ESPINOZA AND RESIO RAMON, YOUR HEALTH DEPUTIES, AND,
- 16 IN IT, HE HAS PRESENTED, IF NOT OUTRIGHT LIES, COMPLETE
- 17 MISSTATEMENTS OF THE FACT AND YOU DON'T EVEN BAT AN EYE.
- 18 THAT'S WHEN THE PUBLIC SAYS YOU, THE BOARD, DOESN'T LISTEN.
- 19 AND IF YOU SURELY DON'T LISTEN, YOU SURELY DON'T HEAR,
- 20 BECAUSE, AT THE VERY LEAST, YOU SHOULD HAVE ASKED, WHY DID WE
- 21 HAVE AN AUDIOTAPE PRESENTED THAT PRESENTED THE DIRECT OPPOSITE
- 22 OF WHAT IS STATED IN THIS LETTER? WHY DID THAT OCCUR? WHY DID
- 23 MR. HENRY FEEL THE NEED TO PERPETRATE THE MISSTATEMENT OF MR.
- 24 ZADAVEER BECAUSE MR. ZADAVEER WAS PRESENT ON JULY 8TH. AND
- 25 WHAT CONCERNS ME IS THAT YOU DON'T HAVE ANY CONCERN THAT A NOT



- 1 FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATION, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THAT
- 2 ORGANIZATION, HAS REFUSED TO OPEN HIS BOOKS TO PUBLIC SCRUTINY
- 3 AND HE HAS RECEIVED PUBLIC FUNDS, TAXPAYER DOLLARS. AND, FOR
- 4 THE RECORD, MY CONCERN IS ANY NOT FOR PROFIT THAT RECEIVES
- 5 PUBLIC TAXPAYER DOLLARS AND DOESN'T SPEND IT WISELY AND, IN
- 6 THIS CASE, FOR NINE YEARS, THERE HAVE BEEN QUESTIONS ABOUT
- 7 THIS ORGANIZATION'S SPENDING, AND THE EASIEST ANSWER WOULD BE
- 8 FOR HIM TO HAVE OPENED HIS BOOKS. BUT INSTEAD, INSTEAD, PEOPLE
- 9 SEEM TO BE WILLING TO COVER UP. THANK YOU.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. YES, SIR.

- 13 DR. JAY DAS: HI. HELLO, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS DR.
- 14 JAY ANTON DAS AND I'M AN INTERNAL MEDICINE RESIDENT PHYSICIAN
- 15 AT L.A. COUNTY, U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER. I'M HERE TO SPEAK ON
- 16 BEHALF OF THE COMMITTEE OF INTERNS AND RESIDENTS, WHICH IS THE
- 17 UNION THAT REPRESENTS RESIDENT PHYSICIANS IN MY HOSPITAL AS
- 18 WELL AS KING DREW AND HARBOR U.C.L.A. AS YOU MAY KNOW, WE ARE
- 19 IN THE MIDST OF OUR UNION CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS. AS A UNION,
- 20 ONE OF THE MAIN ISSUES WE ARE CONCENTRATING ON IN OUR CONTRACT
- 21 IS THE WORK HOURS REFORM THAT TOOK PLACE NATIONALLY LAST JULY.
- 22 MANY RESIDENCY TRAINING PROGRAMS AT OUR COUNTY HOSPITALS, TO
- 23 THIS DATE, JANUARY, STILL HAVE DIFFICULTY IN COMPLYING WITH
- 24 THESE NEW HOURS REGULATIONS. THIS NEW REGULATION BASICALLY
- 25 STATES THAT WE NEED NOT EXCEED 80 HOURS IN A WORK WEEK. TO



- 1 BETTER ENFORCE THESE REGULATIONS, WE ARE ASKING YOU, IN OUR
- 2 UNION CONTRACT, FOR A WAY TO ENSURE THAT WORK HOURS VIOLATIONS
- 3 SIGNIFICANTLY DECREASE. THE CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION OR
- 4 C.M.A., WHICH IS A STATEWIDE GROUP OF PHYSICIANS, RECENTLY
- 5 SENT A LETTER IN SUPPORT OF OUR UNION CONTRACT PROPOSALS ON
- 6 HOURS. IN IT, THEY SPECIFICALLY STATE THAT THE C.M.A. SUPPORTS
- 7 THE A.C.G.M.E., WHICH STANDS FOR THE ACCREDITATION COUNCIL FOR
- 8 GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION, THE BOARD THAT OVERSEES ALL
- 9 RESIDENCIES, THAT THEIR STANDARDS ARE A TEMPLATE OF REASONABLE
- 10 WORK HOUR STANDARDS. C.M.A. ALSO ENCOURAGES THE DEVELOPMENT OF
- 11 MONETARY SANCTIONS FOR ISSUES RELATING TO OVERTIME PAY OR
- 12 FINES FOR VIOLATION OF A.C.G.M.E. RESIDENT WORK HOURS. THE
- 13 LETTER ALSO MENTIONS TIME SAVINGS FOR RESIDENT PHYSICIANS IF
- 14 ANCILLARY STAFF WERE AVAILABLE. GIVEN THE KING DREW MEDICAL
- 15 CENTER ALREADY HAS TWO PROGRAMS SHUTTING DOWN DUE TO
- 16 A.C.G.M.E. VIOLATIONS, WE ASK YOU TO PREVENT THE REAL THREAT
- 17 OF A.C.G.M.E. REVOKING MORE PROGRAM ACCREDITATIONS BECAUSE OF
- 18 WORK HOURS VIOLATIONS. WE BELIEVE THAT BY IMPLEMENTING WHAT
- 19 RESIDENT PHYSICIANS PROPOSE WOULD GIVE US A GREAT STEP TOWARD
- 20 THAT PREVENTION. WE ARE ALSO ASKING FOR BETTER PAY FOR INTERNS
- 21 AS WELL AS RESIDENTS IN L.A. COUNTY IN ORDER TO BETTER RECRUIT
- 22 QUALITY RESIDENT PHYSICIANS TO SERVE OUR POPULATION. INTERNS
- 23 IN OUR COUNTY SYSTEM EARN \$36,000 A YEAR. THAT CURRENTLY IS
- 24 LESS THAN THE 25TH PERCENTILE NATIONWIDE ACCORDING TO THE
- 25 A.A.M.C., THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF MEDICAL COLLEGES. AND,



- 1 OF COURSE, WE ALL LIVE IN LOS ANGELES, WHICH IS A LITTLE BIT
- 2 MORE EXPENSIVE THAN IT WAS IN MY HOMETOWN OF CLEVELAND. LAST
- 3 YEAR, THE POTENTIAL CLOSURE OF HARBOR U.C.L.A. GREATLY
- 4 AFFECTED MY OWN DECISION OF WHERE TO PRACTICE THIS YEAR. I DID
- 5 NOT ACCEPT A POSITION AT HARBOR U.C.L.A. BECAUSE I DID NOT
- 6 KNOW WHETHER IT WOULD BE OPEN IN THE YEARS TO COME DURING MY
- 7 RESIDENCY CAREER. I GUESS MY QUESTION TO YOU IS WHAT QUALIFIED
- 8 MEDICAL STUDENT WHO SEEKS IN A RESIDENCY A PLACE WHERE HE OR
- 9 SHE EXCEL IN LEARNING WOULD WANT TO JEOPARDIZE THAT AT A
- 10 PROGRAM THAT MAY CLOSE, WHATEVER THE OPPORTUNITY? AND BEING
- 11 THAT THESE COUNTY PROGRAMS ARE SUCH A GREAT OPPORTUNITY FOR
- 12 OUR LEARNING, IT'S REALLY A SHAME. WITH THE EVER-LOOMING
- 13 POTENTIAL CLOSURE OF HOSPITALS AND PROGRAMS, WE NEED TO AT
- 14 LEAST OFFER COMPETITIVE SALARIES TO MAKE UP FOR OTHER UNKNOWN
- 15 FACTORS, SUCH AS PROGRAM OR HOSPITAL CLOSURES. THE RESIDENT
- 16 PHYSICIANS OF L.A. COUNTY LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUING TO SERVE
- 17 THE COMMUNITY IN WORKING WITH YOU, THE BOARD, ON HOW TO
- 18 ADDRESS THESE ISSUES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN.
- 21 THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE IN WAITING. YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY,
- 22 BARBARA JOHNSON, AND ROBERT MCCORDIC. AM I TO ASSUME THAT DR.
- 23 STEINHART AS LEFT? IS THAT CORRECT? OKAY.



- 1 ROBERT MCCORDIC: YES. I WANT TO THANK THE COUNTY COUNSEL FOR
- 2 GETTING MY APARTMENT BUILDING BACK IN MY HANDS THAT'S BEEN
- 3 STOLEN FROM ME. SO THANK YOU VERY, VERY MUCH. BUT NOW THAT
- 4 I'VE GOT ANOTHER MILLION DOLLARS THAT WAS TAKEN AWAY FROM ME
- 5 AND I WANT TO KNOW IF I CAN GET THE SAME RESULTS AGAIN HERE.
- 6 MY AUNT JESSE MCGINNIS WROTE A WILL TO ME AND GAVE ALL OF HER
- 7 PROPERTY TO ME AND I HAD -- WELL, HER SISTER SIGNED IT. I'VE
- 8 GOTTEN THE PERSONAL SECRETARY TO COLONEL TIBBITS, WHO ATOM
- 9 BOMBED JAPAN, JEAN DAVIES, SHE'S A RELATIVE OF HERS, SHE
- 10 SIGNED THE WILL, AND NELLY'S -- JESSE'S SISTER SIGNED THE
- 11 WILL. IT'S FINGERPRINTED, PASSED BY JUDGE KREIGER IN MY FAVOR
- 12 AND THEY STILL TOOK IT. AND IT'S VERY SIMPLE. WE GOT THE --
- 13 PART OF IT'S ALREADY BEEN PAID TO ME, ALREADY RECOGNIZED...

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MAYBE SOMEONE-- CAN COUNTY COUNSEL CAN TALK
- 16 TO -- WE'LL HAVE SOMEONE FROM COUNTY COUNSEL TALK TO YOU.
- 17 OKAY?

18

- 19 ROBERT MCCORDIC: WELL, THE WHOLE COUNCIL DECIDED ON IT BEFORE
- 20 AND GOT MY APARTMENT BACK. HERE'S PART OF IT...

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I KNOW BUT I'M SAYING YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE
- 23 TO HAVE -- WE'LL HAVE COUNTY COUNSEL LOOK AT THE ISSUE AND SEE
- 24 WHAT WE CAN DO TO ASSIST YOU.



1 ROBERT MCCORDIC: I CAN SEND IT TO ALL OF YOU. LOOK AT THIS.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: STEP DOWN TO THE SIDE AND WE'LL HAVE
- 4 SOMEONE FROM COUNTY COUNSEL TALK TO YOU. YES? THANK YOU.

- 6 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: THANK YOU FOR A FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO
- 7 MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. MY NAME YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY, FOR THE
- 8 RECORD. I'D LIKE TO, FOR THE RECORD, REQUEST THAT YOU WOULD
- 9 CONSIDER ALLOWING PUBLIC COMMENTS EVERY WEEK. WHEN I WAS IN
- 10 INGLEWOOD AND I FIRST BECAME ACQUAINTED WITH MS. BURKE AND HER
- 11 HUSBAND, MR. WILLIAM BURKE, WE USED TO HAVE PUBLIC COMMENTS
- 12 EVERY WEEK. THAT WAS THE INGLEWOOD CITY COUNCIL. JUST
- 13 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, I THINK THE REASON WHY YOU HAVE
- 14 COLLEAGUES LIKE MERRITT HOLLOWAY AND OTHER PEOPLE, LIKE
- 15 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL, HER DAUGHTER, MYSELF, CANDACE OWEN, WE'RE
- 16 NOT TRYING TO BE REBELLIOUS OR DISRESPECTFUL BUT IT'S TIME
- 17 THAT WE SPEAK UP IN ORDER TO EFFECT A CHANGE, BECAUSE WE
- 18 WOULDN'T HAVE TO -- I MEAN, MOLINA WOULDN'T HAVE HAD TO MAKE
- 19 THAT -- WRITE OUT THAT ITEM IF WE FELT LIKE WE WERE GIVEN
- 20 ENOUGH TIME TO ADDRESS RELEVANT ISSUES, JUST FOR THE RECORD.
- 21 ALSO, I LIVE AT 206 WEST 6TH STREET BETWEEN BROADWAY AND
- 22 SPRING, AND THEY'RE PROPOSING TO BUILD A CLUB THERE. AND I
- 23 BELIEVE THIS IS IN SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S DISTRICT AND IF YOU
- 24 COULD PAY ATTENTION, PLEASE, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, BECAUSE I'D
- 25 LIKE TO HAVE -- I MISSED THE OPPORTUNITY TO GO TO A MEETING TO



- 1 BLOCK THE OPENING OF THAT CLUB BECAUSE I WAS WORKING. I THINK
- 2 THAT THERE IS ENOUGH PROSTITUTION, ALCOHOLISM ON THIS STREET.
- 3 THEY'RE MOSTLY BLACK PEOPLE AND I THINK IT WOULD PROBABLY
- 4 CAUSE MORE DISEASE AND THEN MORE INCARCERATION AND MORE
- 5 CRIMINAL, CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR, AND IT'S RIGHT NEXT DOOR TO WHERE
- 6 I LIVE. SO, JUST FOR THE RECORD, IF SOMETHING CAN BE DONE. I
- 7 THINK THEY WERE JUST TRYING TO GET AN OVERVIEW OF WHO WAS FOR,
- 8 WHO WOULD ALLOW, WHO WANTED TO HAVE, YOU KNOW, A BAR WHERE
- 9 THEY WERE SERVING DRINKS. I THINK THAT THEY WERE GOING TO
- 10 HAVE, LIKE, DANCERS AND I'M OPPOSED TO IT AND I HOPE THAT
- 11 MAYBE MS. MOLINA OR SUPERVISOR MOLINA CAN DO SOMETHING TO
- 12 BLOCK IT.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS IT IN THE CITY OF L.A.?

15

- 16 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: YES, IT'S RIGHT HERE ON 6TH STREET, I
- 17 BELIEVE IT'S 216. IT'S NEXT TO THE HAYWARD HOTEL.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, MY POINT BEING IS THAT THE CITY OF
- 20 LOS ANGELES IS BEING CHARGED WITH PERMITS, NOT US.

- 22 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: I UNDERSTAND. OKAY. WELL, I'LL GO
- 23 BEFORE THE L.A. CITY COUNCIL. IS THAT THE APPROPRIATE BOARD?
- 24 OKAY. AND JUST, FINALLY, WHAT I'D LIKE TO SAY IS I BELIEVE
- 25 THERE'S A GOVERNMENT COVER-UP. LIKE I SAID, ALL PARENTS WHO



- 1 SPEAK UP ABOUT THE CHILDREN BEING RAPED AND MOLESTED, WE'RE
- 2 CALLED CRAZY OR WE'RE DISCREDITED, SO NO ONE BELIEVES. SINCE
- 3 PEOPLE HAVE SEEN ME, THEY COME UP AND SAY, "WELL, YOU KNOW
- 4 WHAT, MICHELLE? I WAS AFRAID TO SAY BUT, YOU KNOW, PRIESTS
- 5 MOLESTED ME" AND THIS ONE WOMAN CAME CRYING TO ME SAYING, YOU
- 6 KNOW, "I DON'T KNOW WHERE MY CHILD IS" AND THERE'S A POSSIBLE
- 7 PORNO RING OR POSSIBLE PEDOPHILE RING AT EDELMAN'S CHILDREN'S
- 8 COURT. SHE'S AFRAID TO SPEAK ABOUT IT. THEY THINK I'M NOT
- 9 AFRAID AND I'M BURDENED WITH THESE TESTIMONIES AND I'M STILL
- 10 LABELED BIPOLAR, MANIC DEPRESSIVE, SCHIZOPHRENIC, PSYCHOTIC,
- 11 PARANOID, DELUSIONAL SIMPLY BECAUSE I HAD THE COURAGE TO SPEAK
- 12 UP AND TRY TO PROTECT MY OWN SON AND OTHERS, PEOPLE ARE AFRAID
- 13 TO COME UP BECAUSE THEY DON'T WANT WHAT HAPPENED TO ME TO
- 14 HAPPEN TO THEM. THEY DON'T WANT TO BE MISLABELED. THEY DON'T
- 15 WANT TO LOSE THEIR CHILDREN, THEIR RESPECT IN THE COMMUNITY.
- 16 YOU KNOW, I'M FROM INGLEWOOD, I WENT TO ST. MARY'S ACADEMY,
- 17 U.C.L.A., AND I HAVE -- TODAY MY IMAGE HAS BEEN HORRIBLY
- 18 DEFAMED. YOU KNOW, AND SO, LIKE I SAID, I NEED THAT TO GO ON
- 19 THE RECORD BECAUSE NOT ONLY THEY'RE CATHOLIC PRIESTS, THERE
- 20 ARE JUDGES, DOCTORS, LAWYERS, MASONS, POLICE, MILITARY, ALL
- 21 IMPLICATED IN THESE JON BENET RAMSEY, MCMARTIN PRE-SCHOOL
- 22 TYPES OF GOVERNMENT COVER-UPS OF THESE CHILDREN BEING USED IN
- 23 THESE HORRIBLE, HORRIBLE RITUALS. NO ONE WANTS TO TALK ABOUT
- 24 IT BUT, LIKE I SAID, I'M SPEAKING FOR OTHER WOMEN. MICHAEL
- 25 JACKSON, IT'S HORRIBLE...

1

19

20

21

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. 2 3 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: ...WHAT THEY'RE DOING TO HIM BECAUSE 4 5 THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE THAT GET AWAY WITH IT EVERY DAY. 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: TIME IS UP. THANK YOU. 7 8 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: THANK YOU. 9 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE'LL GO INTO CLOSED SESSION. 11 12 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT 13 REQUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF 14 15 SUPERVISORS WILL CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEMS 16 CS-1 AND CS-2, CONFERENCES WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION, ITEM CS-3, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL 17 18 REGARDING INITIATION OF LITIGATION, ONE CASE. ITEM CS-4,

22 CASES. ITEM CS-6, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE

TO CIVIL LITIGATION, THREE CASES. ITEM CS-5, CONFERENCE WITH

LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION, 12

23 SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION, SEVEN CASE. AGENDA 34,

24 CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING INITIATION OF



1	LITIGATION,	ONE	CASE,	AND	AGENDA	55,	CONFERENCE	WITH	LEGAL
---	-------------	-----	-------	-----	--------	-----	------------	------	-------

2 COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION. THANK YOU.

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I'D ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO GO RIGHT IN

5 BECAUSE I KNOW SOME OF YOU HAVE TO LEAVE.



1	REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION					
2	JANUARY 20, 2004					
3						
4	The Board of Supervisors met today in closed session. The					
5	following action is being reported:					
6						
7	34. Conference with legal counsel regarding initiation of					
8	litigation (one case), as it relates to the Director of Public					
9	Social Services' joint recommendation with the Chief					
10	Information Officer to approve and instruct the Chairman to					
11	sign amendment to agreement with Unisys Corporation to					
12	increase the total maximum contract sum by \$15,481,887 from					
13	\$180,893,588 to \$196,375,475, financed using \$4,845,817 in					
14	State and Federal revenue and \$796,070 in net County cost for					
15	Fiscal Year 2003-04, and \$8,451,576 in State and Federal					
16	revenue and \$1,388,424 in net County cost for Fiscal Year					
17	2004-05, to increase the number of monthly Maintenance and/or					
18	Enhancement hours from 4,000 to 8,000, for the timely					
19	implementation of modifications and enhancements to the LEADER					
20	software arising from County, State and Federal mandates;					
21	establish a new fixed hourly rate of \$123; and amend the terms					
22	pursuant to which Unisys may employ subcontractors to provide					
23	services and support during the remainder of the agreement and					
24	any extended term.					
~ ~						



Action Taken: 1 2 3 The Board continued Agenda No. 34 for one week to open session; and also continued for one week Supervisor Molina's 4 amendment to instruct the Director of Public Social Services, 5 within the next 60 days, to conduct an assessment in all 6 district offices to identify the causes for the time delays 7 8 and implement a training program to improve response times; and provide a monthly status report on the outcomes of staff 9 trainings. 10 11 55. Conference with legal counsel regarding existing 12 litigation relating to the Los Angeles County Claim's Board's 13 recommendation to authorize case entitled, Kathy Raymundo v. 14 County of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 15 246 327, in amount of \$254,000; and instruct the Auditor-16 Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from 17 18 the Department of Mental Health's budget. 19 Action Taken: 20 21 22 The Board approved the aforementioned recommendation. The vote 23 of the Board of Supervisors was unanimous with all Supervisors

25

24

being present.



REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE						
I, Jennifer A. Hines, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number						
6029/RPR/CRR qualified in an for the State of California, do						
hereby certify:						
That the foregoing transcript of recorded						
proceedings was taken on Tuesday, January 20, 2004, at the						
time and place therein set forth and recorded by the Los						
Angeles County Board of Supervisors, thereafter transcribed						
into typewriting under my direction and supervision;						
And I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript						
of recorded proceedings is a full, true, and correct						
transcript of the recorded proceedings before the Los Angeles						
County Board of Supervisors.						
I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor						
related to any party to said action, nor in anywise interested						
in the outcome thereof.						
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this						
26th day of January 26th, 2004.						
(Signature on file) JENNIFER A. HINES						
CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR						